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Abstract - T. Moh invented a cryptosystem called tame transformation method (TTM). TTM cryptosystem is claimed to be the fastest among all currently known unbroken public key cryptosystems. We have done an actual implementation with linear time complexity for decryption and made some performance tests on it to verify the claim of speed. Also, we give some discussion on our TTM implementation and some attacks on TTM.

## I. InTRODUCTION

TTM [1] applies abstract algebra to cryptography, while the most well-known, such as RSA, ElGamal, use number theory. Although other recent public key cryptosystems, such as ECC, HFE, NTRU, NICE, don't use only elementary number theory any more. TTM is claimed to be the fastest, especially in decrypting. Since even for a naive implementation of TTM the time complexity of encryption per block is $O\left(m n^{2}\right)$ and decryption is $O\left(m^{2}\right)$, where $n$ is the number of bytes of one plaintext block, and $m$ is the number of bytes of one ciphertext block. We have done an actual implementation improving the decryption speed significantly.

## II. TTM CRyptosystem

Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote a field and $n \in \mathbb{N} . \varphi: \mathbb{F}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n}$ is a tame transformation if $\varphi$ is an invertible affine transformation, or, after a permutation of indices if necessary,

$$
\varphi:\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{1} & =x_{1} \\
y_{2} & =x_{2}+f_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
y_{n} & =x_{n}+f_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where each $f_{i}$ is a polynomial, $i=2,3, \cdots, n$. Note that an affine transformation can be identified as applying an invertible matrix and adding a constant vector.

Given a plaintext message $P$, we apply an imbedding $\rho$ from $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}^{m}$, then apply tame transformations $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, \varphi_{4}$ subsequently to get $X, Y, Z, C$ in $\mathbb{F}^{m}$ where $C$ is the result cipher text. $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{4}$ are invertible affine transformations, $\varphi_{2}$, $\varphi_{3}$ are nonlinear tame transformations. In diagram,
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III. Implementation of TTM

1. $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{4}$ can be identified as applying an invertible matrix and adding a constant vector. However, we can generate any invertible matrix with elementary row operations. We use the type of elementary matrices $E_{(i, j, \lambda)}$ : first multiply the $i$-th row by a scale $\lambda$, then add it to $j$-th row. The number of elementary row operations at $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{4}$ are $2 n+R$ and $2 m+R$ where $R$ is a constant.
2. $\varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}$ are the most important parts in TTM. We use two different schemes to create them.
IV. Decryption Time Complexity Analysis The decryption consists of applying, in the following order, the four pointwise-inverses $\varphi_{4}^{-1}, \varphi_{3}^{-1}, \varphi_{2}^{-1}, \varphi_{1}^{-1}$.
3. $\varphi_{1}^{-1}$ is done by applying the corresponding elementary row operations for $\varphi_{1}$ but in the reverse order. The time complexity is $O(n)$ per block. Similarly, $\varphi_{4}^{-1}$ is $O(m)$.
4. $\varphi_{2}^{-1}$ is $m$ quadratic polynomials with $n$ variables. The number of the monomials for each polynomial in $\varphi_{2}$ is less than a constant, say $M_{2}$. Each monomial needs at most two multiplications. Thus each polynomial needs at most $2 M_{2}$ multiplications and $M_{2}-1$ additions. The total time complexity is $O\left(m M_{2}\right)=O(m)$ per block. $\varphi_{3}^{-1}$ is even simpler. So the time complexity is $O(m)$.
For one block, the time complexity of decryption is $O(m)$. For one byte, the time complexity of decryption is $O\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$. This means that we can increase the security of TTM with large $n$ and $m$ and still keep its fast decryption.

## V. Discussion

1. If we limit the coefficients of the monomials in public key and private key in subfield of $G F\left(2^{4}\right)$, then we can reduce the key size approximately by half.
2. One concern is about the data expansion rate $\frac{m}{n}$. Due to design requirement for $\varphi_{3}$, we must have $m>n$. But $m-n$ is actually less than a constant. Therefore, we can reduce the data expansion rate by using large $m, n$.
3. The private key of our implementation is weaker than the original design, but without even bother to count the number of choices for $\varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}$, there are about $2^{2399}$ different private key choices. Therefore it is infeasible to use the exhaustive search for the private key.
4. There are some attacks,such as Isomorphism of Polynomials, Solving Nonlinear Equations, MinRank Problem. But they are infeasible.
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