Stereoselective Synthesis of δ -Lactones from 5-Oxoalkanals via One-Pot Sequential Acetalization, Tishchenko Reaction, and Lactonization by Cooperative Catalysis of Samarium Ion and Mercaptan

Jue-Liang Hsu and Jim-Min Fang*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 106, Republic of China

jmfang@ccms.ntu.edu.tw

Received August 25, 2001

By the synergistic catalysis of samarium ion and mercaptan, a series of 5-oxoalkanals was converted to (substituted) δ -lactones in efficient and stereoselective manners. This one-pot procedure comprises a sequence of acetalization, Tishchenko reaction and lactonization. The deliberative use of mercaptan, by comparison with alcohol, is advantageous to facilitate the catalytic cycle. The reaction mechanism and stereochemistry are proposed and supported by some experimental evidence. Such samarium ion/mercaptan cocatalyzed reactions show the feature of remote control, which is applicable to the asymmetric synthesis of optically active δ -lactones. This study also demonstrates the synthesis of two insect pheromones, (2*S*,5*R*)-2-methylhexanolide and (*R*)-hexadecanolide, as examples of a new protocol for asymmetric reduction of long-chain aliphatic ketones.

Introduction

Lanthanoid reagents have been widely utilized in organic synthesis.¹ SmI₂ can function as a one-electron reducing agent,² and both SmI₂ and SmI₃ can function as Lewis acids to promote a variety of reactions, such as aldol reactions,³ Diels—Alder reactions,⁴ Meerwein reductions,⁵ oxirane rearrangements,⁶ Tishchenko reactions.^{36,7} and sequential aldol-acetalization-Tishchenko reactions.^{3c,7} Uenishi and co-workers⁸ have demonstrated that 5-oxo-4-silyloxyhexanals can undergo the intramolecular Tish-

1999, *64*, 843. (4) Van de Weghe, P.; Collin, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 2545. chenko oxidoreductions on treatment with stoichiometric amounts of (t-BuO)SmI2 or aged SmI2 solution (presumably containing Sm³⁺ ion), followed by in situ cyclizations, to give the corresponding δ -lactones. They also indicate that the silvloxy substituent is essential for such transformation. Otherwise, an unsubstituted substrate like 5-oxohexanal affords only a low yield (\sim 10%) of δ -methyl- δ -lactone on treatment with (*t*-BuO)SmI₂, or simply the pinacolic coupling product on treatment with freshly prepared SmI₂ solution. Iadonisi and co-workers⁹ have employed (t-BuO)SmI₂ (2.5 equiv) to promote the Tishchenko reactions of hexos-5-uloses to give the tert-butyl esters of aldonic acids, which were subsequently converted into the corresponding sugar lactones. By using stoichiometric amounts of SmI2 as the Lewis acid promoter, we have converted 5-trimethylsilyl-5-oxopentanal to δ -trimethylsilyl- δ -lactone in the presence of MeOH (Figure 1).^{3b}

The above-mentioned reactions^{3b,8,9} are presumably initiated by addition of ROH (or RO⁻) to the aldehyde group to form a hemiacetal with the assistance of samarium ion as depicted in Figure 1. An intramolecular hydride transfer to the ketone group (Tishchenko reaction) occurs via a rigid chelate transition state.^{3c,7} The δ -oxyester intermediate can proceed with an in situ cyclization in appropriate cases to give the observed δ -lactone product. Along this line, we considered that using mercaptan RSH to replace alcohol ROH would be advantageous. RSH would be a better nucleophile than ROH on addition to the aldehyde group of 5-oxopentanals, and the resulting thioester intermediates would also be more reactive than esters in the subsequent lactonizations. We thus set out a detailed study of such onepot sequential acetalization, Tishchenko reaction, and lactonization by the promotion of samarium ions and mercaptans. The effects of substrates, nucleophiles,

(9) Adinolfi, M.; Barone, G.; De Lorenzo, F.; Iadonisi, A. Synlett 1999,

336

 ^{(1) (}a) Molander, G. A. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Trost,
 B. M.; Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 1, pp 251–282.
 (b) Molander, G. A. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 29.
 (c) Imamoto, T. Lanthanides in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: London, 1994.
 (2) Reviews of the reactions of SmI₂: (a) Kagan, H. B.; Namy, J. L. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 6573.
 (b) Inanaga, J. J. Synth. Org. Chem. 1989, 47, 200.
 (c) Soderquist, J. A. Aldrichim. Acta 1991, 24, 15.
 (d) Brandukova, N. E.; Vygodskii, Y. S.; Vinogradova, S. V. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1994, 63, 345.
 (e) Molander, G. A.; Harris, C. R. Chem. Rev. 1996,

^{96, 307. (}f) Molander, G. A.; Harris, C. R. *Tetrahedron* 1998, *54*, 3321.
(3) (a) Van de Weghe, P.; Collin, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, *34*, 3881.
(b) Chuang, T.-H.; Fang, J.-M.; Jiaang, W.-T.; Tsai, Y.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996, *61*, 1794. (c) Lu, L.; Chang, H.-Y.; Fang, J.-M. *J. Org. Chem.*

^{(5) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, S. G.; Gagne, M. R.; Muci, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9800. (b) Ding, Z.-B.; Wu, S.-H. Huaxue Xuebao 1997, 55, 351. (c) Ding, Z.-B.; Cheng, K.-J.; Wu, S.-H. Huaxue Xuebao 1997, 55, 1030.

⁽⁶⁾ Prandi, J.; Namy, J. L.; Menoret, G.; Kagan, H. B. J. Organomet. Chem. **1985**, 285, 449.

^{(7) (}a) Collin, J.; Namy, J. L.; Kagan, H. B. Nouv. J. Chem. 1986, 10, 229. (b) Evans, D. A.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6447. (c) Curran, D. P.; Wolin, R. L. Synlett 1991, 317. (d) Horiuchi, Y.; Taniguchi, M.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5353. Examples of sequential aldol-acetalization-Tishchenko reactions using the promoters other than samarium ions: (e) Burkhardt, E. R.; Bergman, R. G.; Heathcock, C. H. Organometallics 1990, 9, 30. (f) Baramee, A.; Chaichit, N.; Intawee, P.; Thebtaranonth, C.; Thebtaranonth, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1016. (g) Mahrwald, R.; Costisella, G. Synthesis 1996, 1087. (h) Bodnar, P. M.; Shaw, J. T.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5674. (i) Mascarenhas, C. M.; Miller, S. P.; White, P. S.; Morken, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 601.

⁽⁸⁾ Uenishi, J.; Masuda, S.; Wakabayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 5097.

^{10.1021/}jo016058t CCC: \$20.00 © 2001 American Chemical Society Published on Web 11/13/2001



Figure 1. Samarium ion promoted formation of δ -lactone from 5-oxo-5-silylpentanal: (i) acetalization, (ii) Tishchenko reaction, and (iii) lactonization.

catalysts, and reaction conditions would be examined. In a preliminary report,¹⁰ we have utilized SmI₂ and 2-propanethiol (i-PrSH) as the combined catalysts to convert 5-oxopentanals into their corresponding δ -lactones. (eq 1). Our ultimate goal is to devise a catalytic method for

$$H \xrightarrow{O} O \xrightarrow{O} R \xrightarrow{Sml_2 + i.PrSH} O \xrightarrow{O} R \xrightarrow{(1)}$$

the synthesis of optically active δ -lactones, which often occur in nature or as parts of natural products.¹¹

Results and Discussion

Preparations and Reactions of 5-Oxoalkanals. A series of 5-oxoalkanals 1a-g and 1j-m were prepared from cyclopentanone by a three-step sequence¹² (Scheme 1): (i) addition of Grignard reagents, (ii) dehydration, and (iii) ozonolysis. 6,6-Dimethyl-5-oxoheptanal (1h) was prepared by alkylation of the N,N-dimethylhydrazone of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone, followed by ozonolysis.¹³ Alternatively, the silyl enol ether of isobutyraldehyde underwent a Michael addition with methyl vinyl ketone to give 2,2-dimethyl-5-oxohexanal (1i).14 We found that this reaction was facilitated by using BF₃.OEt₂ as the promoter in addition to Al₂O₃–ZnCl₂.

Using 5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (1a) as a model substrate, its reactions with SmI₂ in the presence of *i*-PrOH or *i*-PrSH were investigated (Table 1). In the presence of 50 mol % of SmI₂ and 50 mol % of *i*-PrOH, the tandem acetalization-Tishchenko reaction gave a 36% yield of isopropyl 5-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoate. The subsequent lactonization did not occur under such reaction conditions (25 °C, 30 min). However, a dramatic increase of the δ -lactone product **2a** (53% yield) was obtained when *i*-PrSH was introduced to the reaction media (entry 2, Table 1). Moreover, a quantitative yield of lactone 2a was procured when 1a was stirred with SmI₂ (50 mol %) and

Preparation of 5-Oxoalkanals 1a-m Scheme 1.



(a) The overall yield of three steps. (b) The yield of 1f was low because the dehydration step also gave a side product of benzylidenecyclopentane. (c) Because the alkene precursors were partially soluble in CH₂Cl₂ on ozonolysis, significant amounts of alkenes were also recovered.

Table 1. Reactions of 5-Oxo-5-phenylpentanal (1a) Promoted by SmI₂ in the Presence of *i*-PrSH or *i*-PrOH (THF, 25 °C, 30 min)

н	O Ph additive	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	O OH
	1a	2a	
entry	mol % of SmI_2	additive (mol %)	yield (%) of 2a
1	50	<i>i</i> -PrOH (50)	а
2	50	<i>i</i> -PrOH (50) +	$53^{b,c}$
		<i>i</i> -PrSH (50)	
3	50	<i>i</i> -PrSH (40)	99 ^b
4	20	<i>i</i> -PrSH (10)	100 ^b
5	10	<i>i</i> -PrSH (20)	27^{b}
6	10	<i>i</i> -PrSH (5)	0

^a This reaction gave 36% yield of isopropyl 5-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoate. ^b The yield was estimated by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product mixture. ^c This reaction also gave 3% yield of isopropyl 5-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoate.

i-PrSH (40 mol %) at room temperature for 30 min (entry 3, Table 1).

A quantitative yield of lactone 2a was also obtained by using smaller amounts of promoters, 20 mol % of SmI₂, and 10 mol % of *i*-PrSH (entry 4, Table 1). The representative procedure is described in the Experimental Section (method D). No aldol or pinacol products were observed in such reaction conditions. However, using 10 mol % of SmI₂ only provided a low yield of the desired product (entries 5 and 6, Table 1). SmI₂ or *i*-PrSH alone did not promote the formation of lactone 2a.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Hsu, J.-L.; Chen, C.-T.; Fang, J.-M. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1989. (11) (a) Ohloff, G. Fortschr. Chem. Org. Naturst. 1978, 35, 431. (b) Mulzer, J. In Compr. Org. Funct. Group Transform, Katrizky, A. R.; Meth-Cohn, O.; Rees, C. W., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 1995; Vol. 5, 121– 179. (c) Ley, S. V.; Cox, L. R.; Meek, G. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 423. (d) Collins, I.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1998, 1869. Mcrae, K. J.; Rizzacasa, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1196. Urabe, H.; Matsuka, T.; Sato, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4183.

 ^{(12) (}a) Garbish, E. W., Jr. J. Org. Chem. **1961**, 26, 4165. (b) Hon,
 Y.-S.; Lin, S.-W.; Lu, L.; Chen, Y.-J. Tetrahedron **1995**, 51, 5019.
 (13) (a) Corey, E. J.; Enders, D. Tetrahedron Lett. **1976**, 17, 3. (b)
 Corey, E. J.; Enders, D. Chem. Ber. **1978**, 111, 1362. (c) Molander, G.

A.; Cameron, K. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 830.

^{(14) (}a) Amice, P.; Blanco, L.; Conia, J. M. *Synthesis* **1976**, 197. (b) Ranu, B. C.; Saha, M.; Bhar, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1 1985**, 2701. (c) Ranu, B. C.; Saha, M.; Bhar, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1989



To our surprise, only a small portion (<10%) of 5-alkyl-5-oxopentanals 1b-h could be converted into their corresponding δ -substituted- δ -lactones **2b**-**h** by using the above-mentioned procedure with SmI2 and *i*-PrSH as the promoters. This discrepancy might be attributable to the lower reactivity of aliphatic ketones (e.g., **1b-h**) by comparison with aromatic ketones (e.g., 1a). Fortunately, we found that a slightly modified procedure, premixing and re-injection (method E in Experimental Section), could lead to efficient formations of lactones 2b-h (Scheme 2). Thus, an aliquot of SmI_2/i -PrSH (1–5 mol % in 1 mL of THF) was premixed with the substrate (1b**h**) in an oven-dried syringe. The resulting yellow solution, an indicator of trivalent samarium ion, was then added dropwise to the original SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (50/40 mol %) solution in THF (14 mL). Accordingly, the desired lactones **2b**-**h** were obtained in excellent yields (>90%). This modified procedure was also suitable for the transformation of aromatic ketone **1a** into lactone **2a**. Even the sterically demanding aldehyde 1i was also successfully converted to lactone 2i in 80% yield.

It was noted that lactone **2i** would not be prepared by Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of 2,2,5-trimethylcyclopentanone due to the incompatible regiochemistry.¹⁵ When 5-oxotridecanal (**1e**) was treated with stoichiometric amounts of SmI₂ and *i*-PrOH, both Tishchenko oxidoreduction and intramolecular pinacolic coupling occurred to give a mixture of isopropyl 5-hydroxytridecanoate and 1-octyl-1,2-cyclopentanediol in a ratio of 1:1. No lactone **2e** was formed in the absence of mercaptan.

Reaction mechanism. On the basis of the above experimental results, one can propose a possible reaction mechanism for the formation of δ -lactones (Figure 2). A Lewis acid such as the presumed (*i*-PrS)SmI₂ or the related samarium species¹⁶ can promote the addition of *i*-PrSH to the aldehyde group of a 5-oxopentanal substrate. The samarium-bound hemithioacetal intermediate (**A**) can undergo an intramolecular hydride shift to give the intermediate of δ -oxyacid thioester (**B**). The reaction would proceed further with an irreversible lactonization, and release the catalyst (*i*-PrS)SmI₂ (or the related



Figure 2. A proposed catalytic cycle for the formation of δ -lactones.

Table 2. Transformation of 5-Oxo-5-phenylpentanal (1a) and 5-Oxotridecanal (1e) into Lactones 2a and 2e by Using SmI₂ and Disulfide (THF, 25 °C, 1 h)

	-			
entry	substrate	mol % of SmI ₂	disulfide (mol %)	product (yield, %)
1	1a	42	(PhS) ₂ (20)	2a (100)
2	1a	12	(PhS) ₂ (5)	2a (100)
3	1a	42	(MeS) ₂ (20)	2a (67)
4	1a	42	(<i>i</i> -PrS) ₂ (20)	2a (68)
5	1e	42	(PhS) ₂ (20)	2e (100)
6	1e	85	(MeS) ₂ (40)	2e (87)
7	1e	42	(<i>i</i> -PrS) ₂ (20)	2e (56)

samarium species) for the next cycle. *The deliberative use* of mercaptan is proved to facilitate the catalytic cycle, due to its strong nucleophilicity toward aldehyde and the high aptitude of the thioester intermediate toward lactonization.

It is known that SmI_2 can cleave the S–S bond of diphenyl disulfide.¹⁷ Indeed, by replacing SmI₂/*i*-PhSH with $SmI_2/(PhS)_2$, the reactions of oxoalkanals **1a** and **1e** also proceeded smoothly to give lactones 2a and 2e in quantitative yields (entries 1 and 5, Table 2). When (MeS)₂ or (*i*-PrS)₂ were used instead of (PhS)₂, lactones 2a and 2e were obtained in modest yields (56-87%, entries 3, 4, 6, and 7, Table 2). This trend was consistent with the higher reactivity of diphenyl disulfide toward SmI₂ than dialkyl disulfides. The combination of SmI₃ (20 mol %) and PhSLi (20 mol %), instead of SmI₂ and mercaptan, was also utilized to promote the transformation of oxopentanal 1a into lactone 2a (99% yield) in an expedient manner. These experiments support that the in situ generated (RS)SmI₂ or the related species actually plays a role as a reactive catalyst to facilitate the sequence of acetalization, Tishchenko reaction and lactonization. The protocol using the solid reagent of (PhS)2 to replace the odoriferous reagent *i*-PrSH also makes this method more attractive. A quantitative yield of lactone 2a was also achieved by using even less amounts of promoters, SmI₂ (12 mol %), and (PhS)₂ (5 mol %) (entry 2, Table 2). By comparison, the conventional route to δ -lactones often requires excess amounts of oxidizing and reducing agents to convert 5-oxoalkanals into 5-hydroxyalkanoic acid for the subsequent lactonization. From the point of atom economy, our method using catalytic amounts of samarium ion and mercaptan to effect the intramolecular oxidoreduction of 5-oxoalkanals appears to surpass the conventional methods of δ -lactone formation.

^{(15) (}a) Krow, G. R. Org. React. 1993, 43, 251. (b) Krow, G. R. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 7, p 671–688.
(16) It has been reported (ref 7a) that SmI₂ reacts with alcohol ROH

⁽¹⁶⁾ It has been reported (ref 7a) that SmI_2 reacts with alcohol ROH to give (RO) SmI_2 in the presence of a metallic salt as the electron carrier.

^{(17) (}a) Jia, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, X. Synth. Commun. **1994**, 24, 387. (b) Chen, R.; Zhang, Y. Synth. Commun. **1999**, 29, 3699. It has been reported that disulfide RS-SR is reduced by Sm to give $(RS)_3Sm$ by the catalysis of Ph₂CO. See (c) Taniguchi, Y.; Maruo, M.; Takaki, K.; Fujiwara, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. **1994**, 35, 7789.

Table 3. Comparison of Different Lewis Acids in the Reactions of 5-Oxo-5-phenylpentanal (1a) and 5-Oxotridecanal (1e)

		• • • • • • • • • •	- /		
Ů			י i-PrSי∕י∕י	°, ↓,	
н	∕ ~ R 1a	2a	3a R=1	Ph	
	1e	2e	3e R=	3e $R = n - C_8 H_{17}$	
entry	substrate	Lewis acid (mol %)	mol % of <i>i</i> -PrSH	products (yield, %)	
1	1a	SmI ₂ (50)	40	2a (99)	
2	1a	SmI_{3} (110)	100	3a (85) ^a	
3	1a	SmI ₃ (10)	100	3a (75) ^a	
4	1a	SmI ₂ (25)/SmI ₃ (25)	20	2a (67)	
5	1a	SmI ₂ (50)/SmI ₃ (25)	20	2a (99)	
6	1a	SmF ₃ (20)	50	b	
7	1a	SmCl ₃ (20)	50	b	
8	1a	SmBr ₃ (20)	50	b	
9	1a	Sm(OAc) ₃ (20)	50	b	
10	1a	Sm(OTf) ₃ (20)	50	b	
11	1a	Sm(<i>i</i> -PrO) ₃ (20)	50	b	
12	1a	Al(<i>i</i> -PrO) ₃ (20)	50	b	
13	1a	Ti(<i>i</i> -PrO) ₃ (20)	50	b	
14	1e	SmI_{2} (50)	40	2e (94)	
15	1e	SmI ₃ (110)	100	3e (87) ^a	
16	1e	SmI ₃ (10)	100	3e (79) ^a	

^{*a*} The product **3a** (or **3e**) existed as a mixture of two geometric isomers (cis/trans = 1:1). ^{*b*} No compounds **2a** or **3a** were obtained.

Although evidence indicated that SmI₂ was actually a pre-catalyst to generate the corresponding trivalent samarium species as the real Lewis acid catalyst.³⁻⁹ However, some studies (Table 3) also showed that the direct introduction of trivalent samarium species did not reach the same results as that using SmI₂ precatalyst. For example, treatment of 1a with stoichiometric amounts of SmI₃ and *i*-PrSH gave thioenol ether **3a** (85% yield) but not lactone 2a (entry 2, Table 3). When the amount of SmI₃ was decreased to 10 mol %, compound 3a was still obtained in 75% yield in the presence of *i*-PrSH (entry 3, Table 3). The yields of 3a changed as different amounts of *i*-PrSH were used. By using 20 and 40 mol % of *i*-PrSH along with SmI₃, oxopentanal **1a** was converted to thioenol ether **3a** in 18 and 38% yields, respectively. A variety of Lewis acids were also examined (entries 6–13, Table 3). However, neither SmF₃, SmCl₃, SmBr₃, $Sm(OAc)_3$, $Sm(OTf)_3$, $Sm(i-PrO)_3$, $Al(i-PrO)_3$, nor Ti(i- PrO_4 could convert oxopentanal **1a** to lactone **2a** or thioenol ether **3a**. We speculated that SmI_3 underwent an ligand exchange with *i*-PrSH to produce the strong acid HI, which would promote the subsequent dehydration (a diverted elimination process of intermediate A in Figure 2).¹⁸ Oxopentanal **1a** remained unchanged when an equimolar amount of Et₃N or 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (Proton-Sponge) was added to the media of SmI₃/*i*-PrSH.

When the reaction of **1a** was conducted in the media containing both SmI_2 and SmI_3 , the formation of lactone **2a** promoted by SmI_2 dominated over the formation of thioenol ether **3a** promoted by SmI_3 (entries 4 and 5, Table 3). The reactions of 5-oxotridecanal (**1e**) afforded lactone **2e** by the catalysis of SmI_2/i -PrSH (entry 14,

Hsu and Fang





Table 3) and produced thioenol ether **3e** in the presence of SmI_3/i -PrSH (entries 15 and 16, Table 3).

Stereochemistry. To know the stereochemistry in formation of substituted δ -lactones, we synthesized the 2-, 3-, and 4-methyl-5-oxoalkanals and examined their SmI₂/*i*-PrSH promoted reactions (Scheme 3). Compounds 4a,b, 5a, and 7a,b were prepared via their hydrazone derivatives according to the procedure used for 1h (Scheme 1).13 Thus, the hydrazones of 3-pentanone and propiophenone were alkylated with 4-bromo-1-butene, followed by ozonolysis, to give the 4-methyl-5-oxoaldehydes 4a and 4b. The hydrazone of acetophenone was alkylated with 2-(2-iodopropyl)-1,3-dioxolane, followed by acid-catalyzed hydrolysis, to give 3-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (5a). The cyclic compounds 7a and 7b were similarly prepared from the hydrazone of cyclohexanone and 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone via alkylation with 2-(2iodoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane and hydrolysis.

The SmI₂/*i*-PrSH-catalyzed reactions of substituted oxoalkanals occurred in a highly stereoselective manner. Lactones **8a,b**,^{19,20} derived from 4-methyl-5-oxopentanals **4a,b**, had a cis configuration as indicated by a small coupling constant (3 Hz) between H-4 and H-5. Treatment of 3-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (**5a**) with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH at 25 °C in THF solution gave lactone **9a** as a mixture of trans and cis isomers (77:23).²¹ The trans/cis isomeric ratio was increased to 94:6 by performing the

⁽¹⁸⁾ Condensation of carbonyl compounds with mercaptans has been achieved by the promotion of $TiCl_4/Et_3N$ to give thioenol ethers. See (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Saigo, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1973**, 479. There is no previous report on the formation of thioenol ethers by using SmI₃ as the promoter. In our preliminary report (ref 10), we wrongly assigned the structures of **3a** and **3e** as isopropyl thioesters of 5-phenylpent-4-enoic acid.

^{(19) (}a) Kobayashi, Y.; Kitano, Y.; Takeda, Y.; Sato, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2937. (b) Fereira, J. T. B.; Marques, J. A.; Marino, J. P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1994**, *5*, 641.

⁽²⁰⁾ Oshima, M.; Yamazaki, H.; Shimizu, I.; Nisar, M.; Tsuji, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6280.

reaction at 0 °C. The trans lactone showed an NOE correlation between Me-3 and H-5, whereas the cis isomer was devoid of this effect. The SmI₂/i-PrSHcatalyzed reactions of 2-(3-oxopropyl)cyclohexanones 7a,b at 25 °C afforded 1-oxa-2-decalones 11a,b in preponderance of the 9,10-trans isomers.^{22,23} The trans decalones had H-9 and H-10 on axial positions as characterized by the ddd splitting pattern (J = 10, 10, 4 Hz) of H-9 in the ¹H NMR spectra.

An inseparable mixture (1:1) of (R)-3-methyl-5-oxohexanal [(R)-5b] and (R)-2-methyl-5-oxohexanal [(R)-6]was prepared from (R)-3-methylcyclopentanone by a three-step sequence similar to that for **1b** (Scheme 1). Thus, the addition reaction with MeMgCl, followed by acid-catalyzed dehydration afforded a mixture of 1,3dimethylcyclopentene and 1,4-dimethylcyclopentene, which was subjected to ozonolysis to give (R)-5b and (R)-6. Treatment of the mixture of (*R*)-**5b** and (*R*)-**6** (1:1) with SmI2 (50 mol %) and *i*-PrSH (40 mol %) at 0 °C gave a mixture of lactones (3S, 5S)-9b and (2R, 5S)-10 (1:1) according to the ¹H NMR analysis. The analytic samples of lactones (3S,5S)-9b and (2R,5S)-10 were isolated by HPLC, and their optical rotations were in agreement with the reported values.^{24,25} The enantiomer of lactone (2R,5S)-**10** is a pheromone of carpenter bee (*Xylocopa hirsutissima*).²⁵

The stereochemical outcomes can be interpreted by comparisons of the transition states **C** versus **D** and **E** versus F. Transition state C, giving cis-8a,b, trans-9a,b, and *cis*-10 is energetically favored due to the equatorial dispositions of substituents (R², R³, and R⁴), whereas the alternative transition state **D** exerts steric repulsions due to the axially oriented substituents. Transition state **E**, giving *trans*-**11a**,**b**, having hydride attack the cyclohexanone moiety from the axial direction is superior to an equatorial attack in the transition state F. Many previous studies support that axial H⁻ delivery to cyclohexanones is a kinetically favored process.^{3d} Under such circumstances, product development control also favors formation of the more stable equatorial alcohol (as shown in E). The stereoselectivities are in agreement with the previous findings^{3d,7c} of the related intermolecular Tishchenko reactions.

Synthesis of optically Active δ **-Lactones.** For the synthesis of optically active δ -lactones, many methods rely on obtaining chiral 5-hydroxyalkanoic acids or derivatives as the requisite starting materials. The asymmetric synthesis of δ -alkyl- δ -lactones, as those found in nature as sex pheromones, has extra difficulties in obtaining long-chain aliphatic precursors with chiral carbinyl centers. A general approach to δ -alkyl- δ -lactones utilizes the natural source of chiral alcohols, which are elaborated (often in a lengthy sequence) to reach the target molecules. For example, 25a (S)-lactate and (R)- β hydroxyisobutyrate have been used to synthesize a sex pheromone, (2S,5R)-2-hexanolide (10), in a ten-step sequence. Chemical resolution of alcohols, by derivatization and chromatographic separation, has provided an alternative source of optically active 5-hydroxy-6-hexadecynenitrile,²⁶ which is further elaborated to a pheromone of Oriental hornet (Vespa orientalis), (R)-5-hexadecanolide (2j). Although asymmetric reduction²⁷ of phenones and the ketones with adjuvant groups (e.g., α -methoxyketones and β -ketoesters) has advanced in this decade, the highly enantioselective reduction of unsubstituted long-chain aliphatic ketones remains a challenging task.²⁸ Microbial reduction (e.g., using bakers' yeast)²⁹ has shown some success in this aspect, but it is still limited by low yielding and substrate specificity.

As to the samarium ion catalyzed reactions, we synthesized many optically active δ -lactones via three routes: (i) using chiral 5-oxoalkanals such as (S)-6, (ii) using stoichiometric amount of chiral alcohols to react with 5-oxoalkanals, and (iii) using catalytic amount of chiral mercaptan to promote the conversion of 5-oxoalkanals. All three methods showed a common feature of remote control to establish the chirality of carbinyl center at C-5.



To prepare (S)-2-methyl-5-oxohexanal (6), propionaldehyde was condensed with (S)-1-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)pyrrolidine (SAMP)³⁰ to give a chiral hydrazone (eq 2). Alkylation of such hydrazone with 4-iodo-2-methyl-1-butene, followed by ozonolysis, thus occurred in a highly stereoselective fashion to give (*S*)-6. Treatment of (*S*)-6 with SmI₂ (50 mol %) and *i*-PrSH (40 mol %) afforded (2S,5R)-2-methylhexanolide (10) exclusively. The melting point (40–42 °C) and optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D$ +63.5) were in agreement with the values reported for the natural product.²⁵ The stereochemistry was consistent with the mirror image of transition state C (Figure 3), in which $R = R^2 = Me$ and $R^3 = R^4 = H$. The preferable equatorial

⁽²¹⁾ Barbero, A.; Blakemore, D. C.; Fleming, I.; Wesley, R. N. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1329.

^{(22) (}a) Forzato, C.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 1243. (b) Griffiths, D. V.; Wilcox, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1988, 431. (c) Chandrasekhar, S.; Venkatesan, V. J. Chem. Research (M), 1995, 1137. It has been reported (ref 22c) that 2-(3-oxopropyl)cyclohexanone (7a) underwent an intramolecular Cannizzaro reaction in boiling NaOH solution (3 M) to give 3-(2hydroxycyclohexyl)propionic acid, which was subjected to lactonization on treatment with concentrated HCl to give exclusively the trans isomer of 11a (59%), based on an analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum (90 MHz). In our hand, the two-step reaction afforded a *trans/cis* mixture of **11a** in a ratio of 91:9 based on an analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum (300 MHz).

⁽²³⁾ Edward, J. T.; Cooke, E.; Paradellis, T. C. Can. J. Chem. 1982, 60 2546

⁽²⁴⁾ Carroll, F. J.; Meck, R. Synth. Commun. 1971, 169. Carroll, F. (25) (a) Mori, K.; Senda, S. *Tetrahedron* 1985, 41, 541. (b) Katsuki,

T.; Yamaguchi, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 651. (c) Schink, H. E.; Baeckvall, J.-E. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 1588.

⁽²⁶⁾ Pirkle, W. H.; Adams, P. E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2169.
(27) (a) Nishizawa, M.; Noyori, R. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 8, pp 159–182. (b) Singh, V. K. Synthesis **1992**, 605. (c) Downham, R.; Edwards, P. J.; Entwistle, D. A.; Hughes, A. B.; Kim, K. S.; Ley, S. V. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 2403.
 (28) (a) Imai, T.; Tamura, T.; Yamamura, A.; Sato, T.; Wollmann,

T. A.; Kennedy, R. M.; Masamune, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 7402. (b) Brown, H. C.; Ramachandran, P. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4504

^{(29) (}a) Utake, M.; Watabu, H.; Takeda, A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4363. (b) Haase, B.; Schneider, M. P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993; 4. 1017.

^{(30) (}a) Enders, D.; Kipphardt, H.; Fey, P. Org. Synth. 1987, 65, 183. (b) Enders, D.; Bockstiegel, B. Synthesis 1989, 7, 493.



Figure 3. Transition states in the SmI₂/*i*-PrSH promoted reactions of substituted 5-oxoalkanals 4a-7b. Transition state C having equatorial substituents is favored over transition state **D** having axial substituents. Transition state **E** with hydride transfer to the axial positions of cyclohexanones is favored over transition state \mathbf{F} with hydride transfer to the equatorial positions of cyclohexanones.

orientation of R^2 group at C-2 determined the newly generated stereocenter at C-5 in a sense of remote control.

As shown in Table 4, the reaction of oxopentanal 1a with (-)-menthol (110 mol %) was promoted by SmI₂ (100 mol %) to give the desired 5-hydroxy ester 12a (61%), along with diester 13a (31%) and pinacol 14a (8%). The



hydroxyester 12a was saponified and treated with acid to give lactone 2a with predominance of the (S)-enantiomer (84% ee) as determined by HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OB column.³¹ The diastereomeric excess of hydroxyester 12a was deduced to be 84% by analogy to that of lactone 2a, although our primary examination of 12a with 300 MHz NMR or HPLC analyses could not tell the difference of two diastereomers. Diester 13a could be derived either by transesterification between two molecules of hydroxyester **12a**, or by the second acetalization-Tishcheko reaction of oxopentanal 1a with hydroxyester 12a. A clue was found by the following experiment. In the presence of SmI₂, oxopentanal 1a (84% ee) was treated with an equimolar amount of hydroxyester 12a in THF at room temperature for 30 min to give a mixture of 12a (45%), 13a (35%), and 2a (20%). The mixture was then treated with trifluoroacetic acid in CH₂-Cl₂ to give lactone 2a (87% overall yield) with 72% ee in favor of the (S)-enantiomer. The ee value should keep unchanged if diester 13a were formed by transesterification of two molecules of hydroxyester 12a. As the ee values varied, oxopentanal 1a and hydroxyester 12a likely underwent the tandem acetalization-Tishchenko reaction to give **13a** with a predominance of the (5S,5'R)isomer. The subsequent lactonization would give both (S)and (R)-enantiomers of 2a, causing the ee value lower

than 84%. It appeared that (-)-menthol with (R)-chirality at the carbinyl center directed the (S)-chirality in hydroxyester 12a, whereas hydroxyester 12a with (S)chirality guided the (5'*R*)-chirality in diester **13a**.

By the promotion of SmI₂, oxopentanal **1a** reacted with (-)-8-phenylmenthol to give hydroxyester 12b (48% yield), which was subsequently converted to lactone 2a with 72% ee in favor of the (S)-enantiomer. The reaction of 1a with (+)-neomenthol gave a low yield (4%) of hydroxyester 12c with decreasing stereoselectivity as deduced by its conversion to lactone 2a of 21% ee (entry 3, Table 4). To avoid the complication of pinacolic coupling reactions, oxopentanal 1a was treated with SmI₃ (20 mol %) and (-)-menthol (100 mol %) in refluxing THF for 1 h (entry 4, Table 4). The crude product mixture was subsequently treated with trifluoroacetic acid in CH₂Cl₂ to give lactone 2a (65% overall yield) with 78% ee in favor of the (S)-enantiomer.

The SmI₂-promoted reaction of 5-oxotridecanal (1e) with (-)-menthol afforded hydroxyester **12e** (51%), diester **13e** (20%), pinacol **14e** (20%), and lactone **2e** (9%). The lactone **2e** obtained directly from this reaction had 32% ee in favor of the (R)-enantiomer, whereas hydroxyester 12e was saponified and subjected to cyclization to give lactone 2e with 72% ee in favor of the (R)-enantiomer.³² When oxoalkanal 1e was heated with (-)-menthol (100 mol %) and SmI₃ in refluxing THF, lactone **2e** (31%) ee) was obtained after the subsequent treatment with CF_3CO_2H (entry 6, Table 4).

As we have demonstrated that oxoalkanals **1a-i** could be converted directly to δ -lactones **2a**-i by the synergistic catalysis of SmI₂ and mercaptan, we also wished to investigate whether the related asymmetric reactions could be achieved in the presence of chiral additives? Using the SmI₂/*i*-PrSH-promoted reaction of 5-oxotridecanal (1e) as a model, several additives were examined. The reaction of 1e with SmI₂ (50 mol %), *i*-PrSH (40 mol %), and (S)-1,1-bi-2-naphthol (50 mol %) at room temperature gave lactone 2e (42% yield) with 15% ee in favor of the (R)-enantiomer. No asymmetric induction was found with the chiral additives of (-)-sparteine, bisphosphoramide 15^{33} , or salen 16^{34} . In the presence of (*R*)-



methyl p-tolylsulfoxide or (S)-proline, a reductive coupling reaction of 1e was effected by SmI2 to give pinacol 14e, instead of the desired lactone 2e.

As we have shown that chiral alcohols did induce the reactions of 5-oxoalkanals to give optically enriched 5-hydroxyesters and δ -lactones, we wished to devise the asymmetric catalytic reactions of 5-oxoalkanals by using chiral mercaptans to facilitate the formation of chiral δ -lactones. There are only a few chiral mercaptans available in nature; we thus prepared a series of chiral

- (d) Willetts, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2537.
 (33) Yang, W.-B.; Fang, J.-M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 1356.
 (34) (a) Pozzi, G.; Cavazzini, M.; Cinato, F.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 1947, 7.

^{(31) (}a) Bolm, C.; Schlinggloff, G.; Weickhardt, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1848. (b) Downham, R.; Edwards, P. J.; Entwistle, D. A.; Hughes, A. B.; Kim, K. S.; Ley, S. V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 2403.

^{(32) (}a) Utaka, M.; Watabu, H.; Takeda, A. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1475. (b) Godnon, R.; Grogan, G.; Levitt, M. S.; Roberts, S. M.; Wan, P. W.

Table 4. Samarium Ion Promoted Reactions of 5-Oxoalkanals with Chiral Alcohols (Step i), and the Subsequent Lactonization (Step ii)

	н́	O O R + R*OH Sml	$\frac{2 \text{ or Sml}_3}{(i)} \stackrel{O}{R^*O}$	OH R (ii)	O , R	
	1a $R = Ph$ 12a $R = Ph, R^* = menthyl$ 2a $R = Ph$ 1e $R = n-C_8H_{17}$ 12b $R = Ph, R^* = 8-Ph-menthyl$ 2e $R = n-C_8H_{17}$ 12c $R = Ph, R^* = neomenthyl$ 12e $R = n-C_8H_{17}, R^* = menthyl$ 12e $R = n-C_8H_{17}, R^* = menthyl$					
entry	substrate	R*OH	promoter (mol %)	step i (yield, %)	step ii (yield, %)	configuration ^a (% ee)
1	1a	(–)-menthol	SmI ₂ (100)	12a (61) ^b	2a (65)	S (84)
2	1a	(–)-8-Ph-menthol	SmI_2 (100)	12b (48) ^c	2a (77)	S (72)
3	1a	(+)-neomenthol	SmI ₂ (100)	12c (4) ^d	2a (70)	R (21)
4	1a	(–)-menthol	SmI ₃ (20)	_ <i>e</i>	2a (65)	S (78)
5	1e	(–)-menthol	SmI ₂ (100)	12e (51) ^f	2e (69)	R (72)
6	1e	(–)-menthol	SmI ₃ (20)	_ <i>e</i>	2e (58)	R (31)

^a The configuration of the major enantiomers of lactonic products. ^b This reaction also gave diester 13a (31%) and pinacol 14a (8%). ^c This reaction also gave lactone **2a** (21%), diester **13b** (25%) and pinacol **14a** (6%). ^d This reaction also gave lactone **2a** (14%), diester **13c** (52%), and pinacol **14a** (29%). ^e The reaction mixture was directly treated with CF₃CO₂H in CH₂Cl₂ to give lactone **2a** (or **2e**). ^f This reaction also gave lactone 2b (9% yield, 32% ee), diester 13e (20%) and pinacol 14e (20%).

mercaptans 17-38 by derivatization of terpene alcohols, sterols, α -amino acids, and β -amino alcohols (Scheme 4). We also utilized the reaction of 5-oxotridecanal (1e) as a model to study the effects of chiral mercaptans on the enantioselective synthesis of δ -lactone **2e**.

The tosylate ester of (-)-menthol was treated with potassium thioacetate (AcSK), followed by reduction with DIBAL to give neomenthanethiol 17,35 a reminiscent of neomenthol. In the presence of SmI_2 (40 mol %) and mercaptan 17 (50 mol %), 5-oxotridecanal was converted to lactone **2e** (37% yield) in favor of the (S)-enantiomer (18% ee). The ee value was determined by HPLC analysis on a Chiracel OB column, and the absolute configuration of the preferable enantiomer was determined by comparison with the optical rotation of the authentic sample reported in the literature.³² Since menthol exerted a higher asymmetric induction than neomenthol in the reaction with 5-oxoalkanal (compared entries 1 and 3 in Table 4), menthanethiol might be a better promoter for the enantioselective reaction of 5-oxoalkanal. However, attempts to prepare pure menthanethiol failed. The tosylate ester of (-)-menthol, having the tosyloxy group on the axial position, underwent elimination on treatment with AcSK, instead of the desired S_N2 reaction. Treatment of the dithiolane derivative of (-)-menthone with BuLi gave a mixture of menthanethiol and neomenthanethiol,³⁶ which could not be separated by chromatography. The reaction of 1e with this mixture and SmI₂ gave 80% yield of 2e with 33% ee in favor of the (S)-enantiomer. One might argue whether using enantiomerically pure menthanethiol as the promoter would enhance the enantioselective reaction?

When the pinane-type mercaptan 18³⁷ and the cholesterol-type mercaptan 19³⁸ were used together with SmI₂, the reactions of 1e gave lactone 2e in 20 and 14% ee, respectively, in favor of the (R)-enantiomer. We speculated that an annexed group at the neighboring position of the thiol might help in chelation with samarium ion,

and thus improved the enantioselectivity in the reaction with 1e. Indeed, the reaction occurred in higher enantioselectivities (38 and 44% ee) by using the camphor-type mercaptans³⁹ 20 and 21 annexed with hydroxyl groups. However, the reaction using the camphor-type mercaptans³⁹ 22-24 with neighboring alkoxy groups did not show any improved enantioselectivity (12-22% ee). It was noted that the isomeric mercaptans 22 and 24 showed the opposite enantiotopic preference in promoting lactone formation, a phenomenon also observed in the reactions promoted by menthol and neomenthol.

Derivatization of L-cysteine and (S)-proline gave the chiral mercaptans 25^{40} and 26, ⁴¹ of which the β -carbons are chiral but the α -carbons are achiral. The enantioselectivities in the SmI_2 promoted reactions of **1e** with mercaptans 25 or 26 and SmI_2 turn out to be low, giving 24 and 10% ee of lactone 2e. We found that the ephedrine-related mercaptans containing stereocenters at both α - and β -carbons showed higher enantioselectivities (up to 68% ee). The ephedrine-related mercaptans were generally prepared via the ring-opening reactions of aziridines with thio acids (Scheme 5).42 For example, (1R,2S)-(-)-norephedrine underwent a Mitsunobu reaction (DIAD, Ph₃P, Et₃N) to give an aziridine, which was then treated with thioacetic acid to give mercaptan 30 after an in situ migration of the acetyl group. The chirality at C-1 was unchanged due to the double S_N2 reactions.⁴² The structure of **30** was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction analysis. Mercaptan 3342 was similarly prepared form (1R, 2S)-(-)-ephedrinium chloride, whereas mercaptan 36 was prepared from (1R, 2R) - (-)-pseudoephedrine. Mercaptans 37 and 38 were prepared from (1S,2R)-(+)-2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol.

By comparison of the reactions of **1e** promoted by SmI₂ and mercaptans 27-38, it appeared that the chirality of the lactonic product **2e** was dictated by the chirality at

⁽³⁵⁾ Taj, S. S.; Soman, R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 1513. Mikolajczyk, M.; Perlikowska, W.; Omelanczuk, J. Synthesis 1987, 1009.

⁽³⁶⁾ Wilson, S. R.; Georgiadis, G. M.; Khatri, H. N.; Bartmess, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3577.

⁽³⁷⁾ Aggarwal, V. K.; Kalomiri, M.; Thomas, A. P. *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry **1994**, *5*, 723.

^{(38) (}a) Volante, R. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3119. (b) Hojo, K.; Yoshino, H.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1977, 437.

^{(39) (}a) Goodridge, R. J.; Hambley, T. W.; Haynes, R. K.; Ridley, D. D. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 2881. (b) Lee, D.-S.; Huang, S.-M.; Lai, M.-C.; Chu, H.-Y.; Tang, T.-K. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. **1993**, 25, 673. (c) Yang, T.-K.; Chu, H.-Y.; Lee, D.-S.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; Chou, T.-S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, *37*, 4537. (40) (a) Lesk, A.; Nudelman, A. *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 1405.

⁽b) Uhrig, R. K.; Picard, M. A.; Beyreuther, K.; Wiessler, M. Carbohydr. Res. 2000, 325, 72.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Gibson, C. L. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 1551. (42) (a) Poelert, M. A.; Hof, R. P.; Peper, N. C. M. W.; Kellogg, R. M. Heterocycles 1994, 37, 461. (b) Kang, J.; Kim, D. S.; Kim, J. I. Synlett 1994.842.



^{*a*} Referring to the yield and ee value of the lactonic product **2e** obtained by using the individual mercaptan promoter.





the α -carbons of mercaptans. For example, the mercaptans **30**, **33**, and **36** with (*R*)-chirality at the α -carbons induced the (*R*)-enriched lactone **2a**, whereas the mercaptans **37** and **38** with (*S*)-chirality at the α -carbons promoted the formation of (*S*)-enriched **2e**. The chirality at the β -carbons of mercaptans hardly had influence on

Table 5. Reactions of 5-Oxoalkanals Promoted by SmI₂ (50 mol %) and Mercaptan 30 (40 mol %) at 0 °C in THF Solution

		$\frac{O}{R} \frac{Sml_2 + TH}{TH}$	mercaptan : F, 0 ^o C, 1 h		२
entry	substrate	R	product (yield, %)	% ee (configuration) ^a	[α] _D
1	1a	Ph	2a (83)	49 (<i>S</i>)	-19.1
2	1b	Me	2b (84)	47 (<i>R</i>)	+14.8
3	1d	<i>n</i> -hexyl	2d (80)	40 (<i>R</i>)	+18.6
4	1e	<i>n</i> -octyl	2e (75)	68 (<i>R</i>)	+22.0
5	1f	benzyl	2f (73)	48^{b}	+7.7
6	1g	<i>c</i> -hexyl	2g (74)	43^{b}	-11.3
7	1ĥ	<i>t</i> -Bu	2h (73)	44^b	-12.0
8	1j	<i>n</i> -undecyl	2j (75)	74 (<i>R</i>)	+27.9
9	1k	<i>n</i> -tetradecyl	2k (76)	63^{b}	+16.0
10	11	<i>n</i> -octadecyl	21 (84)	65^{b}	+10.2
11	1m	<i>o</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	2m (74)	49^{b}	-9.4

^{*a*} The absolute configuration of major enantiomer. The ee value was determined by HPLC analysis on chiral columns. The absolute configuration of major enantiomer was determined by comparison of optical rotation with that reported in the literature. ^{*b*} The absolute configuration of major enantiomer was not determined.

the enanatiomeric preference of lactone **2e**. The reactions using mercaptans with the neighboring acetamido group appeared to give lactone **2a** in a higher ee value (compared mercaptan **30** with **29** and **33**). Among the examined examples, we obtained a 75% yield of (*R*)-enriched lactone **2e** (68% ee) by treatment of 5-oxotridecanal with SmI₂ (50 mol %) and mercaptan **30** (40 mol %) in THF at 0 °C for 1 h.

Mercaptan **30** was also applied to the enantioselective synthesis of other δ -lactones (Table 5). A series of optically enriched δ -lactones **2a**-**m** was obtained by treatments of 5-oxoalkanals **1a**-m with SmI₂ (50 mol %) and mercaptan 30 (40 mol %). All the reactions occurred in a consistent enantiotopic preference. The observed enantioselectivity was accounted on the intramolecular hydride transfer to the 5-keto group, similar to the intermediate A depicted in Figure 2. Thus, the major 5-phenyl lactones **1a** and **1m** have the (S)-configuration via the si-face hydride transfer, whereas the major lactones 1b, 1d, 1e, and 1j with primary alkyl substituents have the (R)-configuration via the re-face hydride transfer. Accordingly, a hornet pheromone (R)-5-hexadecanolide⁴³ (74% ee) was synthesized in 75% yield from 5-oxohexadecanal (entry 8, Table 5). The major enantiomers of 2a, 2f, and 2m having phenyl substituents tended to be less retained in Chiralcel OB and OB-H columns than their corresponding minor enantiomers. On the other hand, the major enantiomers of 2b, 2d, 2e, and **2g**-**l** with alkyl substituents had longer retetion times on the chiral columns. It was noted that lactones 2a and **2m** were formed in the same enantioselectivity (entries 1 and 11, Table 5), even though the *ortho* methoxy group of **1m** might involve in the coordination with samarium ion.

Conclusion. We have demonstrated a general method for conversion of various 5-oxoalkanals to substituted δ -lactones and 1-oxa-2-decalones by the synergistic ca-

^{(43) (}a) Taberm D. F.; Deker, P.; Gaul, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 7488. (b) Paolucci, C.; Mazzini, C.; Fava, A. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 169. (c) Ottolina, G.; Carrea, G.; Colonna, S.; Ruckemann, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1996**, 7, 1123. (d) Kayser, M. M.; Chen, G.; Stewart, J. D. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 7103.

talysis of samarium ion and mercaptan. This transformation involves a sequential acetalization, Tishchenko reaction, and lactonization in a one-pot procedure. The deliberative use of mercaptan is advantageous to facilitate the catalytic cycle (Figure 2). For example, 5-oxo-5pentanal (1a) was converted to 5-phenylpentanolide (2a) in a quantitative yield by using 20 mol % of SmI₂ and 10 mol % of *i*-PrSH as the promoters (entry 4, Table 1). The active catalyst may be considered as RS-SmI₂. Thus, SmI₂/RSSR and SmI₃/PhSLi can replace SmI₂/*i*-PrSH to promote the transformation of 5-oxoalkanals to their corresponding δ -lactones (Table 2). This approach to δ -lactones adapts the atom economy of intramolecular redox process, unlike the conventional methods requiring excess amounts of oxidizing and reducing agents to convert 5-oxoalkanals into 5-hydroxyalkanoic acid for the subsequent lactonization.

The SmI₂/i-PrSH-promoted reactions of 2-methyl-, 3-methyl-, and 4-methyl-5-oxoalkanals occurred in a high stereoselective manner. The stereochemistry can be explained by the favorable transition states C and E (Figure 3). We have also utilized the remote control of such samarium-ion-catalyzed reactions to synthesize optically active δ -lactones via three routes, by using chiral substituted-5-oxoaldehydes (eq 2), stoichiometric amount of chiral alcohols (Table 4), and catalytic amount of chiral mercaptan (Scheme 4). Thus, enantiomerically pure (2S,5R)-2-methylhexanolide (10), (S)-enriched 5-phenylpentanolide (2a, 78% ee), and (R)-enriched hexadecanolide (2j, 74% ee) were synthesized from their corresponding 5-oxoalkanals practically in one-pot procedures. The synthesis of insect pheromones 2j and 10 also demonstrates a new protocol for asymmetric reduction of long-chain aliphatic ketones.

Experimental Section

General. All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted in flame-dried apparatus under an atmosphere of argon or nitrogen. Syringes and needles for the transfer of reagents were dried at 100 °C and allowed to cool in a desiccator over P2O5 before use. Ethers were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl; (chlorinated) hydrocarbons, and amines from CaH₂. Reactions were monitored by TLC precoated with a 0.25 mm layer of silica gel containing a fluorescent indicator (Merck Art. 5544). Column chromatography was carried out on Kieselgel 60 (40–63 μ m). HPLC was performed on Lichrosorb Si 60 and Nucleosil 100 columns (25 $cm \times 1$ cm i.d.) with particle size of 7 μ m. Chiracel OB, OB-H, and OD columns (25 cm \times 0.46 cm i.d.) were used for analysis of enantiomeric excesses. Refractive index or UV detectors were used. Melting points are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a digital polarimeter with a cuvette of 10 cm length. $[\alpha]_D$ values are given in 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹. Chemical shifts of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are reported relative to CHCl₃ [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.24, $\delta_{\rm C}$ (central line of t) 77.0]. Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). Distortionless enhancement polarization transfer (DEPT) spectra were taken to determine the types of carbon signals. The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a CAD-4 diffractometer. The analyses were carried out on a microVAX III computer using NRC VAX software.

Representative Procedure for the Preparation of 5-Oxoalkanals (Scheme 1).

Method A.¹² Under an atmosphere of N₂, an ethereal solution (20 mL) of Grignard reagent was prepared from bromobenzene (6.0 g, 38 mmol) and Mg (960 mg, 40 mmol) by the activation of I₂ (small amount). A solution of cyclopentanone (2.5 g, 30 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature (25 °C). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then poured into an ice-cold 1 N HCl solution (60 mL).

The mixture was extracted with $Et_2O(3 \times)$. The ethereal phase was combined, washed with brine $(3\times)$, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give a crude addition product.

The crude product was dissolved into benzene (30 mL), and *p*-TsOH (20 mg) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 8 h while the generated water was removed azeotropically via Dean–Stark equipment. The mixture was concentrated and purified on a silica gel column by elution with hexane to give alkene (4.0 g, 93%).

The alkene was dissolved into CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL), and a stream of ozone passed through the solution at -78 °C until the light blue color of ozone persisted. The solution was purged with N₂, and stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The mixture was stirred with Me₂S (5 mL) for 5 h, followed by addition of Ph₃P in portions (4 × 1 g). The mixture was stirred for 5 h, concentrated, and purified on a silica gel column by elution with EtOAc/hexane (1:4) to give 5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (**1a**, 4.3 g, 88%).

Method B.¹³ A mixture of pinacolone (3.0 g, 30 mmol) and 1,1-dimethylhydrazine (3.6 g, 60 mmol) was heated at reflux for 10 h. Distillation using Kugelrohr apparatus gave hydrazone product (3.80 g, 89%).

To a solution of the hydrazone product (1.0 g, 7.2 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise BuLi (6.0 mL of 1 M solution in hexane) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, and 4-bromo-1-butene (1.29 g, 9.6 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h, quenched by addition of water (5 mL), and extracted with EtOAc ($2 \times$). The organic phase was concentrated, after which acetone (20 mL) and acidic resin (Amberlyte IR 120, ca. 2 g) were added. The mixture was stirred for 9 h, concentrated, and chromatographed on a silica gel column by elution with EtOAc/hexane (5:95) to give alkene product (762 mg, 69%). Ozonation by a procedure similar to that for **1a** gave 6,6-dimethyl-5-oxoheptanal (**1h**, 241 mg, 97%).

Method C.¹⁴ Isobutyraldehyde (2.37 g, 32.9 mmol) was added to a mixture of Me₃SiCl (5.23 g, 49.3 mmol) and Et₃N (6.6 g, 65.8 mmol) in DMF (20 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h, cooled, diluted with hexane (40 mL), and washed with cold aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (3 ×). The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and distilled at 70 °C to give the corresponding silyl enol ether (4.10 g, 87%).

A mixture of the silyl enol ether (1.02 g, 7.1 mmol) and methyl vinyl ketone (840 mg, 12 mmol) was added to a suspension of Al_2O_3 (5.00 g) and $ZnCl_2$ (1.35 g) in Et₂O (30 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, after which BF₃·OEt₂ (1.5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 4 h, and quenched by addition of water (1 mL). Chromatography on a short silica gel column by elution with EtOAc/hexane (1:4) gave 2,2-dimethyl-5-oxohexanal (**1i**, 992 mg, 99%).

Representative Procedure for Transformation of 5-Oxoalkanals to δ **-Lactones (Schemes 2, 3 and 4). Method D.** Under an atmosphere of argon, *i*-PrSH (30 mg, 0.04 mL, 0.4 mmol) was added to a deep blue SmI₂ (0.5 mmol) solution freshly prepared from samarium (80 mg) and 1,2diiodoethane (140 mg) in THF (15 mL). The mixture was stirred for 5 min at room temperature, and a THF solution (5 mL) of 5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (1a, 176 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and then filtered through a short silica gel column by elution with EtOAc/hexane (1:1). The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation to give a practically pure lactone **2a** (174 mg, 99%).

Method E. A slightly modified procedure was conducted by premixing an aliquot of SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (ca. 3 mol % in 1 mL of THF) with the THF solution (5 mL) of 5-oxohexanal (**1b**, 114 mg, 1.0 mmol) in an oven-dried syringe. The resulting yellow solution, an indicator of trivalent samarium ion, was then added dropwise to the original SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (50/40 mol %) solution in THF (14 mL). Accordingly, the desired product 5-hexanolide (**2b**, 133 mg) was also obtained in an excellent yield (99%).

By a similar procedure, chiral mercaptans were used to replace *i*-PrSH in the enantioselective reactions (Scheme 4 and Table 5).

Representative Procedure for the Reactions of 5-Oxoalkanals with Chiral Alcohols Promoted by SmI₂ or SmI₃ (Table 4). Method F. A solution of 5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (88 mg, 0.5 mmol) and (–)-menthol (79 mg, 0.5 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added to the freshly prepared SmI₂ (5 mL of 0.1 M solution in THF). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, and filtered through a short silica gel column by elution with EtOAc/hexane (1:1). The filtrate was concentrated to give a mixture of lactone **2a**, hydroxyester **12a**, and diester **13a** in a ratio of 61:31:8 as indicated by the ¹H NMR analysis. Hydroxyester **12a** was further separated by chromatography with elution of EtOAc/hexane (1:9). Saponification of **12a**, followed by acidification, gave lactone **2a** with 84% ee in favor of the (*S*)-enantiomer as determined by HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OB column.

Method G. A solution of SmI₃ was prepared from Sm (150 mg, 1 mmol) and I₂ (390 mg, 1.5 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL). An aliquot of SmI₃ solution (0.15 mL, 0.15 mmol) was taken, and the reaction of 5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (100 mg, 0.57 mmol) and (–)-menthol (95 mg, 0.61 mmol) was conducted in refluxing THF (10 mL) for 1 h. The crude product was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 mL) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C for 3 h to give lactone **2a** (65 mg, 65% yield) with 78% ee in favor of the (*S*)-enantiomer.

5-Phenyl-5-pentanolide (2a).³¹ Solid, mp 91–93 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OB column) $t_{\rm R}(S)/t_{\rm R}(R) = 13.4$ min/15.9 min, eluent 10% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 2 mL/min (UV 254 nm). [α]²⁶_D = -19.1 (c = 0.9, CHCl₃, 49% ee in favor of the *S*-enantiomer).

5-Hexanolide (2b).⁴⁴ HPLC (Chiralcel OB column) $t_{\rm R}(S)/t_{\rm R}(R) = 11.0 \text{ min}/14.3 \text{ min}$, eluent 10% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 2 mL/min (UV 225 nm). $[\alpha]^{29}_{\rm D} = +14.8 \ (c = 0.3, \text{ CHCl}_3, 47\% \text{ ee in favor of the$ *R* $-enantiomer)}$

5-Heptanolide (2c).⁴⁵ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxoheptanal (**1c**, 170 mg, 1.3 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.5 mmol/0.4 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2c** (155 mg, 91%).

5-Undecanolide (2d).^{29b} By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxoundecanal (**1d**, 184 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.5 mmol/0.4 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2d** (182 mg, 99%). HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column) $t_{\rm R}(S)/t_{\rm R}(R) = 15.5$ min/16.9 min, eluent 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 1 mL/min (RI detector). $[\alpha]^{24}_{\rm D} = +18.6$ (c = 0.9, CHCl₃, 40% ee in favor of the *R*-enantiomer).

5-Tridecanolide (2e).³² By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxotridecanal (**1e**, 220 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.5 mmol/0.4 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2e** (207 mg, 94%). Solid, mp 42–43 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column) $t_{\rm R}(S)/t_{\rm R}(R) =$ 8.7 min/11.2 min, eluent 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL/min (UV 225 nm or RI detector). [α]²⁷_D = +22.0 (*c* = 0.7, CHCl₃, 68% ee in favor of the *R*-enantiomer).

5-Phenyl-5-hexanolide (2f).⁴⁶ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxo-6-phenylhexanal (**1f**, 97 mg, 0.5 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.25 mmol/0.23 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2f** (96 mg, 99%). HPLC (Chiralcel OB column) $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (major isomer) = 12.0 min/13.3 min, eluent 10% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 1 mL/min (UV 254 nm). [α]³⁰_D = +7.7 (*c* = 0.5, CHCl₃, 48% ee in favor of the less retained enantiomer).

5-Cyclohexyl-5-pentanolide (2g).⁴⁷ By a procedure similar to that for 2b (Method E), 5-oxo-5-cyclohexylpentanal (1g, 49 mg, 0.27 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.25 mmol/ 0.23 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2g** (47 mg, 96%). Solid, mp 47–49 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OD column) $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (major isomer) = 9.1 min/10.3 min, eluent 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 1.3 mL/min (UV 225 nm). [α]²⁹_D = -11.3 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃, 43% ee in favor of the more retained enantiomer).

6,6-Dimethyl-5-heptanolide (2h).⁴⁸ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 6,6-dimethyl-5-oxoheptanal (**1h**, 181 mg, 1.2 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.50 mmol/ 0.34 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2h** (165 mg, 91%). HPLC (Chiralcel OB column) $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (major isomer) = 9.9 min/11.0 min, eluent 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 1.5 mL/min (UV 225 nm). [α]³⁰_D = -12.0 (c = 0.3, CHCl₃, 44% ee in favor of the more retained enantiomer).

2,2-Dimethyl-5-hexanolide (2i).⁴⁹ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 2,2-dimethyl-5-oxohexanal (**1i**, 58 mg, 0.41 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.17 mmol/ 0.15 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2i** (46 mg, 80%).

5-Hexadecanolide (2j).⁴³ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxo-hexadecanal (**1j**, 51 mg, 0.20 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.15 mmol/0.13 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2j** (43 mg, 85%). Solid, mp 39–40 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column) $t_{\rm R}(S)/t_{\rm R}(R) =$ 21.9 min/23.3 min, eluent 1.25% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 0.5 mL/min (RI detector). [α]²⁶_D = +27.9 (c = 0.5, THF, 74% ee in favor of the *R*-enantiomer).

5-Nonadecanolide (2k). By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxononadecanal (**1k**, 110 mg, 0.37 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/i-PrSH (0.40 mmol/0.30 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound 2k (84 mg, 76%). Solid, mp 49–51 °C; TLC [EtOAc/hexane (20:80)] $R_f = 0.40$; IR (neat) 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.85 (3 H, br t, J = 6.1 Hz), 1.23 (20 H, br s), 1.51-1.71 (4 H, m), 1.75-1.93(2 H, m), 2.41-2.56 (2 H, m), 4.18-4.28 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz) & 14.0 (CH₃), 18.5 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 24.9 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH2), 29.3 (CH2), 29.37 (CH2), 29.4 (CH2), 29.5 (CH2), 29.6 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 35.8 (CH₂), 80.6 (CH), 171.9 (C). HR-FAB-MS calcd for $C_{19}H_{37}O_2$ (M⁺ + 1): 297.2794. Found: 297.2793. HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column) $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (major isomer) = 18.6 min/20.1 min, eluent 1.25% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 0.8 mL/min (RI detector). $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} = +16.0$ (c = 1.5, CHCl₃, 63% ee in favor of the more retained enantiomer).

5-Tricosanolide (21).⁵⁰ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-oxotricosanal (**11**, 170 mg, 0.48 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.30 mmol/0.25 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **2l** (146 mg, 86%). Solid, mp 56–57 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column) $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (major isomer) = 19.9 min/22.1 min, eluent 1.25% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 0.8 mL/min (RI detector). [α]²⁵_D = +10.2 (c = 3.0, CHCl₃, 65% ee in favor of the more retained enantiomer).

5-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-5-pentanolide (2m). By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxopentanal (1m, 206 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/ i-PrSH (0.50 mmol/0.40 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound 2m (176 mg, 85%). Solid, mp 79-81 °C; TLC [EtOAc/hexane (50:50)] $R_f = 0.33$; IR (neat) 1726 cm⁻¹ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.70–1.86 (1 H, m), 1.89–1.98 (2 H, m), 2.14-2.20 (1 H, m), 2.49-2.74 (2 H, m), 3.87 (3 H, s), 5.67 (1 H, dd, J = 10.1 Hz, J = 3.5 Hz), 6.83-7.00 (3 H, m), 7.22–7.38 (2 H, m); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl_3, 50 MHz) δ 18.5 (CH₃), 28.9 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 55.3 (CH₃), 76.9 (CH), 110.3 (CH), 120.7 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 128.1 (C), 128.9 (CH), 155.6 (C), 171.8 (C). HR-FAB-MS calcd for $C_{12}H_{15}O_3$ (M⁺ + 1): 207.1021. Found: 207.1017. HPLC (Chiralcel OB column) t_R(major isomer)/ $t_{\rm R}$ (minor isomer) = 10.9 min/13.5 min, eluent 20% *i*-PrOH in hexane, flow rate 2 mL/min (254 nm). $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -9.4$ $(c = 1.5, CHCl_3, 49\%)$ ee in favor of the less retained enantiomer).

4-Methyl-5-heptanolide (8a).¹⁹ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 4-methyl-5-oxoheptanal (**4a**, 160 mg, 1.23 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.50 mmol/0.43 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **8a** (cis

⁽⁴⁴⁾ White, J. D.; Somers, T. C.; Reddy, G. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5352.

^{(45) (}a) Soai, K.; Yokoyama, S.; Hayasaka, T.; Ebihara, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1988**, 843. (b) Sato, M.; Sugita, Y. A.; Kaneko, C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1992**, *3*, 1157.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Jones, J. B. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2165.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Otsubo, K.; Kawamura, K.; Inanaga, J.; Yamaguchi, M. Chem. Lett. **1987**, 1487.

 ⁽⁴⁸⁾ Garner, P.; Anderson, J. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6647.
 (49) Souma, Y., Iyoda, J.; Sano, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1976**, *49*, 3291.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Jetter, R.; Riederer, M. Phytochemistry 1999, 50, 1359.

isomer, 155 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.91 (3 H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), δ 0.96 (3 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.44–1.72 (3 H, m), 1.90–2.05 (2 H, m), 2.47 (2 H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.14 (1 H, ddd, J = 11.5 Hz, J = 5.5 Hz, J = 2.8 Hz).

4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-pentanolide (8b).²⁰ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 4-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenyl-pentanal (**4b**, 61 mg, 0.32 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.25 mmol/0.17 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **8b** (cis isomer, 57 mg, 94%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.76 (3 H, d, J = 6.1 Hz), 1.68–1.81 (1 H, m), 2.10–2.31 (2 H, m), 2.67 (2 H, dd, J = 7.2 Hz, J = 6.7 Hz), 5.48 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 7.13–7.36 (5 H, m).

3-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-pentanolide (9a).²¹ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 3-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpentanal (**5a**, 94 mg, 0.48 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.50 mmol/0.43 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **9a** (91 mg, 97%) as a mixture of trans and cis isomers (77:23). The isomeric ratio changed to trans/cis = 94:6 when the reaction was conducted at 0 °C. *trans*-**9a**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.09 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.82–1.88 (1 H, m), 2.02–2.31 (3 H, m), 2.68 (1 H, dd, J = 16.3 Hz, J = 5.3 Hz), 5.50 (1 H, dd, J = 7.3 Hz, J = 4.6 Hz), 7.27–7.36 (5 H, m). *cis*-**9a**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.07 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.49–1.56 (1 H, m), 2.12–2.19 (3 H, m), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 11.9, 1.9 Hz), 5.29 (1 H, dd, J = 12.0, 3.1 Hz), 7.30–7.38 (5 H, m).

(3.5,5.5)-3-Methyl-5-hexanolide (9b)²⁴ and (2.R,5.5)-2-Methyl-5-hexanolide (10)²⁵. By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), a mixture of 3-methyl-5-oxohexanal (5b) and 2-methyl-5-oxohexanal (6) (1:1, 128 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.5 mmol/0.4 mmol) at 0 °C to give a mixture of (3.5,5.5)-9b and (2.R,5.5)-10 (1:1). The analytic samples were isolated by HPLC with elution of EtOAc/hexane (3:7). (3.5,5.5)-9b: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} = -57.7$ (c = 0.1, MeOH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 21.3, 21.4, 23.7, 36.6, 37.3, 73.6, 172.4. (2.R,5.5)-10: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} = -82.5$ (c = 0.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 1.20 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.34 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.49–1.64 (2 H, m), 1.87–1.93 (1 H, m), 2.02–2.10 (1 H, m), 2.52–2.60 (1 H, m), 4.42–4.47 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 16.2, 21.1, 25.6, 28.4, 33.0, 74.4, 176.3.

(2.5,5*R*)-2-Methyl-5-hexanolide (10).²⁵ By a procedure similar to that for 1h (Method B), propanal was condensed with (*S*)-1-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)pyrrolidine to give the corresponding hydrazone. The hydrazone was alkylated with 4-iodo-2-methyl-1-butene, followed by ozonolysis, to give (*S*)-2-methyl-5-oxohexanal, $[\alpha]^{26}_{D} = -6.7$ (c = 0.6, CHCl₃). By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), (*S*)-2-methyl-5-oxohexanal (71 mg, 0.6 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.3 mmol/0.25 mmol) at room temperature to give (2*S*,5*R*)-10 (54 mg, 76%). Solid, mp 40-42 °C; $[\alpha]^{21}_{D} = +63.5$ (c = 0.2, CHCl₃).

1-Oxa-2-decalone (11a).²² By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 3-(2-oxocyclohexyl)propanal (**7a**, 79 mg, 0.51 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.25 mmol/0.17 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **11a** (trans/ cis = 96:4, 55 mg, 70%). *trans*-**11a**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.95–2.03 (11 H, m), 2.47–2.61 (2 H, m), 3.82 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.2 Hz, J = 10.2 Hz, J = 4.2 Hz)/4.44 (br dd, J = 6.7, 3.3 Hz for cis isomer).

1-Oxa-6-*tert***-butyl-2-decalone (11b).**²³ By a procedure similar to that for **2b** (Method E), 3-(2-oxo-5-*tert*-butylcyclohexyl)propanal (**7b**, 160 mg, 1.2 mmol) was treated with SmI₂/*i*-PrSH (0.50 mmol/0.43 mmol) at room temperature to give the title compound **11b** (141 mg, 88%) as a mixture of trans and cis isomers (81:19). *trans***-11b**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.84 (9 H, s), 0.82–1.17 (3 H, m), 1.40–1.60 (3 H, m), 1.80–1.87 (3 H, m), 2.09–2.16 (1 H, m), 2.51–2.69 (2 H, m), 3.80 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.5 Hz, J = 10.4 Hz, J = 4.5 Hz). *cis***-11b**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.83 (9 H, s), 1.06–1.33 (3 H, m), 1.46–1.61 (4 H, m), 1.81–1.87 (1 H, m), 2.07–2.14 (2 H, m), 2.48 (2 H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.42 (1 H, d, J = 2.6 Hz).

(1'*R*,2'*S*,5'*R*,5*SR*)-5-Hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoic acid 2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl ester (12a). TLC [EtOAc/ hexane (20:80)] R_f = 0.26; IR (neat) 3443, 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.71 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.86 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.87 (3 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.93–1.10 (1 H, m), 1.25– 1.52 (2 H, m), 1.60–1.81 (8 H, m), 1.89–2.01 (2 H, m), 2.27– 2.31 (2 H, m), 4.60–4.69 (2 H, m), 7.20–7.45 (5 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 16.1, 20.6, 21.1, 21.9, 23.3, 26.1, 31.1, 34.1, 34.2, 38.2, 40.8, 46.9, 73.8, 73.9, 125.7 (2×), 127.3, 128.3 (2×), 144.5, 173.1; HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₃₂O₃: 332.2351. Found: 332.2341.

(1'*R*,2'*S*,5'*R*,5*SR*)-5-Hydroxy-5-tridecanoic acid 2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl ester (12e). TLC [EtOAc/hexane (20:80)] $R_f = 0.55$; IR (neat) 3449, 1734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.73 (3 H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.83–1.11 (12 H, m), 1.24–2.11 (25 H, m), 2.29 (2 H, dt, J = 7.4, 1.9 Hz), 3.52–3.59 (1 H, m), 4.66 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.8, 10.8, 4.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.1 (CH₃), 16.3 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₂), 22.0 (CH₃), 22.6 (CH₂), 23.4 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 26.3 (CH), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 37.5 (CH₂), 40.9 (CH₂), 47.0 (CH₂), 71.4 (CH), 74.1 (CH), 173.3 (C); HR-FAB-MS calcd for C₂₃H₄₅O₃ (M⁺ + 1): 369.3369. Found: 369.3362.

(2*S*,2'*S*,4*S*,4'*S*,5*R*,5'*R*)-*N*,*N*-Bis(3,4-dimethyl-2-oxo-5phenyl-1,3,2-oxazaphospholan-2-yl)-ethane-1,2-diamine (15).³³ According to the known procedure, the chiral phosphorus(V) reagent 15 was prepared from (1R,2S)-(-)ephedrine hydrochloric salt by subsequent treatments with POCl₃/Et₃N and ethylenediamine.

Salen 16. By a procedure similar to that for the related salen compounds,³⁴ the chiral salen reagent **16** was prepared by condensation of (1R,2R)-(-)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine with 4-azidomethyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde.

(1S,2S,5R)-2-Isopropyl-5-methyl-cyclohexanethiol (17).35 Treatment of (-)-menthol (3.12 g, 20 mmol) with p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (7.70 g, 40 mmol) in pyridine (30 mL) at room temperature for 16 h gave the corresponding tosylate (6.05 g 97%). The tosylate (2.60 g, 8.4 mmol) was heated (50-60 °C) with potassium thioacetate (AcSK, 2.80 g, 25 mmol) in Me₂-SO (17 mL) for 36 h. The mixture was cooled and extracted with CHCl₃ (5 \times 15 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na₂-SO₄), concentrated, and distilled (80 °C, 0.05 mmHg) to give (1S)-neomenthyl acetate (1.31 g, 73%). Diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL, 6 mmol, 1 M solution in CH2Cl2) was added dropwise to a CH₂Cl₂ solution (20 mL) of (1S)-neomenthyl acetate (420 mg, 1.96 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 5 h and quenched by addition of saturated NH₄Cl. Water (40 mL) and 1 M HCl (20 mL) were added, and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (4 \times 30 mL). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated, and distilled (90 °C, 9 mmHg) to give the title compound 17 (330 mg, 98%). $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +53.6 (c = 3.1, CHCl₃).

(1*S*,2*S*,3*R*,5*R*)-3-**Pinanethiol** (18).³⁷ By a procedure similar to that for 17, (+)-isopinocampheol was activated as the mesylate, which was subsequently treated with AcSK and DIBAL to give the title compound 18. $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} = -5.7$ (c = 3.7, CHCl₃).

(3*R*)-Cholestanethiol (19).³⁸ A THF solution (5 mL) of 3β -chloesterol (772 mg, 2.0 mmol) was treated with a mixture of Ph₃P (630 mmol, 2.4 mmol) and diisopropyl diazocarbodiimide (DIAD, 485 mg, 2.4 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0 °C for 2 h to give the corresponding thioacetate (590 mg, 78%). Reduction of the thioacetate (138 mg, 0.3 mmol) with LiAlH₄ (120 mg, 3.0 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) for 30 min gave the title compound **19** (122 mg, 99%). Solid, 80–82 °C.

(1*S*,2*R*,4*R*)-(–)-10-Mercaptoisoboneol (20).³⁹ Treatment of (1*S*)-(+)-camphorsulfonic acid (1.16 g, 5 mmol) with SOCl₂ gave the corresponding sulfonyl chloride, which was reduced with LiAlH₄ to give the title compound **20** (37% overall yield) and its *endo* isomer (7%). **20**: Solid, mp 70–72 °C; $[\alpha]^{27}_{D} = -56.1$ (c = 1.1, CHCl₃).

(*S*)-(+)-2-(α -Mercapto- α -phenylbenzyl)-1-methylpyrrolidine (26).⁴¹ According to the known procedure,⁴¹ (*S*)proline-*N*-benzyl carbamate was subjected to a sequence of esterification, addition with PhMgBr, reduction with LiAlH₄ and substitution with Lawesson reagent to give the title compound 26 in 32% overall yield. [α]²⁵_D = +249.5 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl₃). (1*R*,2*S*)-(–)-1-Phenyl-2-piperidyl-1-propanethiol (27).^{42b} (1*R*,2*S*)-(–)-Norephedrine (2.3 g, 10 mmol) was alkylated with 1,5-dibromopentane, followed by activation to the corresponding mesylate. The mesylate was treated with AcSK, followed by reduction with DIBAL, to give the title compound **27** in 42% overall yield. $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ –64.2 (c = 1.2, CHCl₃).

(1*R*,2*S*)-2-Dibenzylamino-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (28). (1*R*,2*S*)-(–)-Norephedrine (2.3 g, 10 mmol) was subjected to reductive alkylation with PhCHO/NaBH₃CN (two repeated processes) to give (1*R*,2*S*)-*N*,*N*-dibenzylamino-1-phenylpropanol, which was activated as a mesylate. The mesylate was treated with AcSK, followed by saponification (KOH in aqueous MeOH), to give the title compound **28** in 39% overall yield. [α]²⁸_D -101.1 (c = 1.4, CHCl₃).

(1*R*,2*S*)-1-Phenyl-2-(*N*-methylethylamino)-1-propanethiol (29) and (1*R*,2*S*)-1-Phenyl-2-(*N*-methylacetamido)-1-propanethiol (33).^{42a} (1*R*,2*S*)-(-)-Ephedrine (4.02 g, 20 mmol) was treated with Ph₃P (1.1 g, 4 mmol), Et₃N (8 mL) and diethyl azodicarbodiimide (DEAD, 6.9 g, 40 mmol) in THF (60 mL) at room temperature for 10 h. After the solids were filtered, the filtrate was concentrated and distilled (70 °C, 0.5 mmHg) to give (2*S*,3*S*)-1,2-dimethyl-3-phenylaziridine (2.53 g, 89%). The aziridine (1.41 g, 9.9 mmol) was treated with AcSK (1.51 g, 19.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0 °C for 2 h to give compound **33** (2.09, 95%), via the ring opening reaction and transesterification. Reduction of **33** (299 mg, 1.3 mmol) with LiAlH₄ (120 mg, 3.0 mmol) in refluxing THF (20 mL) for 5 h gave compound **29** (178 mg, 64%). **29**: [α]²⁹_D = -224.7 (*c* = 2.5, CHCl₃). **33**: [α]²⁵_D -93.4 (*c* = 1.96, CH₂Cl₂).

(1R,2S)-2-Acetamido-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (30). (1R,-2S)-(-)-Norephedrine (1.51 g, 10 mmol) was treated with Ph₃P (3.2 g, 12 mmol) and DIAD (2.2 g, 11 mmol), by procedure similar to that for 33, to give (2S,3S)-2-methyl-3-phenylaziridine (1.15 g, 86%). The aziridine (259 mg, 1.9 mmol) was treated with thioacetic acid (500 mg, 6.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C for 2 h to give the title compound 30 (350 mg, 86%). Solid, mp 78–80 °C; TLC [EtOAc/hexane (1:1)] $R_f = 0.26$; $[\alpha]^{29}_{D} =$ -67.3 (c = 0.9, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3285, 1650, 1554 cm⁻¹; $\cdot {}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.04 (3 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.85 (1 H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, SH), 1.92 (3 H, s), 4.29 (1 H, dd, J = 7.3, 5.0 Hz), 4.34-4.45 (1 H, m), 5.71 (1 H, br s), 7.20-7.39 (5 H, m); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 15.9 (CH₃), 23.4 (CH₃), 49.1 (CH), 50.2 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.8 (CH × 2), 128.4 (CH × 2), 140.5 (C), 169.3 (C=O). HR-FAB-MS calcd for $C_{11}H_{16}NOS$ (M⁺ + 1): 210.0953. Found: 210.0960.

(1*R*,2*S*)-2-Benzamido-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (31). By a procedure similar to that for **30**, the aziridine derived from (1*R*,2*S*)-(–)-norephedrine (164 mg, 1.2 mmol) was treated with thiobenzoic acid (255 mg, 6.5 mmol) to give the title compound **31** (331 mg, 99%). Solid, mp 145–146 °C; $[\alpha]^{29}_{D}$ –51.1 (*c* = 1.90, CHCl₃).

(1*R*,2*S*)-1-Phenyl-2-(4-toluenesulfonamido)-1-propanethiol (32). (1*R*,2*S*)-(-)-Norephedrine was treated with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride to give the corresponding sulfonamide. By a procedure similar to that for **28**, the sulfonamide was converted to the title compounds **32** in 69% yield, via substitution of the mesylate with AcSK and saponification. Solid, mp 89–90 °C; [α]²⁴_D –35.0 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃).

(1*R*,2*S*)-2-(*N*-Benzylacetamido)-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (34). (1*R*,2*S*)-(–)-Norephedrine was subjected to reductive alkylation with PhCHO/NaBH₃CN to give (1*R*,2*S*)-2benzylamino-1-phenylpropanol (70% yield), which was converted to the corresponding aziridine (64% yield) by treatment with Ph₃P/CCl₄ in CH₃CN solution at room temperature for 18 h. The aziridine (228 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with thioacetic acid, by a procedure similar to that for **30**, to give the title compound **34** (262 mg, 88%). Solid, mp 92–94 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ –25.3 (*c* = 2.1, CHCl₃).

(1*R*,2*S*)-2-(*N*-Methylbenzamido)-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (35). By a procedure similar to that for 31, (2*S*,3*S*)-1,2dimethyl-3-phenylaziridine (626 mg, 4.2 mmol) was treated with thiobenzoic acid acid (740 mg, 5.3 mmol) to give the title compound 35 (1.19 g, 99%). $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ -22.5 (c = 1.25, CH₂Cl₂).

(1*R*,2*R*)-2-(*N*-Methylacetamido)-1-phenyl-1-propanethiol (36). By a procedure similar to that for 30, (1R,2R)-(–)-pseudoephedrine (1.65 g, 10 mmol) was treated with Ph₃P and DIAD to give the corresponding aziridine (1.24 g, 84%). The aziridine (139 mg, 0.94 mmol) was treated with thioacetic acid to give the title compound **36** (169 mg, 80%). Solid, mp 95–97 °C; $[\alpha]^{27}_{\rm D}$ –195.0 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃).

(1*S*,2*R*)-2-Acetamido-1,2-diphenyl-1-ethanethiol (37). By a procedure similar to that for **30**, (1*S*,2*R*)-(+)-2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol (587 mg, 2.8 mmol) was treated with Ph₃P and DIAD to give the corresponding aziridine (496 mg, 93%). The aziridine (333 mg, 1.7 mmol) was treated with thioacetic acid to give the title compound **37** (519 mg, 66%). Solid, mp 189–190 °C; $[\alpha]^{27}_{D} = -70.2$ (*c* = 1.0, DMSO-*d*₆).

(1*S*,2*R*)-2-(*N*-Benzylacetamido)-1,2-diphenyl-1-ethanethiol (38). By a procedure similar to that for 34, (1S,2R)-(+)-2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol was sequentially treated with PhCHO/NaBH₃CN, Ph₃P/DIAD, and thioacetic acid to give the title compound 38 in 48% overall yield. [α]²⁷_D = -82.3 (*c* = 1.2, CHCl₃).

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Council for financial support, Professor T. -K. Yang (National Chung-Hsing University) for providing us the samples of compounds **20–24**, and Professor C.-T. Chen (National Taiwan University) for helpful discussion.

Supporting Information Available: Additional experimental procedures, spectral data, and ¹H and ¹³C spectra of some selected compounds, as well as the crystal data, bond distances, bond angles, and ORTEP drawing of compound **30**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO016058T