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#### Abstract

Five hexacarbocyclic triterpenes, namely chamaecydin, $6 \alpha$-hydroxychamaecydin, $6 \beta$-hydroxychamaecydin, $10^{\prime} \alpha$-hydroxycryptoquinone and $10^{\prime} \beta$-hydroxycryptoquinone, whose skeleton comprises spiro-annulation of abietanetype diterpenes and thujane-type monoterpenes, were isolated from the leaves of Cryptomeria japonica.


## INTRODUCTION

The Japanese cedar, Cryptomeria japonica D. Don., is a widely distributed conifer called 'sugi' in Japanese [1]. Its constituents have been extensively studied. The leaves are reported to contain monoterpenes, diterpenes and flavones [2-9]. In this paper, we report five uncommon triterpenes 1-5, isolated from the ethyl acetate-soluble part of the leaves of C. japonica.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ of 1 was deduced from its exact mass [M] ${ }^{+}$at $m / z 448.298$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed two carbonyl groups ( $\delta 205.7$ and 182.6) and three double bonds ( $\delta 123.6,136.3,144.6$, $145.1,149.1$ and 151.7$)$. These analyses indicated that 1 , $\operatorname{mp} 197-198^{\circ},[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+33^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c\right.$ 2.5), is an uncommon hexacarbocylic triterpene, chamaecydin, previously isolated from the seed of Chamaecyparis obtusa (Cupressaceae) [10]. Compound 1 contains two subunits, an abietane-type diterpene and a thujane-type monoterpene, which are spiro-annulated. Assignment of the proton and carbon resonances (Tables 1 and 2) was further supported by a C-H COLOC spectrum and the NOE experiments (Fig. A). For example, the quaternary C-4' at $\delta 57.9$ was shown to correlate with H-2' and H-6'. Irradiation of the $\mathrm{H}-15$ resonance at $\delta 3.16$ caused NOEs on the two cyclopropane methylene protons. In the CD spectrum, compound 1 exhibited a distinct positive Cotton effect with the maximum at 455 nm in addition to others.

Compound $2\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$, mp 220-222 ${ }^{\circ}$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-51^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c 0.8$ ), was similarly determined to be $6 \alpha$-hydroxychamaecydin [10], ( $6 \alpha, 11$-dihydroxyabieta-7,9,13-trien-12-one)-7,10: $4^{\prime}, 14$-( $10^{\prime}$-oxothujane). Since the res-

[^0]onance of H-6 at $\delta 5.02$ showed a large coupling constant ( $J_{5.6}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), the $\mathrm{H}-6$ should be on the axial position (the $\beta$-face). The IR absorptions at 1693 and $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as well as the UV absorption at $350 \mathrm{~nm}(\varepsilon 25000)$ were characteristics of the quinone methide moiety of 2.

By analyses of the spectral properties, compound 3 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ was readily recognized as $6 \beta$-hydroxychamaecydin, an epimer of 2 . The equatorial $6 \alpha$-proton occurred at $\delta 5.10$ with a small coupling constant, $J_{5,6}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The new triterpene 3 exhibited a positive Cotton effect with the maximum at 453 nm , whereas 2 showed a negative Cotton effect with the minimum at 457 nm .

Compound 4 showed the exact mass [ M$]^{+}$at $m / z$ 464.292 , indicating its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. The presence of intramolecular hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl group was inferred from the IR absorption at $3298 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (sharp). The hydroxyl resonance at $\delta 7.66$ in the NMR spectrum was not shifted by changing to a different concentration of sample. The IR spectrum also displayed strong absorptions at 1650 and $1637 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributable to a conjugated carbonyl group and a quinone moiety, respectively. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2) revealed two isopropyl groups and three methyl groups positioned on tertiary carbons. Two methylene protons of a cyclopropane ring occurred at $\delta 0.57$ and 0.83 , but no olefinic protons were present. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum exhibited a resonance at $\delta 191.8$ for a ketone, a resonance at $\delta 183.1$ for a quinone and the signals for six olefinic carbons bearing no proton. Judging from the above spectral properties, we suggest that 4 is a hexacyclic triterpene (11-hydroxyabieta-7,9,13-triene-6,12-dione)$7,10^{\prime}: 4^{\prime}, 14$-( $10^{\prime} \beta$-hydroxythujane), constructed by two subunits of the abietane-type diterpene and the thujanetype monoterpene. These two subunits were spiro-annulated ( $7,10^{\prime}: 14,4^{\prime}$ ) to form an extra carbocyclic ring. The $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ at 354 nm ( $\varepsilon 29800$ ) was in agreement with the calculated value of a vinylogous quinone moiety. Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}-10^{\prime}(\delta 4.70)$ geminal to the hydroxyl group


A

$4 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$
$5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{OH}$
$6 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}=0$

B

Table 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectral data of compounds $1-4$ and 6 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution, $\delta$ values in ppm)*

| C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36.5 | 37.2 | 37.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 |
| 2 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| 3 | 41.6 | 43.2 | 43.9 | 42.7 | 42.7 |
| 4 | 33.8 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 33.6 | 35.3 |
| 5 | 50.8 | 56.1 | 53.3 | 63.7 | 64.4 |
| 6 | 19.4 | 67.5 | 60.6 | 191.8 | 195.6 |
| 7 | 145.1 | 144.5 | 145.7 | 144.5 | 146.3 |
| 8 | 151.7 | 150.9 | 151.7 | 156.8 | 158.1 |
| 9 | 123.6 | 122.8 | 123.9 | 121.8 | 122.3 |
| 10 | 38.6 | 40.2 | 38.7 | 43.6 | 43.3 |
| 11 | 144.6 | 142.9 | 142.1 | 143.5 | 140.4 |
| 12 | 182.6 | 182.8 | 182.4 | 183.1 | 181.8 |
| 13 | 136.3 | 137.7 | 137.9 | 140.0 | 129.2 |
| 14 | 149.4 | 148.9 | 149.8 | 144.8 | 150.9 |
| 15 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 28.9 | 28.5 | 29.1 |
| 16 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 20.4 |
| 17 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.6 |
| 18 | 33.4 | 36.4 | 33.4 | 33.5 | 33.3 |
| 19 | 22.0 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 22.2 |
| 20 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 21.8 |
| 1 ' | 34.7 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 32.9 | 33.1 |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 30.7 | 30.7 | 30.3 | 32.1 | 31.1 |
| $3 '$ | 29.8 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 26.3 | 30.0 |
| $4{ }^{\prime}$ | 57.9 | 58.4 | 58.0 | 59.8 | 58.7 |
| 5 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 31.4 | 35.0 | 31.3 |
| 6 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 13.0 |
| 7 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 31.8 | 28.6 | 30.7 |
| 8 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 19.6 |
| $9 '$ | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.2 |
| $10^{\prime}$ | 205.7 | 207.4 | 206.1 | 80.2 | 200.7 |

*Owing to small amount of 5 available, its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals were not assigned.
caused NOEs of $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ and the resonances of $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ isopropyl groups (Fig. B). Thus, $\mathrm{H}-10^{\circ}$ is $\alpha$-orientated. The absolute configuration is assigned ( $5 S, 10 S, 1^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} S$ ) by analogy to that of 1 . The novel compound 4 is named as $10^{\prime} \beta$-hydroxycryptoquinone, of which 'crypto implies the plant source of Cryptomeria genus and the structural feature of the hidden (crypt) quinone.

The C - $10^{\prime}$ epimer $5,10^{\prime} \alpha$-hydroxycryptoquinone, was isolated in minute amount. Its structure was deduced from spectral analyses and confirmed by a chemical correlation. Thus, oxidation of 4 and 5 with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, respectively, gave the same ketone $6,10^{\prime}$-oxocryptoquinone. Compound 5 is more polar than 4.

In summary, we isolated chamaecydin (1), $6 \alpha$-hydroxychamaecydin (2) and three new analogues, $6 \beta$-hydroxychamaecydin (3), $10^{\prime} \beta$-hydroxycryptoquinone (4) and $10^{\prime} \alpha$-hydroxycryptoquinone (5) from the leaves of Cryptomeria japonica. These uncommon hexacarbocyclic triterpenes are constructed by the spiro-annulation of abie-tane-type diterpenes and thujane-type monoterpenes. The biological significance and possible pharmacological effects related to their extended vinylogous quinone moieties await further investigation.

## EXPERIMENTAL

General. Merck silica gel 60 F sheets were used for analyt. TLC. HPLC was carried out on a Hibar Lichrosorb Si $60(7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Plant material. The plant used in this study was introduced from Japan and cultivated in suburban Taipei. A voucher specimen is deposited in our laboratory. The leaves ( 1.4 kg ) of C. japonica D. Don. were exhaustively extracted with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$. The extract was passed through a

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data of compounds $1-6\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ solution, $\delta$ values in ppm, $J$ values in Hz$)$

| H | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $1.56(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{~J}$ | $1.55(d d d, J$ | 1.45 (ddd, J | 1.73 (ddd, J | 1.69 (m) | 1.70 (m) |
|  | $13,12,3.5)$ | $12,12,3)$ | 13, 13, 4) | 12, 11, 3) |  |  |
| $1 \beta$ | 2.82 (ddd, J | 2.73 (ddd, J | 2.83 (ddd, J | 2.79 (ddd, J | 2.72 (ddd, $J$ | 2.80 (ddd, J |
|  | 13, 4, 1.5) | 12, 4, 1.5) | 13,4, 1) | 11, 4, 1) | 12, 4, 1) | 11, 4, 1) |
| $2 a$ | 1.60 (m) | 1.56 (m) | 1.57 (m) | 1.60 (m) | 1.58 (m) | 1.60 (m) |
| $2 \beta$ | 1.64 (m) | 1.61 (m) | 1.82 (m) | 1.80 (m) | 1.79 (m) | 1.80 (m) |
| $3 \alpha$ | 1.21 (ddd, J | 1.40 (ddd, $J$ | 1.23 (ddd, $J$ | 1.21 (ddd, J | 1.21 (ddd, J | 1.71 (ddd, J |
|  | 12, 12, 2) | 12, 12, 2) | 12, 12, 3) | 12, 12, 3) | 12, 12, 3) | 12, 12, 2) |
| $3 \beta$ | 1.46 (ddd, $J$ | 1.54 (dda, J | 1.40 (dda, J | 1.41 (ddd, J | 1.40 (ddd, $J$ | 1.40 (ddd, $J$ |
|  | $12,4.5,2.5)$ | 12, 4.5, 2.5) | 12, 4, 2) | 12, 4, 1) | 12, 4, 1) | 12, 4, 2) |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.49(d d, J 12, \\ & 3.5) \end{aligned}$ | 1.69 (d, J 10) | 1.35 (d, J 3.5) | 2.64 (s) | 2.62 (s) | 2.58 (s) |
| $6 \times$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.69(d d, J 19, \\ & 3.5) \end{aligned}$ |  | $5.10(d, J 3.5)$ |  |  |  |
| $6 \beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.18(d d, J 19, \\ & 12) \end{aligned}$ | 5.02 (d, J 10) |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16(\text { sept }, J \\ & 7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16 \text { (sept, J } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.17 \text { (sept, } J \\ & 7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.32 (sept, J 7) | ${ }_{7}^{3.56}(\text { sept }, J$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.26(\text { sept }, J \\ & 7) \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 1.26 (d, J 7 ) | $1.26(d, J 7)$ | 1.27 (d, J 7) | $1.27(d, J 7)$ | 1.24 (d, J 7) | 1.25 (d, J 7 ) |
| 17 | $1.28(d, J 7)$ | $1.28(d, J 7)$ | $1.29(d, J 7)$ | 1.28 (d, J 7 ) | 1.27 (d, J7) | $1.30(d, J 7)$ |
| 18 | 0.94 (s) | 1.13 (s) | 1.10 (s) | 1.14 (s) | 1.14 (s) | 1.11 (s) |
| 19 | 0.97 (s) | 1.16 (s) | 1.33 (s) | 1.26 (s) | 1.24 (s) | 1.28 (s) |
| 20 | 1.14 (s) | 1.20 (s) | 1.52 (s) | 1.26 (s) | 1.25 (s) | 1.30 (s) |
| $2^{\prime} \alpha$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70(\text { dddda, } J \\ & 12,8,2,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67(d d d d, J \\ & 12,8,2,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80(d d d d, J \\ & 12,8,1,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \text { (dadd, } J \\ & 12,7.5,2.5, \end{aligned}$ 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82(d d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,2.5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73(d d d, J \\ & 12,8,1.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| $2^{\prime} \beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J \\ & 12,7,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.52(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,2) \end{aligned}$ |
| $3^{\prime} \alpha$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24(d d d, J \\ & 12,8,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20(d d d, J \\ & 12,8,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27(d d d, J \\ & 12,8,1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.18(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.02(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.25(d d d, J \\ & 12,8,2) \end{aligned}$ |
| $3^{\prime \prime} \beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64(d d d, J \\ & 12,7.5,2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83(d d d, J \\ & 12,7,1.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02(d d, J 8, \\ & 4) \end{aligned}$ | $1.08(d d, J 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4) } \\ & \text { 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $0.96(d d, J 8 \text {, }$ | $0.84(d d, J 8$ 4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.10 (dd, J8, } \\ & \text { 4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $6^{\prime} \alpha$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69(d d d, J 8, \\ & 6,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65(d d d, J \\ & 8,6,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70(d d d, J 8, \\ & 6,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \text { (ddd, } J 8 \text {, } \\ & 6,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.74(d d d, J 8, \\ & 6,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84(d d, J 8, \\ & 6) \end{aligned}$ |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ | $0.75(d d, J 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81(d d, J 6, \\ & 4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.78(d d, J 6, \\ & \text { 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.83(d d, J 6, \\ & \text { 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76(d d, J 6, \\ & \text { 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89(d d, J 6, \\ & 4) \end{aligned}$ |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \text { (sept, J } \\ & 7 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.77 \text { (sept, } J \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $1.71(\text { sept }, J$ | 1.70 (sept, ${ }^{\text {7 7 }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70 \text { (sept, } J \\ & 7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81 \text { (sept, J } \\ & 7 \text { 7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $8^{\prime}$ | 0.78 (d, J 7) | 0.78 (d, J 7) | 0.80 (d, J 7) | 0.86 (d, J 7 ) | 0.85 (d, J 7) | 0.75 (d, J 7) |
| 9 | 1.03 (d, J 7) | 1.01 (d, J 7) | $1.03(d, J 7)$ | 0.99 (d, J 7 ) | $1.00(d, J 7)$ | 1.02 (d, J 7) |
| $10^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | 4.70 (s) | 4.60 (s) |  |
| $11-\mathrm{OH}$ | 7.71 (s) | 7.75 (s) | 7.75 (s) | 7.66 (s) | 7.63 (s) | 7.93 (s) |

pad of charcoal, concd and re-extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc-soluble portion ( 45 g ) was chromatographed on a silica gel column by elution with gradients of hexane and EtOAc. The appropriate frs were combined and purified by HPLC to give $1(30 \mathrm{mg}), 2(8 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{3}(8 \mathrm{mg}), 4(8 \mathrm{mg})$ and 5 ( 4 mg ).

Chamaecydin (1). Orange crystals. Mp 197-198 (from hexane). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+33^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c 2.5\right)$. TLC $(0.7 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) $R_{f} 0.16$ IR $v_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{KBr}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3273,1693,1611,1389$, 1370. UV $\lambda_{\max }^{\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}$ (ع): 352 (32600), 342 (25400), 336 (27800), $282(2130), 240(12300) . \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):[\theta]_{474}$ $+1010,[\theta]_{455}+1480,[\theta]_{430}-1050,[\theta]_{410}+630,[\theta]_{393}$ $-620,[\theta]_{375}+710,[\theta]_{357}-600,[\theta]_{337}+960,[\theta]_{316}$
$-460,[\theta]_{290}+420,[\theta]_{267}-190,[\theta]_{253}+80,[\theta]_{231}$ -1170 . EIMS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.) $448[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 433$ (10), 405 (64), 377 (9), 366 (16), 352 (13), 340 (18), 281 (14). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 448.2979. Found 448.2976.
$6 \alpha$-Hydroxychamaecydin (2). Orange crystals. Mp $220-222^{\circ}$ (from hexane). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{5}-51^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c 0.8\right) . \mathrm{TLC}$ ( $0.7 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) $R_{f} 0.13$. IR $v_{\max }^{\mathrm{Kmr}_{2}} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 3529$, 3303, 1693, 1608, 1380, 1372. UV $\lambda_{\max }^{\text {CHCl }_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}$ (e): 350 ( 25000 ), $341(21600), 335(22000), 274(2300), 241(9300)$. $\mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):[\theta]_{457}-990,[\theta]_{434}+80,[\theta]_{411}-720$, $[\theta]_{381}+60, \quad[\theta]_{362}-110, \quad[\theta]_{345}+50, \quad[\theta]_{323}-480$, $[\theta]_{301}+280,[\theta]_{278}-540,[\theta]_{265}-370,[\theta]_{256}-400$,
$[\theta]_{232}+770$. EIMS ( 70 eV ) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ (rel. int.): 464 [M] ${ }^{+}(86)$, 446 (100), 431 (14), 418 (25), 403 (30), 377 (20), 363 (35), 350 (24), 335 (30), 281 (30). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 464.2927. Found 464.2887
$6 \beta$-Hydroxychamaecydin (3). Orange crystals. Mp $206-208^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+100^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c 0.8\right)$. TLC $(6 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) $R_{f}$ 0.42. IR $v_{\max }^{\mathrm{KBr}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3510,3305,1694,1610$. $\mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}(\varepsilon): 348$ ( 31000 ), 339 (25 500), 333 (27200), 270 (2100), 241 ( 11600 ). CD $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):[\theta]_{453}+1040$. $[\theta]_{426}+40, \quad[\theta]_{416}+100, \quad[\theta]_{396}-260, \quad[\theta]_{378}+105$, $[\theta]_{360}-80,[\theta]_{342}+40,[\theta]_{332}+30,[\theta]_{301}-240,[\theta]_{274}$ $+700,[\theta]_{249}-150$. EIMS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.): 464 $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(65), 446$ (100), 431 (8), 418 (27), 403 (25), 375 (22), 363 (35), 350 (22), 335 (32), 281 (15). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 464.2927 . Found 464.2945.
$10^{\prime} \beta$-Hydroxycryptoquinone (4). Orange crystals. Mp $210-212^{\circ} . \quad[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+40^{\circ} \quad\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \quad c \quad 0.5\right) . \quad$ TLC ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-hexane, 1:1) $R_{f} 0.30$. IR $v_{\max }^{\mathrm{KBr}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3480,3298$, 1650,1637 . UV $\lambda_{\max }^{\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}(\varepsilon): 354$ (29 800), 344 (22800), 340 (26500), $270(3200), 240(500)$. EIMS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.): $464[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(30), 446$ (100), 402 (18), 364 (8), $306(40)$, 288 (36). HR MS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 464.2927. Found 464.2916.
$10^{\prime} \alpha$-Hydroxycryptoquinone (5). Orange crystals. Mp 202-203 . TLC ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-hexane, 1:1) $R_{f} 0.25$. IR $v_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{KBr}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3500,3295,1650,1637$. UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}(\varepsilon): 352$ (29600), 342 (22300), 338 (26500), 274 (2200), 240 ( 510 ). EIMS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.): 464 [M] ${ }^{+}$(35), 446 (100), 402 (20), 364 (8), 306 (45), 288 (38). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 464.2927 . Found 464.2916.
$10^{\prime}$-Oxocryptoquinone (6). A soln of the alcohol $\mathbf{4}(6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 ml ) was treated with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(5 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixt. was stirred at room temp. ( $27^{\circ}$ ) for 3 hr , filtered, and the filtrate was concd in vacuo to give the ketone $6(6 \mathrm{mg})$. A sample of $\mathbf{5}(3 \mathrm{mg})$ was oxidized by a similar procedure
to give $6(3 \mathrm{mg})$. Orange crystals. $\mathrm{Mp} 182-183^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$ $+25^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; c 0.6\right)$. IR $v_{\max }^{\mathrm{KBr}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3300,1670,1660$, 1640. UV $\hat{i}_{\max }^{\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \mathrm{~nm}$ ( $\varepsilon$ ): 356 (29400), 345 (22600), 340 ( 26800 ), $274(2100), 240(900)$. EIMS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.): $462[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 447$ (18), 419 (72), 401 (13), 391 (15), 380 (25), 366 (58), 354 (72), 295 (30). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 462.2771 . Found 462.2750 .
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## Note added in proof:

At the stage of proof, we are aware of a recent report on hexacarbocyclic triterpene quinone methides. Shibuya, T. (1992) Phytochemistry 31, 4289.
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