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Summary: The cationic $\beta$-substituted allyl complexes $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OE}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}^{+}$( $\mathrm{E}=$ silyl, alkyl, acyl) undergo the substitution of the carbon nucleophile for OE at the allyl central carbon to transform into $\eta^{3}$-TMM complexes or undergo addition by hydrogen sulfide to yield new metallathiacyd obutane complexes.

Substitution reactions using carbon nucleophiles are of great importance in organic chemistry, because they not only can construct the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds but also can alter the functionality. ${ }^{2}$ It is known that nucleophilic substitution at an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon is generally difficult. This can be explained by the fact that such reactions often proceed via a tetrahedral mechanism, also called an addition-elimination mechanism, as illustrated in eq 1. ${ }^{3}$ A recently developed example is the $\operatorname{Pt}(0)$-catalyzed

double substitution reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}$, in which the replacement of chloride by a carbon nucleophile in an allyl intermediate is considered to be the key step. ${ }^{4}$

To take advantage of our discovery of the convenient synthesis of $\eta^{3}$-oxa-TMM by adding water to a novel $\eta^{3}$ propargyl/allenyl complex ${ }^{5,6}$ we find that the electrophilic addition of $\eta^{3}$-oxa-TMM readily generates the 2-siloxy-, 2-alkoxy-, and 2-(acyloxy)- $\eta^{3}$-allyl complexes. ${ }^{7}$ Such allyl complexes provide rare stoichiometric examples of regioselective nucleophilic attack by a carbon

[^0]nucleophile or hydrogen sulfide at the allyl central carbon, yielding important $\eta^{3}$-TMM complexes and new metallathiacyclobutane complexes, respectively.

The $\eta^{3}$-oxatrimethylenemethane complex can be envisaged to have the resonance forms of metallacyclobutanone (A) and $\eta^{3}$-2-oxyallyl (B). Such a structure

implies that the oxygen atom of the $\eta^{3}$-oxyallyl group should exhibit nucleophilicity. ${ }^{5-9}$ We first tested the reactivity of the $\eta^{3}$-oxa-TMM complex $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ] (1) toward electrophiles. Complex $\mathbf{1}$ can be protonated by $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.74\right)$ or stronger acids to form the corresponding hydroxyallyl complex, but not by a weaker acid such as $\mathrm{PhCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.19\right)$. Addition of ionic electrophiles such as Me3SiOTf and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}$ to complex $\mathbf{1}$ yields the first $\eta^{3}$-2-siloxyallyl and $\eta^{3}$-2-(trityloxy)allyl complexes $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{OE}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}(\mathrm{X})\left(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTf}(\mathbf{2}) ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{CPh}_{3}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (3a)). Both 2 and 3a tend to decompose upon recrystallization and have been characterized by NMR techniques.

Treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with mild neutral organic electrophiles such as $\mathrm{EtI}, \mathrm{i} \mathrm{Prl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Br}$, and $\mathrm{PhC}-$ (O) Br leads to the formation of the cationic $\eta^{3}$-2alkoxyallyl complexes $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OR}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}(\mathrm{X})$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}(\mathbf{3 b}),{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{3 c})$, allyl (3d)) ${ }^{10,11}$ and $\eta^{3}$-2-(acyloxy)allyl complexes $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}(\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{R}$ $=\mathrm{Me}(4 \mathbf{a}), \mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{4 b}))$ respectively (Scheme 1). PhBr, Phl, and tBul do not react with 1 even at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These results can be explained by an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism in which the relatively strong $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond of aryl halides and the steric hindrance of ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bul}$ are unfavorable to the substitution process. The electrophilic addition of $\eta^{3}$-oxa-TMM affords an alternative methodology for the synthesis of central-carbon-substituted allyl complexes.

The common allyl ligands are known to be prone to nucleophilic attack at the terminal carbon. In contrast,
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Scheme 2

cationic $\eta^{3}$-allyl species with the oxygen-bound substituent at the central carbon are subject to regioselective nucleophilic attack at the central carbon. In the typical cases, the 2-(benzoyloxy)allyl complex 4b readily undergoes replacement of the acyloxy group by the soft carbon nucleophile Na [CHYZ] to transform into the important $\eta^{3-T M M}$ products $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CYZ}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CN}(5 \mathbf{a}), \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}(5 \mathbf{b}) ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CN}(\mathbf{5 c})$ ) in high yields. Among these products, 5c cannot be prepared by reacting the $\eta^{3}$-propargyl/ allenyl complex with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right] .{ }^{12}$ In an analogous sense, the reactions of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{NHSO}_{2^{-}}\right.$ Ph ] or aniline yield the $\eta^{3}$-aza-TMM complex Pt -$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NSO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right](6)$ or the N -phenylated $\eta^{3}$-aza-TMM complex $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NHPh}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}$ ( Br ) (7), respectively (Scheme 2). ${ }^{13,14}$ Similar substitution reactions of $\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OPh}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ (3e) with carbanion and amide al so lead to the $\eta^{3}$-TMM and $\eta^{3}$-aza-TMM products, respectively. Aniline, however, does not react with $\mathbf{3 e}$. The substitution reactions of the central-carbon-substituted allyl complexes serve as a pragmatic complementary synthetic access to the $\eta^{3}$-TMM or $\eta^{3}$-aza-TMM species via the formation of a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond or a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond, respectively.

In the reactions of 2-alkoxyallyl cations with hydrogen sulfide, we obtain the new complexes of metallathiacydobutane, ${ }^{15}\left[\mathrm{Pt}^{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{S}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Et}\right.\right.$ (8a), ' $\operatorname{Pr}$ (8b)) (Scheme 2). The incorporation of sulfide at the allyl central carbon accompanied by proton transfer creates a stereogenic carbon. The single-crystal
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Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt})-\right.$
(Me)S] (8a). All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Pt-P1, 2.304(3); $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P} 2,2.256(3) ; \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}, 2.304(3)$; $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 1$, 2.157(8), C1-C2, 1.57(1); S-C2, 1.83(1), C2-С3, 1.61(2); C2-O, 1.35(1); $\angle \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P} 2,101.98(9) ; \angle \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$, 73.6(2); $\angle \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2,94.3(5) ; \angle \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 2,83.0(4) ; \angle \mathrm{C} 1-$ C2-S, 103.6(7).
structure of 8a (in Figure 1) shows that the metallathiacydobutane ring folds a dihedral angle of $25.2(8)^{\circ}$ against the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{S}$ axis. The distance of 2.76(1) $\AA$ between the Pt and C2 atoms is beyond the normal bonding range. The formation of such new compounds apparently results from the nucleophilic addition of sulfide to the allyl carbon and platinum. ${ }^{16}$ The formation of metallathiacycl obutane supports the notion that the aforementioned reactions of substitution undergo an addition-elimination mechanism. Facile nucleophilic attack at the central allyl carbon of the $\beta$ substituted $\eta^{3}$-allyl adds a new feature to allyl chemistry.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger} \eta^{3}$-TMM denotes the $\eta^{3}$-trimethylenemethane complexes $\mathrm{M}\left[\eta^{3}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$. We use $\eta^{3}$-oxa-TMM and $\eta^{3}$-aza-TMM to stand for the $\eta^{3}$-oxatrimethylenemethane complex $\mathrm{M}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$ and $\eta^{3}-$ azatrimethylenemethane complex $\mathrm{M}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right.$, respectively. ${ }^{1}$
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