## National Chung Cheng University Admission Exam for Advanced Calculus, 1998

Written by Men-Gen Tsai email: b89902089@ntu.edu.tw

- 1. Let R be the collection of all real numbers, and  $R^3 = R \times R \times R$ . Suppose  $f(x, y, z) = (x + y^2 + 100z, x + 3y - 100z, e^{-z+100y^2})$ , for  $(x, y, z) \in R^3$ .
  - (1a) Find the determinent of Jacobian matrix of f at the point (0, 0, 0).

(1b) Could you find an open neighborhood of (0, 0, 0), so that f is one-to-one in this open set? If your answer is yes, find it. If your answer is no, give the reason.

Solution of (1a):

$$J_{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{1}f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{2}f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{3}f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \\ D_{1}f_{2}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{2}f_{2}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{3}f_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \\ D_{1}f_{3}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{2}f_{3}(\mathbf{x}) & D_{3}f_{3}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2y & 3 & 200ye^{-z+100y^{2}} \\ 100 & -100 & -e^{-z+100y^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus

$$J_f(0,0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 100 & -100 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution of (1b): The answer is yes. Let

$$x + y^{2} + 100z = u$$

$$x + 3y - 100z = v$$

$$e^{-z + 100y^{2}} = e^{w} \Leftrightarrow -z + 100y^{2} = w$$

and  $\mathbf{p} = (0, 0, 0)$ . Also we consider  $(x, y, z) \in B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{p})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence

$$-202\epsilon < 20001y^2 - 3y < 202\epsilon$$

Note that  $g(y) = 20001y^2 - 3y$  is strictly decreasing if  $y < 10^{-5}$ . Hence we take

$$\epsilon < 10^{-8}.$$

Hence we can solve a unique y from g(y) if  $(x, y, z) \in B_r(\mathbf{p})$  where  $r = 10^{-8}$ . Thus x and z can also solve uniquely. Therefore,

$$B_{10^{-8}}(0,0,0)$$

is our desired open neighborhood of (0,0,0).

2. Let (a, b) be a nonempty open set contained in R, and f be a function from (a, b) to R. We have the following two definition:

(A1) Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . We say f is continuous at  $x_0$  iff for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset (a, b)$  and for any  $y \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , we have

$$|f(y) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon.$$

(A2) Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . We say f is continuous at  $x_0$  iff for any sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (a, b)$  satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = x_0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(y_n) = f(x_0).$$

Show that (A1) is equivalent to (A2).

**Proof:** (A1)  $\Rightarrow$  (A2): Suppose each  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (a, b)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = x_0.$$

Then for any  $\epsilon' > 0$  there exists N such that  $|y_n - x_0| < \epsilon'$  whenever  $n \ge N$ . Also, for any  $\epsilon$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset (a, b)$  and for any  $y \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , we have

$$|f(y) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$$

We take  $\epsilon' = \delta$ , and then  $y_n \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Thus

$$|f(y_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(y_n) = f(x_0)$$

 $(A2) \Rightarrow (A1)$ : Suppose (A1) does not hold. There exists  $\epsilon_0$  such that for every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \ge \epsilon_0$  holds if  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  for some  $x \in (a, b)$ . Take  $\delta = 1/n$  for all  $n \in N$ , then there exists  $x_n \in (x_0 - 1/n, x_0 + 1/n)$ such that  $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| \ge 1/n$ . Note that  $\{x_n\} \to x_0$ , but

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| \ge \epsilon_0 > 0$$

if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f(x_n) - f(x_0)|$  exists, a contradiction. Hence (A2) implies (A1).

3. We give the following axiom.

(Axiom of completeness for real numbers): Let S be a nonempty subset contained in R. If S has an upper bound in R, then S has the least upper bound in R.

By using this axiom, first show that

(3a) If  $T = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence contained in R and T has an upper bound, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  exists and is equal to the least upper bound of T.

Then show that

(3b) Any Cauchy sequence contained in R is a convergent sequence.

**Proof of (3a):** By the axiom of completeness for real numbers, since T is nonempty and T has an upper bound, then T has the least upper bound in R, say L. Since L is the least upper bound of T, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that  $L - a_N > \epsilon$ . Note that T is increasing, and thus

$$L - a_N \le L - a_{N+1} \le L - a_{N+2} \le \dots$$

Hence  $L - a_n < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = L.$$

**Proof of (3b):** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence contained in R. First we prove that  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded. Take  $\epsilon = 1$ , there exists N such that

$$|a_n - a_m| < 1$$

whenever  $n, m \ge N$ . Put m = N, then  $|a_n - a_N| < 1$  if  $n \ge N$ . Thus  $a_N - 1 < a_n < a_N + 1$ . Put

$$M = \max(a_1, a_2, ..., a_N, a_N + 1),$$

and thus  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded by M. Let

$$S_n = \{a_k : k \in N, k \ge n\},\$$

and  $S_n \subset S_1$  is also bounded for all  $n \in N$ . Thus by the axiom of completeness for real numbers,  $S_n$  has a least upper bound  $b_n$  and a greatest lower bound  $c_n$  for all n. Note that  $c_n \leq a_n \leq b_n$  for all n. Also, since  $S_{n+1} \subset S_n$  for all n,  $\{b_n\}$  is decreasing and  $\{c_n\}$  is increasing. Also,  $b_n \geq b_{n+m} \geq c_{n+m} \geq c_m$  for all  $m, n \in N$ , that is,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are bounded. By (3a) we can let

$$b = \inf\{b_n : n \in N\}, c = \sup\{c_n : n \in N\}.$$

First we prove that b = c. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an integer N such that  $a_n - \epsilon < a_m < a_n + \epsilon$  whenever  $m, n \ge N$ . Let

$$E_1 = \{a_m : m \ge N\}, E_2 = \{a_n + \epsilon : n \ge N\}.$$

Thus  $\inf E_1 \leq \sup E_2$ . Hence

$$b_N \leq c_N + \epsilon.$$

Since

$$0 \le b - c \le b_N - c_N \le \epsilon$$

for any arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , therefore b = c. Finally, we prove  $\{a_n\}$  converges to b or c. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $b_{N_1}$  such that  $b_{N_1} < b + \epsilon$  for some  $N_1$ . Also, we can find  $c_{N_2}$  such that  $c_{N_2} > b - \epsilon$  for some  $N_2$ . Take  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ , then

$$b_n \leq b_{N_1} < b + \epsilon$$
  
$$c_n \geq c_{N_2} > b - \epsilon.$$

Thus

$$b - \epsilon < c_n \le a_n \le b_n < b + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\{a_n\}$  is a convergent sequence.

**Note:** I did not use Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem since I was very boring.

4. Let f be a continuous function from [0, 1] to R, and

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(\frac{k}{n}),$$

n = 1, 2, 3, .... Show that

(4a)  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a convergent sequence.

Then show that

(4b) Suppose  $f(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and  $f(x_0) > 0$  for some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Also, f is continuous on [0, 1]. Then we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n > 0$ .

(To show (4a) and (4b), you may use the property that if f is continuous on [0, 1], then f is uniformly continuous on [0, 1].)

**Proof of (4a):** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $\eta > 0$  so that

$$(b-a)\eta < \epsilon.$$

Since f is continuous on the compact set [0, 1], then f is uniformly continuous on it. Hence there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(t)| < \eta$$

if  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and  $|x - t| < \delta$ . If P is any partition of [0, 1] such that  $\Delta x_i \leq \delta$  for all i, then

$$M_i - m_i \le \eta \ (i = 1, ..., n)$$

and therefore

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i$$
  
$$\leq \eta (b - a)$$
  
$$< \epsilon.$$

Hence f is Riemann-integrable. Note that

$$P = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, ..., 1\}$$

is a partition of [0,1] such that  $\Delta x_i = 1/n$  for all *i*. Thus  $L(P, f) \leq S_n \leq U(P, f)$  for  $n > 1/\delta$ . Hence  $L(P, f) \leq S_n \leq L(P, f) + \epsilon$ . Hence

$$\sup L(P, f) \le S_n \le \sup L(P, f) + \epsilon$$

for large enough n. Hence  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a convergent sequence.

**Proof of (4b):** We prove the following exercise equivalently.

Suppose  $f \ge 0$ , f is continuous on [a, b], and  $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$ . Prove that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . (Compare this with Exercise 1.)

**Proof of the exercise:** Suppose not, then there is  $p \in [a, b]$  such that f(p) > 0. Since f is continuous at x = p, for  $\epsilon = f(p)/2$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(p)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $x \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ , that is,

$$0 < \frac{1}{2}f(p) < f(x) < \frac{3}{2}f(p)$$

for  $x \in B_r(p) \subset [a, b]$  where r is small enough. Next, consider a partition P of [a, b] such that

$$P = \{a, p - \frac{r}{2}, p + \frac{r}{2}, b\}.$$

Thus

$$L(P,f) \ge r \cdot \frac{1}{2}f(p) = \frac{rf(p)}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\sup L(P, f) \ge L(P, f) \ge \frac{rf(p)}{2} > 0,$$

a contradition since  $\int_a^b f(x)dx = \sup L(P, f) = 0$ . Hence f = 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .