## Synthesis of Heterobimetallic Allene Complexes

Iuan-Yuan Wu, Tian-Wen Tseng, Chun-Ting Chen, Ming-Chu Cheng, Ying-Chih Lin,* and Yu Wang

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10764, Republic of China

Received October 15, 1992

The first dinuclear complex containing a four-electron $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}-$ allene ligand was synthesized from the reaction of allene with $\left[\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Mo}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ and was reported in $1977 .{ }^{1}$ Thereafter, a number of complexes of this type were prepared, ${ }^{2}$ notably by photolysis of dinuclear complexes with a bridging cyclopropylidene ligand. ${ }^{2 b, c}$ Several dinuclear and trinuclear metal complexes containing allenyl ligands in various bonding modes have been prepared by initially using a propargyl ligand as a template. ${ }^{3}$ Despite these and other reports, ${ }^{4}$ methods that lead to formation of a heterodinuclar $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}$-allene complex are still lacking. ${ }^{5}$ In exploring the chemistry of a tungsten $\beta$-(chlorocarbonyl)allylic complex $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{COCl}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (1a), ${ }^{6}$ we prepared several dinuclear complexes by replacing the Cl atom with another metal group and found photolysis of such complexes provided a systematic approach to the dinuclear $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}-$ allene complexes. Herein, we report several complexes synthesized via this approach and their characterization.

The reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{COCl}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(1 a)^{6}$ with $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~W}$-in THF resulted in the formation of a homonuclear complex $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left[\mu-\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right] \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cp}(2 \mathrm{a})$. Heteronuclear analogues $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\mu-\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ (2b, $\mathbf{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cp} ; \mathbf{2 c}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cp}$; 2d, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ ) were prepared similarly. However, attempts to prepare similar complexes using the $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Mo}^{-}$ anion were unsuccessful. We believe that metal anions with weak nucleophilicity ${ }^{7}$ may not be applicable for the preparation of 2 employing this synthetic strategy. On the basis of the EAN rule, the two metal centers in 2 bridged by a $\mu-\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}-\beta$-carbonylallylic ligand should have no $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ bond. Complexes 2 were characterized through a combination of microanalytical data and IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{8}$ For example, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $2 a$ at room temperature, the two inequivalent Cp groups displayed a sharp resonance and a broad resonance and the allylic syn and anti protons appeared as two broad resonances at $\delta 2.76$ and 1.38 , respectively. These broad resonances are due to the fluxional behavior of the endo and exo configurations of the allylic group. At 240 K , the two broad resonances at $\delta 2.76$ and 1.38 of 2 a were resolved into two sets of allylic protons at $\delta 2.78,1.16$ and $2.68,1.58,{ }^{8}$ assignable to those of the endo and exo isomers, respectively.

Photolysis of complexes 2a-c with UV irradiation in benzene resulted in the loss of two CO ligands and afforded the dinuclear

[^0]allene complexes $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}(4 \mathrm{a}$, $\mathbf{M}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cp} ; \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M o}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cp} ; \mathbf{4 c}$, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cp}$ ); see Scheme I. Complexes 4 were characterized by spectroscopic methods. In addition, the molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 c}$ was determined by an X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{9}$ In each unit cell, there are two independent molecules which are an enantiomeric pair and differ only slightly in their bond lengths and angles. Figure 1 shows one of the molecules. As expected, the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ unit is nonlinear with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle of $140(4)^{\circ}$. The three-carbon allene unit sits above the W-Fe axis in a criss-cross arrangement. This geometry allows interaction of one $\pi$-bond of the allene with the W center and of the second $\pi$-bond of the allene with the Fe center. With such a structure, the heteronuclear system should display two sets of inequivalent geminal protons, i.e. proximal and distal with respect to the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ bond. Indeed, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of heteronuclear allene complexes, four allene protons displayed four multiplet resonances ( $\delta 4.50,3.02,2.66,2.40$ for 4 c and $\delta 4.22,3.69,2.67,2.45$ for 4b). In contrast, in the homonuclear system, ${ }^{1}$ there is a $C_{2}$ axis passing through the center carbon of the allene ligand and the midpoint of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ vector. Therefore, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $4 \mathbf{a}$, a deceptively simple triplet pattern for the methylene protons was observed.

In the photolytic decarbonylation reactions of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$, an intermediate formulated as $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{M}\left(\mu-\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right)$ $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cp}$ (3a, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W} ; \mathbf{3 b}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ ) was observed. Presumably, the two metal centers in $\mathbf{3}$ are bridged by a $\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}$-allylic ligand as shown in the Scheme I. ${ }^{10}$ Complexes 3a and 3 b were observed but not isolated. ${ }^{8}$ Interestingly, for rhenium complex 2d, only one decarbonylation was attained and the complex $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mu-\eta^{3}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(3 \mathrm{~d})$ was isolated in $45 \%$ yield. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3 d , the resonances of the allylic protons at $\delta 3.09,1.67$ are typical for syn/anti resonances of an allylic system. The mass spectrum of 3 d displayed parent peaks as well as fragmentations due to loss of CO. ${ }^{7}$ W-Re metalmetal bonds have been observed in several complexes. ${ }^{11}$ Reasons for no further decarbonylation of $\mathbf{3 d}$ are not clear.
(9) Yellow crystals of $4 c$ were grown by slow diffusion of $n$-hexane into a dichloromethane solution of $\mathbf{4 c}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystal data: space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, a=7.606(2) \AA, b=12.333(6) \AA, c=31.072(7) \AA, Z=4$. X-ray data were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation. A total of 2205 reflections were collected with use of the $\omega-2 \theta$ scanning technique between 2.0 and $49.9^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$. A total of 1553 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ were used in the full-matrix least-squares refinement. The structure was solved by using heavy-atom methods with the NRCC computing package. The final values of the agreement indices were $R$ $=0.062, R_{\psi}=0.060$, and $G O F=2.20$.
(10) Conole, G.; Henrick, K.; McPartlin, M.; Horton, A. D.; Mays, M. J.; Sappa, E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 2367.
(11) (a) Fontana, S.; Orama, O.; Fischer, E. O.; Schubert, U.; Kreissl, F. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 149, C57. (b) Ginley, D. S.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4908
(12) Yellow crystals of 5 were grown by slow diffusion of $n$-hexane into a dichloromethane solution of 5 at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystal data: space group $P 2_{1} /$ $c, a=8.681(3) \AA, b=13.420(5) \AA, c=17.630(9) \AA, \beta=103.48(4)^{\circ}$, $\boldsymbol{Z}=4$. X-ray data were collected at room temperature on an EnrafNonius CAD4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation. A total of 3514 reflections were collected with use of the $\omega-2 \theta$ scanning technique between 2.0 and $49.9^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$. A total of 2408 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ were used in the full-matrix least-squares refinement. The structure was solved by using heavy-atom methods with the NRCC computing package. The final values of the agreement indices were $R=0.044, R_{w}=0.032$, and $G O F=2.43$.

## Scheme I




Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cp}(4 \mathrm{c})$ with thermal ellipsoids shown at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles (deg) are as follows: $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B}), 1.91(4) ; \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$, $2.08(5) ; \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B}), 2.34(4)$; W-C(12B), 2.07(4);C(12B)-C(13B), 1.34(6);C(11B)-C(12B), 1.45(6); $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B}), 140(4)$.

Treatment of 2 c with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ resulted in the formation of a cationic enone complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mu-\eta^{4}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{BF}_{4}(5) .{ }^{8}$ Complex 5 in solution gave a mixture of endo/exo isomers with a ratio of 6.4:1. The structure of 5 was also confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{12}$ As shown in Figure 2, the enone group adopts an s-cis configuration and is in an endo conformation with respect to the Cp group. ${ }^{13}$ The $\eta^{4}$-coordination of the enone group is revealed by the one $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ and three $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}$ bonding distances. A slightly longer W-C(2) separation of $2.49(1) \AA$ as compared to the other W-C separations (2.24(1) and $2.28(1) \AA$ ) may be attributed to the steric effect of the iron moiety and to a relatively short $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ distance of 2.141(7) $\AA$. For a cationic molybdenum butadiene complex, ${ }^{14}$ four isomers (endo/exo and cis/trans) have been observed at low temperature.
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Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mu-\eta^{4}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cp}$ (5) with thermal ellipsoids shown at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles (deg) are as follows: $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(2), 1.946(1)$; $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}(1), 2.141(7)$; $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2), 2.49(1)$; $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3), 2.28(1) ; \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4), 2.24(1) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1), 1.32(1) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$, $1.43(2) ; \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4), 1.42(2) ; \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5), 1.49(2) ; \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$, 115(1); $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3), 110.7(9) ; \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5), 121(1)$.
In our case, the s-trans configuration was not observed probably due to the steric bulk of the metal moiety.

Using $\beta$-(chlorocarbonyl)allylic metal complexes as starting materials, we have demonstrated the preparation of a heteronuclear complex with a $\beta$-(carbonyl)allylic bridging ligand. Photolysis of this product led efficiently to the heteronuclear $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}$-allene complex. Reactivity of allene complexes with heteronuclear metal centers is currently under investigation.
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