## ORIGINAL PAPER

# Estimation of sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes using the flux-variance method

Cheng-I Hsieh • Mei-Chun Lai • Yue-Joe Hsia • Tsang-Jung Chang

Received: 23 September 2007 / Revised: 20 February 2008 / Accepted: 21 February 2008 / Published online: 1 April 2008 © ISB 2008

Abstract This study investigated the flux-variance relationships of temperature, humidity, and CO<sub>2</sub>, and examined the performance of using this method for predicting sensible heat (H), water vapor (LE), and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (F<sub>CO2</sub>) with eddy-covariance measured flux data at three different ecosystems: grassland, paddy rice field, and forest. The H and LE estimations were found to be in good agreement with the measurements over the three fields. The prediction accuracy of LE could be improved by around 15% if the predictions were obtained by the flux-variance method in conjunction with measured sensible heat fluxes. Moreover, the paddy rice field was found to be a special case where water vapor follows flux-variance relation better than heat does. However, the CO2 flux predictions were found to vary from poor to fair among the three sites. This is attributed to the complicated CO2 sources and sinks distribution. Our results also showed that heat and water vapor were transported with the same efficiency above the grassland and rice paddy. For the forest, heat was transported 20% more efficiently than evapotranspiration.

**Keywords** Flux-variance method  $\cdot$  Evapotranspiration  $\cdot$  CO<sub>2</sub> flux  $\cdot$  Rice paddy

C.-I. Hsieh (⊠) · M.-C. Lai · T.-J. Chang Department of Bioenvironmental Systems Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan e-mail: hsieh@ntu.edu.tw

Y.-J. Hsia

Institute of Natural Resources, National Dong Hwa University, Hualien 97401, Taiwan

#### Introduction

Surface fluxes of sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmospheric boundary layer are important parameters for the understanding of the interactions and energy/mass transport processes between land-surface and atmosphere. Many methods have been developed to quantify these fluxes, including the eddy-covariance method, flux-gradient method, dissipation method, flux-variance method, and surface renewal method. Among these methods, the fluxvariance method is the least difficult one since it requires only the variance measurement of the scalar at one level (e.g., Padro 1993; Wesson et al. 2001; Castellvi and Martinez-Cob 2005) and is especially useful when high frequency wind velocity measurements are not available. For example, for calculating sensible heat fluxes, the fluxvariance method only needs a thermocouple, while the eddy-covariance method requires a sonic anemometer. The flux-variance method was based on Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (MOST) and first proposed by Tillman (1972) to estimate sensible heat flux. This method was later examined for quantifying water vapor flux and its performance was found to vary from poor (e.g., de Bruin et al. 1993; Asanuma and Brutsaert 1999) to good (e.g., Weaver 1990; Andreas et al. 1998). Recently, Castellvi and Martinez-Cob (2005) applied the flux-variance method to estimate surface-layer and mixed-layer sensible heat fluxes. They found that this method could reproduce the sensible heat fluxes in both layers, but the accuracy of surface-layer estimation is twice of that for mixed-layer.

Though the flux-variance method has been widely used and examined for determining sensible and water vapor fluxes under different surface types and atmospheric stability conditions (Wesely 1988; Weaver 1990; Lloyd et al. 1991; Katul et al. 1995; Hsieh et al. 1996), less attention has been paid to examining this method for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> flux. Ohtaki (1985) examined the fluxvariance relations of sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> over two wheat fields in Japan. He concluded that these three scalars have similar Monin-Obukhov flux-variance relations. This also implies that the flux-variance method might be extended for quantifying CO<sub>2</sub> flux. However, Williams et al. (2007) pointed out that seasonal changes can produce a surface heterogeneity in the scalar source/sink field, thus weakening the similarity relationship, particularly in the case of CO<sub>2</sub>. Using the experiment above a suburban area, Moriwaki and Kanda (2006) also indicated that the differences of turbulent transfer between heat and both water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> were probably due to the heterogeneity in the source distribution of scalars. Hence, more studies are needed for establishing the flux-variance relation for CO<sub>2</sub>.

It is the importance of developing and testing simple methods (like the flux-variance method) for determining surface fluxes between land and atmosphere that motivates this study. The purposes of this study are to determine, with MOST, the flux-variance relations of sensible heat, water vapor, and  $CO_2$  above different surface types, and to examine its performance in predicting these surface fluxes. For the purposes of this study, experiments were carried out above three different surfaces: a temperate humid grassland in Cork, Ireland; an irrigated subtropical paddy rice field in Taipei, Taiwan; and a natural-regenerated yellow cypress forest in Ilan, Taiwan. These three sites represent surface roughness for momentum and scalars from smooth to rough.

### Materials and methods

Flux-variance method is based on Monin-Obukhov similarity theory. For the unstable atmospheric surface layer over a homogeneous and flat surface, the normalized standard deviation of a scalar quantity, X, can be expressed as a function of the Monin-Obukhov stability parameter,  $\zeta$ .

$$\frac{\sigma_x}{X_*} = C_x(\zeta)^{-1/3} \tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma_x$  is the standard deviation of the scalar quantity, X,  $C_x$  is a similarity constant, and X<sub>\*</sub> is a scalar scale defined as  $X_* = w'x'/u_*$ ; w'x' represents the turbulent flux of the scalar, w' and x' are the fluctuation parts of the vertical velocity W and scalar X, respectively, the over bar denotes time average, the prime denotes deviation from the mean, and u<sub>\*</sub> is the friction velocity. In Eq. (1), the atmospheric stability parameter,  $\zeta$ , is defined as  $\zeta = -(z-d)/L$ , where z is the measurement height, d is the zero-plane displacement ( $\approx .65$  h; h is the canopy height, Campbell and Norman, 1998), and L is the Obukhov length defined as  $L = -Tu_*^3/.kgw'T'$ ; *T* is the air temperature, k (= 0.4) is the von Karman constant; and g is the gravitational acceleration. Notice that a -1/3 power law exists in Eq. (1) for any scalar quantity.

In this study, the scalar quantities of interest are temperature, water vapor, and  $CO_2$ ; hence, by Eq. (1) we have:

$$\frac{\sigma_T}{T_*} = C_T(\zeta)^{-1/3} \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_q}{q_*} = C_q(\zeta)^{-1/3} \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{CO2}}{CO_{2*}} = \mathcal{C}_{CO2}(\zeta)^{-1/3} \tag{4}$$

where the sub-indexes T, q and CO<sub>2</sub> denote temperature, absolute humidity, and carbon dioxide concentration, C<sub>T</sub>. C<sub>q</sub>, and C<sub>CO2</sub> are flux-variance similarity constants, and T<sub>\*</sub>, q<sub>\*</sub>, and CO<sub>2\*</sub> are scales defined as  $\overline{w'T'}/u_*$ ,  $\overline{w'q'}/u_*$ , and  $-\overline{w'CO'_2}/.u_*$ , respectively.

By substituting *L* with its definition in Eq. (2), (3), and (4), the fluxes of sensible heat (H), latent heat (LE), and carbon dioxide ( $Fco_2$ ) can be expressed as the following:

$$\mathbf{H} = \rho \, C_p \overline{w' T'} = \rho \, C_p \left(\frac{\sigma_T}{C_T}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{kg(z-d)}{T}\right)^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

$$LE = L_{\nu} \overline{w' q'} = L_{\nu} \frac{\sigma_q}{C_q} \left(\frac{kg\sigma_T(z-d)}{C_T T}\right)^{1/2}$$
(6)

$$F_{CO_2} = \overline{w' CO_2'} = -\frac{\sigma_{CO_2}}{C_{CO_2}} \left(\frac{kg\sigma_T(z-d)}{C_T T}\right)^{1/2}$$
(7)

where  $\rho$  ( $\approx$ 41.4 mol m<sup>-3</sup>) is the mean air density, C<sub>p</sub> ( $\approx$ 29.3 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) is the specific heat capacity of air, L<sub>v</sub> ( $\approx$ 2,450 J g<sup>-1</sup>) is the latent heat of vaporization. By Eq. (5), H can be conveniently determined from  $\sigma_T$  only. Also, by Eq. (6) and (7), LE and Fco<sub>2</sub> can be predicted with  $\sigma_T$  and  $\sigma_q$ , and  $\sigma_T$  and  $\sigma_{co_2}$ , respectively. Hence, by Eq. (5) to (7), measurements at only one height are sufficient for estimating sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes under unstable conditions.

For using Eq. (5) to (7) to estimate H, LE, and Fco<sub>2</sub>, the flux-variance similarity constants  $C_T$ ,  $C_q$ , and  $Cco_2$  need to be determined a priori. In this study, the field data measured from the three different sites were divided into two parts. The first part of the measurements was used to determine the similarity constants. The second half of the data was

| Table 1 | Summary | of site | characteristics | and | eddy-covariance | measurement | at three | e sites |
|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|

| Ecosystem   | Grassland              | Paddy rice field           | Forest                   |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Date of experiment  | 1 Jan ~ 31 Dec 2002    | 26 Sep 2006 ~ 18 May 2007  | 1 Apr 2005 ~ 31 Dec 2006 |
| Elevation (m)   | ~ 200                  | < 20                       | ~1,670                   |
| Site latitude and longitude   | 52°8′24″N, 8°39′36″W   | 24°57′41″N, 121°31′38″E    | 24°35′27″N, 121°29′56″W  |
| Climate   | Temperate              | Subtropical monsoon        | Warm temperate           |
| Annual precipitation (mm)   | 1,470                  | 2,500                      | 4,000                    |
| Annual mean temperature (°C)  | 11.5                   | 23                         | 13                       |
| Canopy height (m)   | 0.1 ~ 0.45             | $0.5 \sim 0.8$             | 10.3                     |
| Measurement height (m)  | 10                     | 2                          | 24                       |
| Surface roughness (m)   | 0.03                   | 0.06                       | 1                        |
| Sonic anemometer  | Young 81000, R M Young | CSAT3, Campbell Scientific | Young 81000V, R M Young  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O analyzer  | LI 7500, Li-cor        | LI 7500, Li-cor            | LI 7500, Li-cor          |
| Separation distance between sonic anemometer and CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O analyzer | 15 cm                  | 15 cm                      | 15 cm                    |

then used to examine the performance of flux-variance method for predicting sensible heat, water vapor, and  $CO_2$  fluxes.

# Experiments

Three experiments of eddy-covariance measurements were carried out for examining the performance of flux-variance method over smooth, mid-rough, and rough surfaces. Details of the experiments were described below and a brief summary of the three sites and instrumentations is listed in Table 1. Pictures for the experimental set-up of the three sites were also shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

## Grassland experiment

This experiment was conducted over a temperate, humid, and flat grassland in Cork County in southwest Ireland ( $52^{\circ}$ 8'24"N, 8°39'36"W). The fetch in the main wind direction was 2.0 km and the shortest fetch was 1.2 km. Data collected in the whole year of 2002 was used in this study. The grassland type is pasture and meadow, and the dominant plant species is perennial ryegrass. The grass height in the grazing fields varied from 0.1 to 0.2 m and had a maximum of 0.45 m in the harvested fields. The surface roughness of this site was around 0.03 m, determined from the logarithmic mean wind equation under neutral conditions with measured mean wind velocities and friction velocities (Hsieh et al. 2005).

A three-dimensional sonic anemometer (RM Young 81000) was used to measure the wind vector and virtual



Fig. 1 Experimental set-up for the grassland site



Fig. 2 Experimental set-up for the paddy rice field



Fig. 3 Experimental set-up for the forest Site

potential temperature, converted from the speed of sound. And  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  concentrations were measured with an open-path  $CO_2/H_2O$  infrared gas analyzer (LI7500, Li-Cor) placed at a distance of 15 cm from the center of the anemometer. Both of the anemometer and the gas analyzer were positioned at the top of a 10-m tower. The raw data, measured and averaged at 10 Hz and 30 min, respectively, were collected with a data-logger and then transmitted to a computer. Details about this grassland and experiment can also be found in Jaksic et al. (2006).

## Paddy rice field experiment

This experiment was conducted from 26 September 2006 to 18 May 2007 over an irrigated paddy rice field at An-Kang experimental farm of National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. (The vegetation periods for the paddy rice field were from 26 September 2006 to 6 December 2007 and 10 March to 18 May 2007. Only data collected in these periods were used.) The farm site is flat and is located on the outskirts of Taipei city. The fetch along the main wind direction was 0.5 km and the shortest fetch was 0.3 km. This study site has the representative subtropical monsoon climate feature: dry and warm in winter, and wet and hot in summer. The average heights of paddy rice were 0.5 m and 0.8 m for the growing and maturity periods, respectively. The average surface roughness was 0.06 m (determined from the same method mentioned in the Grassland experiment). The rice field was flooded with 2-8 cm depth of water during the growing period.

An eddy-covariance system, consisting of a sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific) and an openpath  $CO_2$  /H<sub>2</sub>O infrared gas analyzer (LI7500, Li-Cor), was installed at the height of 2.0 m above the ground to measure the sensible heat, water vapor, and  $CO_2$  fluxes. The sampling frequency for velocities, temperature, humidity, and  $CO_2$  concentration was 10 Hz, and the averaging period was 30 min. The signals of the measurements were collected with a data-logger and then transmitted to a computer.

## Forest experiment

The experiment was carried out above a natural-regenerated yellow cypress plantation in Chi-Lan Mountain, Ilan, Taiwan. The yellow cypress forest area is 374 ha and covers an altitude range between 1,650 and 2,432 m a.s.l., with a homogeneous slope of 15°. The fetch in the main wind directions was 1.8 km and the shortest fetch was 1.0 km. The forest is a typical cypress forest with an average height of 10.3 m. The surface roughness is 1.0 m (determined by the



Fig. 4 Typical temporal variations of (*upper panel*) sensible heat, (*middle*) water vapor, and (*lower*) CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes above the grassland



Fig. 5 Typical temporal variations of (*upper panel*) sensible heat, (*middle*) water vapor, and (*lower*)  $CO_2$  fluxes above the paddy rice field

same method in the Grassland experiment). Due to its topography and elevation, the climate is temperate and warm during the whole year. The flux data assembled from April 2005 to December 2006 were used in this study.

The measurements of wind speed and sonic temperature were obtained with a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (RM Young 81000v). The  $CO_2$  concentration and humidity were also measured with LI7500 open-path infrared gas analyzer. The system was mounted on top of a 24-m-tall walk-up tower. Analog signals from the LI7500 were synchronized with the signals of the sonic anemometer and digital data were then transmitted to a ground base computer at 10-Hz sampling rate and 30-min averaging period.



Fig. 6 Typical temporal variations of (*upper panel*) sensible heat, (*middle*) water vapor, and (*lower*) CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes above the forest

#### Data processing

All the data collected from the three sites were checked and calculated with the following processes:

- Detrending, despiking, and spectral correction were applied for the flux calculation. Detrending was done by removing the linear trend of the raw data. Spikes outside the ±3 standard deviation were replaced with the interpolated values. If the number of spikes exceeded 1% of the total number of each measurement run, then this run was abandoned. The spectral correction (frequency filtering) was done following Moore (1986).
- 2. The x coordinate was double rotated to be along with the mean wind direction; hence, the mean lateral and vertical wind velocity were zero (McMillen 1988). The



Fig. 7 Normalized standard deviation of temperature  $(\sigma_T/T_*)$  as a function of stability parameter ( $\xi$ ) for a grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest

planar fit method (Wilczak et al. 2001) was also used for the coordinate rotation, but no significant difference was found.

- 3. The Webb, Pearman, and Leuning (WPL) correction was used when calculating water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Webb et al. 1980), and was also applied to the flux-variance relationships following Detto and Katul (2007).
- 4. Only data collected under unstable conditions were used for analyzing flux-variance relationships.
- 5. The stability parameter, (z-d)/L, was determined from the eddy-covariance system.
- 6. Negative  $CO_2$  fluxes denote carbon dioxide uptake by the ecosystems. However, while examining the flux-variance relations and its performance for  $CO_2$



Fig. 8 Normalized standard deviation of water vapor  $(\sigma_q/q_*)$  as a function of stability parameter ( $\xi$ ) for a grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest

flux estimation, the negative sign was turned into positive.

7. The positive CO<sub>2</sub> and negative water vapor flux values during unstable situations were considered not acceptable and not used in this study.

#### Footprint analysis

In this study, the footprint analysis was done using the Hsieh et al. (2000) model. The fetch requirements for the grassland, rice paddy, and forest were found to be 1.0, 0.15, and 0.8 km, respectively. The footprint analysis also showed that, along the mean wind direction, the locations of the maximum source strength for the grassland, rice paddy, and forest were 56, 6.0, and 42 m from the tower, respectively.



Fig. 9 Normalized standard deviation of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\sigma_{CO2}$ /CO<sub>2</sub>\*) as a function of stability parameter ( $\xi$ ) for a grassland, b rice paddy field, and c forest

## **Results and discussion**

In this section, we first present the temporal variations of sensible heat, water vapor, and carbon dioxide fluxes above the three ecosystems, then we discuss the flux-variance relations and the performance of flux-variance method in estimating surface fluxes. Temporal variations of sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

Figure 4 shows a typical temporal variation of sensible heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes above the grassland for 2 days, from day 193 to 195. It is clear that these three fluxes had their maximum values around noon when the daytime net radiation was high. Also, notice that the water vapor flux was about 30-50% higher than the sensible heat flux, showing that more net radiation energy was used for evapotranspiration from this humid grassland. Figures 5 and 6 are the same as Fig. 4 but for the paddy rice field and forest, respectively. In Fig. 5, the sensible heat fluxes were small and were only half of the latent heat flux above the rice paddy. This was due to the rice paddy being irrigated and the field surface filled with water. However, for the forest, the sensible heat fluxes were twice of the latent heat fluxes (Fig. 6). This demonstrated that much of the net radiation energy was used for surface heating rather than evapotranspiration for the forest site.

As to the  $CO_2$  fluxes, from Figs. 4, 5 and 6, it shows that the forest has the highest  $CO_2$  uptake among these three sites and that its magnitude was about 1.5 times of those for the grassland and rice paddy. Figures 4, 5 and 6 also show that during night-time the respiration rates from these three ecosystems were small compared with the daytime  $CO_2$ uptake. During daytime, when photosynthesis reaction is active,  $CO_2$  assimilation and transpiration are strong since the stomata are open for taking  $CO_2$  and releasing water vapor. During night-time, the stomata are closed, hence  $CO_2$  flux and transpiration are small. The water vapor flux (LE) not only includes the transpiration from the vegetation but also the evaporation from the surface, so it is less affected by the vegetation physiological processes.

Flux-variance relationship for temperature, humidity, and  $CO_2$ 

Flux-variance relations need to be determined before using the flux-variance method to estimate the surface fluxes (e.g., Weaver 1990; Padro 1993). On the basis of MOST, with (Eq. (2) to (4) and direct eddy-covariance measurements, we determine the flux-variance relations of temperature, humidity, and  $CO_2$ . Figure 7 shows the normalized

Table 2 Flux-variance similarity constants for temperature ( $C_{T}$ ), humidity ( $C_q$ ), and carbon dioxide ( $C_{CO2}$ ) for the three ecosystems

| Ecosystem        | C <sub>T</sub> | Cq   | C <sub>CO2</sub> | No. of data points | Date                 |
|------------------|----------------|------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Grassland        | 1.1            | 1.1  | 0.95             | 1,544              | 1 Jan – 30 Jun 2002  |
| Paddy rice field | 1.0            | 1.0  | 1.0              | 857                | 26 Sep - 31 Dec 2006 |
| Forest           | 1.25           | 1.5  | 1.7              | 1,135              | 1 Apr - 31 Dec 2005  |
| Average          | 1.12           | 1.20 | 1.22             |                    |                      |

 $C_T$ ,  $C_q$  and  $C_{CO2}$  were determined by Eqs. (2), (3), and (4), respectively. With Eq. (2), linear regression was applied between measured  $\sigma_T/T_*$  and  $(-(z-d)/L)^{-1/3}$  to determine  $C_T$ . The constants  $C_q$  and  $C_{CO2}$  were determined with same procedure.



Fig. 10 Comparisons of eddy-covariance measured (H<sub>m</sub>) and flux-variance predicted (H<sub>p</sub>) sensible heat fluxes for **a** grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest. The 1:1 *line* is also shown

standard deviation of temperature  $(\sigma_T/T_*)$  as a function of the stability parameter,  $\zeta$ , for the three sites: (a) grassland, (b) paddy rice field, and (c) forest. Figure 8 is the same as Fig. 7 but for water vapor  $(\sigma_q/q_*)$ . The -1/3 power law is evident in both Figs. 7 and 8. Notice that, in Fig. 8b, under

neutral condition (0.001 < -(z-d)/L < 0.01), due to the strong evapotranspiration from the flooded rice paddy, the fluxvariance relationship for the water vapor is close to a constant. In Fig. 4, the similarity constants of temperature  $(C_T)$  for the grassland, rice paddy, and forest are 1.1, 1.0, and 1.25, respectively. From the literature, the value for  $C_T$ has been found to vary between 0.95 and 1.36 (Ohtaki 1985; Wesely 1988; Katul et al. 1995; Hsieh et al. 1996; Gao et al. 2006). In Fig. 8, the similarity constants of humidity ( $C_q$ ) for the grassland, rice paddy, and forest are 1.1, 1.0, and 1.5, respectively. In the past,  $C_q$  has been found to vary between 1.1 and 1.5 (Ohtaki 1985; Wesely 1988; Lamaud and Irvine 2006; Gao et al. 2006). Note that the values of  $C_T$  and  $C_q$  in our study were within these ranges.

Figure 9 is the same as Fig. 7 but for carbon dioxide  $(\sigma co_2/CO_{2*})$ . In Fig. 9, although some of the data follow the -1/3 power law, the scatters spread out for all the three sites and the flux-variance relations are not as good as those for temperature and water vapor. The  $R^2$  values for Fig. 9a to c are only 0.21, 0.26, and 0.50, respectively. The similarity constants of  $CO_2$  ( $Cco_2$ ) for the grassland, paddy rice field, and forest were 0.95, 1.0 and 1.7, respectively. It is worth noting that our value of Cco<sub>2</sub> for the paddy rice field was consistent with Ohtaki's (1985) experiment which suggests that  $Cco_2=1.1$  for free convection conditions above a rice paddy. Moreover, the constant for the grassland is close to Detto and Katul's (2007) value  $(Cco_2=0.99)$  for a grass-covered surface. The similarity constants for heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> for the three sites are summarized in Table 2. Here,  $C_T$ ,  $C_q$  and  $C_{CO2}$  were determined by Eqs. (2), (3), and (4), respectively. With Eq. (2), linear regression was applied between measured  $\sigma_T/T_*$  and  $(-(z-d)/L)^{-1/3}$  to determine  $C_T$ . The constants  $C_q$ and  $C_{CO2}$  were determined with same procedure.

From Figs. 7, 8 and 9, we found that, for the grassland and forest sites, temperature follows the flux-variance relation better than humidity does. This better fluxvariance relation for temperature for the same site has been found in the past (see reviews in Moriwaki and Kanda 2006) and attributed to the less homogeneity of water vapor sources/sinks distribution. However, different from the literature, it is the reverse for our paddy rice field. This is attributed to the fact that the sources/sinks distribution of water vapor is more homogeneous than that for temperature since this rice paddy field was uniformly irrigated and flooded with water. This indicates that, for the same site, the temperature flux-variance relationship is not always better than the humidity one; it depends on the sources/sinks distribution on the site.

Also, from Figs. 7, 8 and 9, for small roughness surfaces like grassland and rice paddy, the similarity constants for different scalars are all around 1.0, but for

| Ecosystem                          | Flux  | Slope | Intercept | $R^2$ | SEE    |
|------------------------------------|---|-------|-----------|-------|--------|
| Grassland                          |   |       |           |       |        |
| No. of data points: 1,737; period: | H (J $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )  | 0.83  | 20.31     | 0.64  | 23.91  |
| 1 Jul 2002 – 31 Dec 2006           | LE $(J m^{-2} s^{-1})$  | 0.79  | 28.5      | 0.60  | 37.5   |
|                                    | LE (J $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ) with measured H                             | 0.81  | 16.79     | 0.70  | 31.58  |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 0.56  | 0.0073    | 0.16  | 0.0067 |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) with measured H | 0.63  | 0.0056    | 0.23  | 0.0059 |
| Paddy rice field                   |   |       |           |       |        |
| No. of data points: 454; period:   | $H (J m^{-2} s^{-1})$   | 0.79  | 13.17     | 0.60  | 15.03  |
| 1 Jan 2006 - 18 May 2007           | LE $(J m^{-2} s^{-1})$  | 0.74  | 16.09     | 0.78  | 31.06  |
|                                    | LE $(J m^{-2} s^{-1})$ with measured H                              | 0.79  | 1.69      | 0.88  | 23.73  |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 0.6   | 0.0064    | 0.07  | 0.0077 |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) with measured H | 0.68  | 0.0042    | 0.24  | 0.0043 |
| Forest                             |   |       |           |       |        |
| No. of data points: 1,237; period: | H (J $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )  | 0.88  | 35.02     | 0.87  | 43.72  |
| 1 Jan – 31 Dec 2006                | LE $(J m^{-2} s^{-1})$  | 0.9   | 53.21     | 0.61  | 76.16  |
|                                    | LE $(J m^{-2} s^{-1})$ with measured H                              | 0.85  | 45.66     | 0.64  | 67.03  |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 0.61  | 0.0085    | 0.42  | 0.0046 |
|                                    | $CO_2$ flux (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) with measured H | 0.71  | 0.0064    | 0.54  | 0.0042 |

Table 3 Coefficients of regression analyses between measured and estimated sensible heat (H), water vapor (LE), and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

rough surfaces like forest, the similarity constants are larger. For understanding the roles of these similarity constants, we consider the relative transport efficiency of sensible heat to water vapor,  $\lambda_{Tq}$ , calculated as (McBean and Miyake 1972)

$$\lambda_{tTq} = \frac{R_{wT}}{R_{wq}} = \frac{\overline{w'T'}/(\sigma_w\sigma_T)}{\overline{w'q'}/(\sigma_w\sigma_q)} = \frac{\overline{w'T'}\sigma_q}{\overline{w'q'}\sigma_T}$$
(8)

where  $R_{wT}$  and  $R_{wq}$  are the correlation coefficients between W and T and W and q, respectively. In Eq. (8), if we substitute  $\sigma_T$  with Eq. (2) and  $\sigma_q$  with Eq. (3), we can then get

$$\lambda_{Tq} = \frac{R_{wT}}{R_{wq}} = \frac{C_q}{C_T} \tag{9}$$

Equation (9) describes that the ratio of  $C_q$  to  $C_T$  is in fact the average relative transport efficiency of sensible heat to latent heat fluxes for the same site. With a similar derivation, the ratio of  $C_{CO2}$  to  $C_T$  can be found to be the average relative transport efficiency of sensible heat to carbon dioxide fluxes if  $CO_2$  follows the flux-variance relationship. For the grassland and paddy rice ecosystems, the three similarity constants for heat, water vapor, and  $CO_2$ are almost the same, which indicates that water vapor and carbon dioxide are transported as efficiently as heat in these two sites. For the forest ecosystem,  $C_q/C_T=1.2$  and  $C_{CO2}/C_T=1.36$  indicate that water vapor and carbon dioxide are transported 20–36% less efficiently than heat. However, it should be kept in mind that  $CO_2$  does not follow MOST flux-variance relation for the three sites. Estimations of sensible heat, latent heat, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

With Eq. (5) to (7) and measured standard deviations of temperature, water vapor, and carbon dioxide, we estimated the surface fluxes for the three ecosystems. Figure 10 shows the comparisons of sensible heat flux between eddy-covariance measurements and flux-variance predictions over the three sites: (a) grassland, (b) paddy rice field, and (c) forest. Reasonable agreements between measured and predicted sensible heat fluxes are found in Fig. 10 for all the three sites. The  $R^2$  values are between 0.60 to 0.87 (see Table 3 for details). Note that the standard errors of estimation (SEE) are around 15–40 W m<sup>-2</sup> for the three sites. This finding is similar to Michiaki and Noriaki's (2003) result which concludes that the SEE of estimated H by the flux-variance method is about 20 W m<sup>-2</sup> in the atmospheric surface layer.

Figure 11 is the same as Fig. 10 but for latent heat flux. Reasonable agreements between the measured and predicted latent heat fluxes are shown in Fig. 11 for all the three sites. The  $R^2$  values for the three sites are between 0.60 and 0.78. Note that the performance of using flux-variance method for the LE predictions is better than the H predictions for the rice paddy. This result is surprising and is different from other literature results (e.g., Weaver 1990; Katul et al. 1995; Moriwaki and Kanda 2006).

In the past, the reason for a worse LE prediction by the flux-variance method has been attributed to the less uniformity of LE sources and sinks when compared to H (e.g., Weaver 1990; Padro 1993; Katul et al. 1995).



Fig. 11 Comparisons of eddy-covariance measured (LE<sub>m</sub>) and flux-variance predicted (LE<sub>p</sub>) water vapor fluxes for **a** grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest. The 1:1 *line* is also shown

However, if we rearrange Eq. (3) and substitute L with its definition, we then have

$$LE = L_{\nu} \frac{\sigma_q}{C_q} \left( k(z-d) \frac{g}{\rho C_p T} H \right)^{1/3}$$
(10)



Fig. 12 Comparisons of eddy-covariance measured (LE<sub>m</sub>) and fluxvariance predicted (LE<sub>p</sub>) water vapor fluxes for **a** grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest with LE<sub>p</sub> estimated by Eq. (10) with measured sensible heat flux. The 1:1 *line* is also shown

It is clear, from Eq. (10), the accuracy of LE estimation also depends on H (i.e., the uncertainty of H will influence the accuracy of LE prediction). In Eq. (10) if H is estimated by Eq. (5), then Eq. (10) is reduced to Eq. (6) (i.e., combining Eq. (10) and (5) we will have Eq. (6)). With eddy-covariance measured H and  $\sigma q$ , we used Eq. (10) to predict LE for the three sites. The comparisons between LE



Fig. 13 Comparisons of eddy-covariance measured ( $F_{CO2m}$ ) and fluxvariance predicted ( $F_{CO2p}$ ) CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes for **a** grassland, **b** rice paddy field, and **c** forest. The 1:1 *line* is also shown

measurements and predictions are shown in Fig. 12. It is evident that the LE estimations are improved if Eq. (10) is adopted (with measured H) instead of Eq. (6). For the grassland site, the  $\underline{R}^2$  for LE is improved from 0.60 to 0.70 and, for the paddy rice field, it is raised from 0.78 to 0.88. For the forest, the  $R^2$  is improved from 0.61 to 0.64. The regression statistics between the measured and predicted fluxes are summarized in Table 3.

From the above discussion, it is worth noting that the fluxvariance method can predict a better LE if H is given correctly. This means that, for the same field site, it is not always the case that surface heating (sensible heat sources/ sinks) is more homogeneous than surface evapotranspiration (water vapor sources/sinks). Also, in this study, our results show that for the paddy rice field water vapor sources/sinks are more homogeneous than those for sensible heat.

Figure 13 shows the comparisons between the eddycovariance measured and the flux-variance method predicted  $CO_2$  fluxes for the three sites. The results are not as good as those for H and LE, especially for the paddy rice field. The  $R^2$  values in Fig. 13 are 0.16, 0.07, and 0.42 for the grassland, paddy rice, and forest, respectively.

Similarly to the derivation for LE, if we rearrange Eq. (4) and substitute L with its definition, we then have

$$F_{CO2} = \frac{\sigma_{CO2}}{C_{CO2}} \left( k(z-d) \frac{g}{\rho C_p T} H \right)^{1/3}$$
(11)

By Eq. (11), it is clear the accuracy of CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimation also depends on H (i.e., the uncertainty of H will influence the accuracy of  $F_{CO2}$  prediction). In Eq. (11) if H is estimated by Eq. (5), then Eq. (11) is reduced to Eq. (7) (i.e., combining Eq. (11) and (5) we will have Eq. (7)). With eddy-covariance measured H and  $\sigma_{CO2}$ , we used Eq. (11) to predict CO<sub>2</sub> flux for the three ecosystems. The comparisons between CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements and predictions are shown in Table 3. It is obvious that the  $F_{CO2}$ estimations are improved if Eq. (11) is adopted (with measured H) instead of Eq. (7). For the grassland site, the  $R^2$  for CO<sub>2</sub> flux is improved from 0.16 to 0.23. For the grassland and forest, the values are raised from 0.07 to 0.24 and 0.42 to 0.54, respectively.

All the above statistics were summarized in Table 3. From Table 3, it is clear that  $CO_2$  flux does not follow MOST. This finding is consistent with Detto and Katul's (2007) result. We attribute this to the complicated distribution of  $CO_2$  sources/sinks on the surface and its changes with seasons (Williams et al. 2007). For  $CO_2$ , this distribution of sources/sinks is mainly controlled by the vegetation, biochemistry activities in the soil, and field management (e.g., fertilization).

## Conclusions

This study examined the flux-variance relations of temperature, water vapor and  $CO_2$  and the performance of the flux-variance method for predicting sensible heat, latent heat, and  $CO_2$  fluxes. Our results suggest the following:

- 1. The flux-variance relations (or the similarity constants) for temperature, humidity, and  $CO_2$  has to be determined a priori before using the flux-variance method for predicting sensible heat, latent heat, and  $CO_2$  fluxes. However, if the determination of the flux-variance relation is not possible for the field site, then the values around 1.0 for both  $C_T$  and  $C_q$  is recommended for short vegetation surfaces.
- 2. For all the three ecosystems, the flux-variance method predictions of H and LE are in reasonable agreement with eddy-covariance measurements. And if the LE predictions were obtained in conjunction with measured sensible heat flux, the prediction accuracy could be improved by around 15 percent.
- Due to the complicated carbon dioxide sources/sinks distribution, the CO<sub>2</sub> flux-variance relation does not follow MOST. The CO<sub>2</sub> predictions were found to be between poor and fair among the three sites.
- 4. For the irrigated paddy rice field, our results showed that surface sources/sinks for water vapor were more homogeneous than those for sensible heat. Hence, the latent heat flux predictions by the flux-variance method were better than the sensible heat flux ones.
- 5. For the grassland and rice paddy, water vapor was transported as efficient as heat. For the forest, heat transport efficiency was 20% higher than water vapor.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the National Science Council and Environmental Protection Agency, Taiwan, for their support of this study. We are also very grateful to Professor Gerard Kiely for his data support and the two reviewers for their helpful comments.

### References

- Andreas EL, Hill RJ, Gosz JR, Moore DI, Otto WD, Sarma AD (1998) Statistics of surface layer turbulence over terrain with meter-scale heterogeneity. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 86:379– 408
- Asanuma J, Brutsaert W (1999) Turbulence variance characteristics of temperature and humidity in the unstable atmospheric surface layer above a variable pine forest. Water Resour Res 35:2281–2288
- Campbell GS, Norman JM (1998) An introduction to environmental biophysics, 2nd edn. Springer, New York
- Castellvi F, Martinez-Cob A (2005) Estimation sensible heat flux using surface renewal analysis and the flux variance method: a case study over olive trees at Sastago (NE of Spain). Water Resour Res 41:W09422

- de Bruin HAR, Kohsiek W, Van Den Hurk BJJM (1993) A verification of some methods to determine the fluxes of momentum, sensible heat, and water vapor using standard deviation and structure parameter of scalar meteorological quantities. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 63:231–257
- Detto M, Katul GG (2007) Simplified expressions for adjusting higher-order turbulent statistics obtained from open path gas analyzers. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 122:205–216
- Gao Z, Bian L, Chen Z, Sparraw M, Zhang J (2006) Turbulent variance characteristics of temperature and humidity over a nonuniform land surface for an agriculture ecosystem in China. Adv Atmos Sci 23:365–374
- Hsieh CI Katul GG, Sigmon J, Knoerr KR (1996) Estimation of momentum and heat fluxes using dissipation and flux-variance methods in the unstable surface layer. Water Resour Res 32:2453–2462
- Hsieh CI, Katul GG, Chi TW (2000) An approximate analytical model for footprint estimation of scalar fluxes in thermally stratified atmospheric flows. Adv Water Resour 23:765–772
- Hsieh CI, Kiely G, Birkby A, Katul G (2005) Photosynthetic responses of a humid grassland ecosystem to future climate perturbations. Adv Water Resour 28:910–916
- Jaksic V, Kiely G, Albertson J, Oren R, Katul G, Leahy P, Byrne KA (2006) Net ecosystem exchange of grassland in contrasting wet and dry years. Agri For Meteorol 139:323–334
- Katul GG, Goltz M, Hsieh C, Cheng Y, Mowry F, Sigmon J (1995) Estimation of surface heat and momentum fluxes using the fluxvariance method above uniform and non-uniform terrain. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 74:237–260
- Lamaud E, Irvine M (2006) Temperature-humidity dissimilarity and heat-to-water vapor transport efficiency above and within a pine forest canopy: the role of the Bowen ratio. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 120:87–109
- Lloyd CR, Culf AD, Dolman AJ, Gash JH (1991) Estimates of sensible heat flux from observations of temperature fluctuations. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 57:311–322
- McBean GA, Miyake M (1972) Turbulent transfer mechanisms in the atmospheric surface layer. Quart J R Meteorol Soc 98: 383–398
- McMillen R (1988) An eddy correlation technique with extended applicability to non-simple terrain. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 43:231–245
- Michiaki S, Noriaki K (2003) Surface and mixed-layer variance methods to estimate regional sensible heat flux at the surface. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 106:117–145
- Moore CJ (1986) Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 37:17–35
- Moriwaki M, Kanda M (2006) Local and global similarity in turbulent transfer of heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub> in the dynamic convective sublayer over a suburban area. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 120:163–179
- Ohtaki E (1985) On the similarity in atmospheric fluctuations of carbon dioxide, water vapor and temperature over vegetated fields. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 32:25–37
- Padro J (1993) An investigation of flux-variance methods and universal functions applied to three land-use types in unstable conditions. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 66:413–425
- Tillman JE (1972) The indirect determination of stability, heat and momentum fluxes in the atmosphere boundary layer from simple scalar variables during dry unstable conditions. J Appl Meteorol 44:13–31

- Weaver HL (1990) Temperature and humidity flux-variance relarions determined by one-dimensional eddy correlations. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 53:77–91
- Webb EK, Perman GI, Leuning R (1980) Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water transfer. Quart J R Meteorol Soc 106:85–100
- Wesely ML (1988) Use of variance techniques to measure dry airsurface exchange rates. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 44:13–31
- Wesson KH, Katul GG, Lai CT (2001) Sensible heat flux estimation by flux variance and half-order time derivative methods. Water Resour Res 37:2333–2343
- Wilczak JM, Oncley SP, Stage SA (2001) Sonic anemometer tilt correction algorithms. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 99:127–150
- Williams CA, Scanlon TM, Albertson JD (2007) Influence of surface heterogeneity on scalar dissimilarity in the roughness sublayer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 122:149–165