行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫成果報告

小波轉換於多載波系統之應用
Discrete Multitone Modulation Using Wavelet
計畫編號：NSC 88-2213-E-002-080
執行期限：87年8月1日至88年7月31日
主持人：馮世邁　國立台灣大學電信工程學研究所
email: smp@cc.ee.ntu.edu.tw

1　中文摘要

離散多載波調變系統(DMT)被廣泛的應用到衰落通道之資料傳輸,學者曾證明使用理想濾波器可達到 ADSL channel capacity 的 8-9 dB 以內. 從實用的角度來看,學者提出了 DFT 之 DMT. 但 DFT DMT 之最佳特性從未被證明過. 在本報告中,我們證明當通道數目很大時, DFT DMT 是最佳的. 但當通道不多時,最佳化的 DMT 會比 DFT DMT 好許多.

關鍵詞：轉化多工器,離散多調調變系統(DMT),最佳化 DMT.

Abstract. The DMT (discrete multitone modulation) technique has been widely applied to data transmission over fading channels of twisted pairs. It has been shown that the DMT system with ideal filters can achieve within 8 to 9 dB of the channel capacity of ADSL. The DFT based DMT system is proposed as a practical DMT implementation but its optimality is never asserted. In this report we will show that the DFT based DMT systems are asymptotically optimal although they are not optimal for finite number of channels. The DFT based DMT system and the DMT system with ideal filters achieve the same bound. However, for a modest number of channels the optimal transceiver can provide substantial gain over the DFT based system.

Keywords: transceiver, discrete multitone modulation (DMT), optimal DMT.

2　緣由與目的

Recently there has been great interest in applying the discrete multitone modulation (DMT) technique to high speed data transmission over fading channels such as ADSL and HDSL [1][2]. Fig. 1 shows an M-channel DMT system over a fading channel $C(z)$ with additive noise $e(n)$. The channel is divided into M subchannels using the transmitting filters $F_k(z)$ and receiving filters $H_k(z)$. The input is parsed and coded as modulation symbols, e.g., QAM (quadrature amplitude modulation). With judicious power and bit allocation, DMT can provide significant gain over fading channels. In [3], Kalet shows that the DMT system with ideal filters can achieve within 8 to 9 dB of the channel capacity of ADSL.

In the widely used DFT based DMT system, the transmitting and receiving filters are DFT filters. For a given probability of error and transmission power, bits can be allocated among the subchannels to achieve maximum total bit rate $R_{b,max}$. Very high speed data transmission can be achieved using DFT based DMT system at a relatively low cost [1]. This technique is currently playing an important role in high speed modems for ADSL and HDSL.

In the DMT system the bit rate $R_{b,max}$ depends on the choice of the transmitting and receiving filters. The use of more general orthogonal transmitting filters instead of DFT filters is proposed in [4]. From the view point of multidimentional signal constellations it is shown that, for AWGN fading channels the optimal transmitting and receiving filters are eigen vectors associated with the channel. However in ADSL or HDSL applications, the channel noise is often the colored NEXT noise due to cross talk [3]. For fading channels with general colored noise source, the optimal transceiver is derived in [5]. The optimal transceiver decomposes the channel into eigen channels by incorporating the channel frequency response and the noise power spectrum.

The DFT based DMT system is proposed as a

圖 1: An M-channel DMT system over a fading channel.

practical DMT implementation but its optimality is not asserted. In this report we will show that the DFT based DMT systems are asymptotically optimal. The performance of the DFT based DMT systems becomes close to that of optimal DMT systems when the channel number M is sufficiently large. Furthermore the asymptotic performance of these two systems is the same as that of the DMT system with ideal filters in [3]. Although the DFT based DMT system is asymptotic optimal, the optimal transceiver provides significant gain over the DFT based system for a modest number of channels. An example with NEXT noise source will be given to demonstrate this.

3   結果與討論

Consider the system model of an M-channel DMT transceiver over a fading channel $C(z)$ with additive noise $e(n)$ in Fig. 1. Suppose the channel $C(z)$ is an FIR filter with order L, which is a reasonable assumption after channel equalization. In practice, to cancel ISI (inter-symbol interference) some degree of redundancy is introduced and the interpolation ratio $N > M$. Usually we have $N = M + L$. The length of the transmitting and receiving filters is also N.

Polyphase representation. The DMT system can be redrawn as in Fig. 2 using polyphase decomposition [2]. The transmitter $\mathbf{G}$ is an $N \times M$ constant matrix; the kth column of $\mathbf{G}$ contains the coefficients of the transmitting filter $F_k(z)$. The receiver $\mathbf{S}$ is an $M \times N$ constant matrix; the kth row of $\mathbf{S}$ contains the coefficients of the receiving filter $H_k(z)$. The matrix $\mathbf{C}(z)$ is an $N \times N$ pseudo circulant matrix [6] with the first column given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_L & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

where $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{L}$ is the channel impulse response. The condition for zero ISI becomes $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{C}(z)\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}$.

DFT based DMT systems. In the DFT based DMT system, the transmitting and receiving filters are


圖 2: The polyphase representation of the DMT system.

DFT filters. Redundancy takes the form of cyclic prefix. Define $\mathbf{W}$ as the $M \times M$ DFT matrix with $[\mathbf{W}]_{mn} = 1/\sqrt{M}e^{-j2\pi mn/M}$, for $0 \le m, n < M$. One can verify that DFT based DMT system has transmitter and receiver given by

$$\mathbf{G} = [\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}_1]^{\dagger}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}[\mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{W}], \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{W}_1$ is a submatrix of $\mathbf{W}$ that contains the first L columns of $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is the diagonal matrix $diag(C_0, C_1, \cdots, C_{M-1})$ with $\{C_k\}_{k=0}^{M-1}$ denoting the M point DFT of c_n.

Zero ISI DMT systems. Using singular value decomposition, we can decompose $\mathbf{C}_0$ as,

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = \underbrace{[\mathbf{U}_0 \mathbf{U}_1]}_{\mathbf{U}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times M} \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U}_0 \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T, \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are $N \times N$ and $M \times M$ unitary matrices. The column vectors of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are respectively the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{C}_0^T$ and $\mathbf{C}_0^T \mathbf{C}_0$. The matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is diagonal and the diagonal elements λ_k are the singular values of $\mathbf{C}_0$.

Consider the case that the transmitter is a unitary transformation followed by padding of L zeros, in particular

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_0 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_0$ is an arbitrary $M \times M$ unitary matrix. For zero ISI, we can choose

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{G}_0^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{U}_0^T. \qquad (4)$$

When the transmitter is chosen as $\mathbf{G}_0 = \mathbf{V}^T$, the receiver is $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{U}_0^T$. This becomes the DMT system developed in [4].

Transmission Power

For a given average bit rate R_b, the design of the transmitter and receiver affects the required transmission power. Let $\mathbf{R}_N$ be the $N \times N$ autocorrelation matrix of the channel noise process $e(n)$. The $M \times 1$ output noise vector of the receiver has autocorrelation function given by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{S}^T.$$

Let the number of bits allocated to the k-th channel be b_k, then the average bit rate is $R_b = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} b_k$. The actual bit rate is $\frac{1}{T}R_b$, where T is the sampling period of the system. Let $P(R_b, P_e, M)$ be the transmission power required for the M channel transceiver to achieve an average bit rate of R_b and probability of error P_e. With optimal bit allocation, the transmission power for the given transceiver is minimized and is equal to [5]

$$P(R_b, P_e, M) = c2^{2R_b N/M}(\Pi_{k=0}^{M-1}[\mathbf{S}\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{S}^T]_{kk})^{1/M},$$
(5)

where the constant c depends on the given probability of symbol error P_e and the modulation scheme.

In the DFT based DMT system, the receiver is $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}[\mathbf{0}\ \mathbf{W}]$ as given in (1). In this case we can verify that transmission power is

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{DFT}(R_b, P_e, M)\\
&= c2^{2R_b N/M}\frac{\left(\Pi_{k=0}^{M-1}[\mathbf{W}\mathbf{R}_M\mathbf{W}^\dagger]_{kk}\right)^{1/M}}{\det(\mathbf{\Gamma}^\dagger\mathbf{\Gamma})^{1/M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5) we see that the transmission power can be further minimized by optimizing the transceiver. Using the optimal transceiver, the minimum transmission power is [5]

$$P_{opt}(R_b, P_e, M) = c2^{2R_b N/M}\frac{\left(\det(\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}_0)\right)^{1/M}}{\det(\mathbf{\Lambda}^2)^{1/M}}.$$

Asymptotic Performance In the following, we will show that the DFT based DMT systems are asymptotically optimal although they are not optimal for finite number of channels. For a given error probability and bit rate, we will show that the power required in DFT based DMT system approaches that of the optimal system for large M.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M\to\infty} P_{opt}(R_b, P_e, M)\\
&= \lim_{M\to\infty} P_{DFT}(R_b, P_e, M)\\
&= c2^{2R_b}exp\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\ln\frac{S_{ee}(e^{j\omega})}{|C(e^{j\omega})|^2}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\right).
\end{aligned}$$
(6)

Note that this is the same bound achieved by the DMT system with ideal filters as derived in [3]. The proof can be done in two steps.

Step 1: Using the distribution of eigenvalues for Toeplitz matrices [7], we are able to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M\to\infty}\det(\mathbf{\Lambda}^2)^{1/M} = \lim_{M\to\infty}\det(\mathbf{\Gamma}^\dagger\mathbf{\Gamma})^{1/M}\\
&= exp\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\ln|C(e^{j\omega})|^2\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\right),
\end{aligned}$$
(7)

where $C(e^{j\omega})$ is the Fourier transform of c_n. The proof of the above equation can be found in [5].

Step 2: Using properties of positive definite matrices, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M\to\infty}\left(\det(\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}_0)\right)^{1/M}\\
&= exp\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\ln S_{ee}(e^{j\omega})\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\right).
\end{aligned}$$
(8)

On the other hand, properties of Toeplitz matrices give us [8],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M\to\infty}\left(\Pi_{k=0}^{M-1}[\mathbf{W}\mathbf{R}_M\mathbf{W}^\dagger]_{kk}\right)^{1/M}\\
&= exp\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\ln S_{ee}(e^{j\omega})\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\right).
\end{aligned}$$
(9)

With the equalities in (7)-(9), we can establish (6).

Proof of (8): Note that the matrix $\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}_0$ is the $M \times M$ leading principle submatrix of $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is as defined in (2). Let the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{P}$ be ordered as $\gamma_0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{N-1}$. Using the interlacing property of eigenvalues for positive definite matrices [9], it can be shown that $\det(\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}_0)$ is bounded between the product of the M largest eigenvalues and the product of the M smallest eigenvalues, i.e.,

$$\gamma_0\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_{M-1} \leq \det(\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{R}_N\mathbf{U}_0) \leq \gamma_L\gamma_{L+1}\cdots\gamma_{N-1}.$$

Suppose the power spectral density $S_{ee}(e^{j\omega})$ of the channel noise has minimum $S_{min} > 0$ and maximum $S_{max} < \infty$. Then these eigenvalues are bounded between S_{min} and S_{max}, in particular,

$$S_{min} \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{N-1} \leq S_{max}.$$

It follows that

$$\det(\mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{R}_N \mathbf{U}_0) \leq \Pi_{k=L}^{N-1} \gamma_k = \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{\Pi_{k=0}^{L-1} \gamma_k} \leq \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{\gamma_0^L} \leq \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{S_{min}^L}$$

$$\det(\mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{R}_N \mathbf{U}_0) \geq \Pi_{k=0}^{M-1} \gamma_k = \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{\Pi_{k=M}^{N-1} \gamma_k} \geq \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{\gamma_{N-1}^L} \geq \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{S_{max}^L}$$

Combining the above two equalities, we have

$$\frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{S_{max}^L} \leq \det(\mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{R}_N \mathbf{U}_0) \leq \frac{\det \mathbf{P}}{S_{min}^L}. \qquad (10)$$

Also observe that $\det \mathbf{P} = \det \mathbf{R}_N$. The matrix $\mathbf{R}_N$ is Toeplitz and it is the $N \times N$ autocorrelation matrix of $S_{ee}(e^{j\omega})$. It is known that [10]

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} (\det \mathbf{R}_N)^{1/N} = exp\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln S_{ee}(e^{j\omega}) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\right).$$

Letting M go to ∞ in (10), we arrive at (8). $\triangle\triangle$

Note that the DMT system developed in [4] does not achieve this bound asymptotically. To see this, let $C(z) = 1$, then the transmitter and receiver are identity matrices. The coding gain of the system in [4] is one regardless of the number of channels. On the other hand, the coding gain corresponding to the asymptotic bound in (6) is always greater than one if the channel noise is not white.

Example. Suppose the channel $C(z)$ is an FIR filter of order 1 and $C(z) = 1 + 0.5z^{-1}$. For the same probability of error and same bit rate, Fig. 3 shows $\frac{\mathcal{P}_{opt}(R_b, P_e, M)}{\mathcal{P}_{DFT}(R_b, P_e, M)}$, the ratio of power needed in optimal system over the power needed in the DFT-based system. We plot the ratio as a function of M for two different noise sources, the AWGN and NEXT noise source, which is colored channel noise due to cross talk [3].

From Fig. 3 we see that, for both noise sources the ratio $\frac{\mathcal{P}_{opt}(R_b, P_e, M)}{\mathcal{P}_{DFT}(R_b, P_e, M)}$ approaches unity as the channel number M increases. But for the NEXT noise channel, the ratio approaches unitary only for very large M. We can see that for a modest number of channel the optimal system provides substantial gain.

參考書目

[1] P. S. Chow, J. C. Tu, and J. M. Cioffi, "Performance Evaluation of a Multichannel Transceiver System for ADSL and VHDSL Services," IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun., Aug. 1991.

[2] A. N. Akansu, et. al., "Orthogonal Transmultiplexers in Communication: A Review," *IEEE Trans. SP*, April 1998.

圖 3: The ratio of the power needed in the optimal DMT system over the power needed in DFT based system for the same probability of error and the same bit rate.

[3] I. Kalet, "Multitone Modulation," in A. N. Akansu and M. J. T. Smith, Eds., *Subband and Wavelet Transforms: Design and Applications*, Boston, MA: Kluwer, 1995.

[4] S. Kasturia, J. T. Aslanis, and J. M. Cioffi, "Vector Coding for Partial Response Channels," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, July 1990.

[5] Yuan-Pei Lin and See-May Phoong, "Perfect Discrete Multitone Modulation with Optimal Transceivers," submitted to IEEE Trans. SP.

[6] P. P. Vaidyanathan, *Multirate Systems and Filter Banks*, Prentice-Hall, 1993.

[7] R. M. Gray, "On the Asymptotic Eigenvalue Distribution of Toeplitz Matrices," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, Nov. 1972.

[8] A. Gersho and R. M. Gray, *Vector Quantization and Signal Compression*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.

[9] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[10] N. S. Jayant and P. Noll, *Digital Coding of Waveforms*, Prentice-Hall, 1984.