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Summary: The insertion reaction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{C} \equiv$ $\left.\mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was characterized by NMR spectroscopic studies to give a cage compound $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{n}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2 n}\right.$. (THF) $)_{m, 1} \mathbf{1 a}$, and the addition of HMPA/THF (HMPA = hexamethylphosphoramide) to solutions of la produces a trimeric compound, $\left[\mathrm{M}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{6^{-}}\right.$ (HMPA) ${ }_{4}$ ], 1b. X-ray diffraction studies of this showed 1b to contain a completely centrosymmetric linear array of magnesium atoms linked with $\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}$ - and $\mu_{2}-\eta^{3}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv$ $\mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$. It was found to be a key compound in the mechanism leading to the formation of $\mu_{4}-\eta^{4}$ bonding in carbamato-bridged $\mathrm{Mg}_{6}$ cage compounds.

## Introduction

Carbon dioxide has a Lewis basic oxygen atom, which binds to a metal center, generally leading to the activation of the carbon dioxide molecule, and several bonding modes have been described. ${ }^{1}$ Studies on metal - carbon dioxide compounds may provide both structural and functional models for surface-bound intermediates in catalytic conversion processes. ${ }^{2}$ Previously, we have reported that the insertion of carbon dioxide into the $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds of magnesium compounds yields linear trimeric and cage compounds, both involving various bonding modes between the carboxylato or carbamato ligands and the magnesium atom, including types $\mathbf{c}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}\right), \mathbf{d}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{3}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\mu_{3}-\eta^{3}\right)$, and $\mathbf{g}\left(\mu_{4}-\eta^{4}\right)$, as shown
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Figure 1. Possible bonding types of carboxylato $(X=C)$ and carbamato ( $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{N}$ ) ligands: (a) $\eta^{1}$; (b) $\eta^{2}$; (c) $\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}$; (d) $\mu_{2}-\eta^{3}$; (e) $\mu_{3}-\eta^{3}$; (f) $\mu_{3}-\eta^{4}$; (g) $\mu_{4}-\eta^{4}$.
in Figure 1. ${ }^{3}$ Crystallographic studies have shown than steric repulsion of ligands causes bending linear trimers, to give a cage compound. Because the tetradendate $\mu_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\eta^{4}$-carbamato ligand can only be observed in a cage compound, ${ }^{3}$ it is important to understand the detailed bonding modes. In this study, after we obtained the structural data for the new compound $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CSiMe})_{6}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{HMPA})_{4}\right], \mathbf{l b}(\mathrm{HMPA}=$ hexamethylphosphoramide), we then could make comparisons between it and the known $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CiPr}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{HMPA})_{2}\right]$, 2, and $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CNMe})_{6}(\mathrm{HMPA})_{2}\right], 3 .{ }^{3}$ By comparing these compounds, we could understand which bonding path is involved in the recombination of type $\mathbf{c}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}\right)$ into type $\mathbf{g}\left(\mu_{4}-\eta^{4}\right)$.

## Results and Discussion

On bubbling an excess of gaseous $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into an Mg ( $\left.\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ solution in THF for 20 min , an intermediate exothermic reaction occurred. After adding hexane to
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Figure 2. ORTEP view of the molecule $\mathbf{1 b}$ using $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids. Important bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles (deg): $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(8) 1.998(2), \mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) 2.013(3)$, $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) 2.028(2), \mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) 2.063(2), \mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ $2.138(2), \mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) 2.291(2), \mathrm{Mg}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Mg}(2) 3.6013(9), \mathrm{Mg}-$ (2)-O(6) 2.068(2), Mg(2)-O(4) 2.072(2), $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 2.072-$ (2), $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.244(4), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.274(3), \mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ $1.252(4), \mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7) 1.242(2), \mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A}) 1.257(4), \mathrm{O}(6)-$ $\mathrm{C}(13) 1.242(4), \mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) 91.0(1), \mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ 101.7(1), $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) 91.0(1), \mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) 88.2-$ (1), $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) 177.8(1), \mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) 91.2(1)$, $\mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) 163.0(1), \mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) 91.5(1), \mathrm{O}(5)-$ $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) 95.12(9), \mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) 88.71(9), \mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}-$ (1)-O(1) 104.2(1), O(7)-Mg(1)-O(1) 84.7(1), O(5)-Mg(1)$\mathrm{O}(1)$ 153.9(1), $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) 93.5(1), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ $59.32(8), \mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7), \mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~A}) 180.0, \mathrm{O}(6)-$ $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ 91.76(9), $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~A}) 88.24(9), \mathrm{O}(4)-$ $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ 180.0, $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 90.66(8), \mathrm{O}(4)-$ $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 89.60(8) \mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 89.34(8), \mathrm{O}(4)-$ $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 90.40(8), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 180.0$.
the resulting solution and storing it at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a cage compound $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{n}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2 n} \cdot(\mathrm{THF})_{m}\right]$ (la) was produced. Then, by recrystallizing from HMPA/THF (2:1), we obtained colorless crystals of $\mathbf{1 b}$.
Single-crystal X-ray analysis was carried out on compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ (Figure 2). This new structure consists of discrete trinuclear molecules with a centrosymmetric
linear array of magnesium atoms linked by two sets of three $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ ligands, via type $\mathbf{c}$ and type $\mathbf{d}$ bonding. About the central magnesium $\mathrm{Mg}(2)$ atom there is an almost regular octahedral configuration of the bridging oxygen atoms of the $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ ligands. The outer magnesium atoms $\mathrm{Mg}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Mg}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ are additionally coordinated to two HMPA molecules, forming a distorted octahedron. When comparing the linear trinuclear compounds $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$, several interesting structural differences could be recognized. One difference was that the terminal magnesium atoms in $\mathbf{2}$ and 3 are pentacoordinate with one additional HM PA molecule. Another difference was that the Mg…Mg distance of $3.601(1) \AA$ in $\mathbf{1 b}$ is longer than that of $3.496(1) \AA$ in 2 and $3.459(1) \AA$ in 3. Still another difference is that larger OCO angles were observed in $\mathbf{1 b}$ (Table 1). Finally, another important difference is that the distances $\mathrm{Mgt}_{t}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{Mgt}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$ in the four-membered ring, $\mathrm{Mgt}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{b}}$, are different. In $\mathbf{1 b}$, the distance between terminal magnesium and the bridging oxygen, $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2), 2.138(2) \AA$, is shorter than the distance $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-$ $\mathrm{O}(1), 2.291(2) \AA$, making the $\mathrm{Mg}_{t}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ stronger. In striking contrast, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ exhibit a weak interaction between the terminal magnesium and the bridging oxygen atoms (Table 1). Therefore, we divided type d into two modes: type d-1 and d-2 (Table 1). Additionally, the $C(1)-O(1)$ bond length of 1.244(4) $\AA$ in $\mathbf{1 b}$ exhibits a stronger double-bond character than does the other $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ bond $[1.274(3) \AA]^{4}$. This is shown al so by the IR absorption band at $1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1.5,6}$ In fact, Parkin has reported finding an $\eta^{1}$-coordination mode of the acetato ligand in his magnesium compound $\left\{\mu_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{HB}(3-\mathrm{EBuPz})_{3}\right\} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 4, which also has a $\mathrm{C}=$ O bond. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{d-2}$ mode after the cleavage of $\mathrm{Mgt}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$ becomes the $\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{3}$ mode, which involves $\eta^{1}$-coordination similar to that in 4.

In summary at this point, we propose the reaction path shown in Scheme 1, which could be supported in part by the variable-temperature NMR spectra discussed in the next section. First, a trimer with type c bonding forms an intramolecular dative bond between the bridging oxygen atom $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and the terminal magnesium atom $\mathrm{Mgt}_{\mathrm{t}}$, producing a type $\mathbf{d - 1}$ bond. ${ }^{8}$ Second, the stronger bond $\mathrm{Mgt}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ forms and generates the type $\mathbf{d - 2}$

## Table 1. Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Bond Angles (deg) for the Compounds 1b, 2, and 3


$\mathrm{Mg}_{1}$ : terminal magnesium, $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{c}}$ : central magnesium, * : the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ angle of type $\mathbf{b}$
--- : weak bond, - : strong bond.

|  | 1b | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mgt -Mg c | $3.601(1)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ | $3.496(1)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{Mg}_{2}\right)$ | 3.459(1) ( $\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{g}_{2}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 121.4 (3) ( $\left.\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | $118.5(2)\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | $119.2(2)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}^{*}$ | 128.8(3) ( $\left.\mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{~A}}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ | 125.9(3) ( $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) | $125.8(2)\left(\mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}^{*}$ | 127.9(3) ( $\mathrm{O}_{5 \mathrm{~A}}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{6}$ ) | 124.3 (3) ( $\left.\mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ | 123.5 (2) ( $\left.\mathrm{O}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2.072(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | 2.075 (2) ( $\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) | $2.094(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mgt}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2.138(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | $2.181(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | $2.179(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mgt-O}$ | $2.291(2)\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{1}\right)$ | $2.091(2)\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | $2.079(2)\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.274(3)\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | $1.266(3)\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | $1.271(3)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $1.244(4)\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{1}\right)$ | $1.257(3)\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 1.267 (3) ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ |

Scheme 1


mode. Third, $\mathrm{Mgt}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$ bond cleavage results in an intermediate type d-3 mode. Finally, the other trimer attacks, forming types $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{g}$. If $\mathbf{d - 2}$ is formed first, followed by $\mathbf{d - 1}$, no bond cleavage could occur to generate free oxygen $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$, and, thus, there would be no recombination with the other trimer.

In an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic study of $\mathbf{1 a}$ (in benzene$d_{6}$ ), the methyl proton resonance of the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ group appeared as a compl icated overlapping multiplet in the region of $0.18-0.69 \mathrm{ppm}$, and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the methyl carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ group appeared as many distinct signals in the region 0.101.86 ppm . Such spectral complexity is similar to that observed in one of our previous cage compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{6}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2^{-}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)_{12}\right]^{3}$ (Figure 3), which can be considered as favored by a recombination step to get the final product. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1 a showed a signal at 160.26 ppm, assignable to the quaternary carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ groups. ${ }^{9}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of $\mathbf{1 b}$, the singlet at $\delta 0.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ and the doublet at 2.54 ppm were assigned to the methyl protons of the trimethylsilyl group and HMPA, respectively. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the quaternary carbon atom of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ group appeared at $\delta 159.69$ ppm. ${ }^{9}$ A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR variable-temperature study was carried out on $\mathbf{1 b}$ between -60 and $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}$ solution. At $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
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Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (benzene $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{6}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)_{12}$ (a) and $\mathbf{1 a}$ (b) in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ region.
the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed one singlet at 0.15 ppm and one doublet at 2.65 ppm for the methyl protons of the trimethylsilyl group and HMPA, respectively. At $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, two sets of singlets were observed at 0.13 and 0.14 ppm and two sets of doublets at 2.56 and 2.68 ppm . Because two bulky and stronger HMPA donor bases on the terminal magnesium atoms stabilized $\mathbf{1 b}$ and prevented recombination in THF-d8 solution, we did not observe the complicated overlapping appearance due to cage formation in the methyl region. Similarly, at 50 and $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 b}$ showed one signal at 24.51 and 24.38 ppm , corresponding to the phosphorus atom of HMPA. At $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the HMPA signal split into two distinct signals, one at 23.53 and the other at 24.00 ppm . These signals arose from two different phosphorus species, which was consistent with the solid structures, which have two types of HMPA donor ligands.

## Experimental Section

General Data. All experiments were carried out in an $\mathrm{N}_{2^{-}}$ flushed glovebag, in a drybox, or in a vacuum line using standard Schlenk techniques. Magnesium metal and trimethylsilylacetylene were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was prepared by following previous reports. ${ }^{10}$ All solvents were distilled and degassed prior to use. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra were measured on a Varian-200, 300 , or 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to either TMS or deuterated solvent as an internal standard. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{p}$ NMR spectra were referenced to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. IR spectral data were obtained on an FT-IR spectrometer. EIemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N ) were performed by the Analytsche Laboratorien of H. Malissa and G. Reuter, GmbH, Germany.

The crystal was mounted on a glass fiber using expoxy resin, transferred to a goniostat, and cooled to 220 K under liquid nitrogen vapor. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) $)$. Structural determinations were made using the SHELXTL program package. All refinements were carried out by full-matrix least squares using anisotropic
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Table 2. Crystal and Intensity Collection Data for Compound $1 \mathbf{1 b}$

| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{126} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| fw | 1637.08 |
| cryst syst | triclinic |
| space group | P1̄ |
| $\mathrm{a}, \hat{A}$ | 12.2761(2) |
| b, $\AA$ | 13.9829(1) |
| c, Å | 16.4374(1) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 111.313(1) |
| $\beta$, deg | 104.555(1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 102.939(1) |
| V, $\AA^{3}$ | 2383.67(4) |
| Z | 1 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.140 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.232 |
| F(000) | 878 |
| cryst size | $0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.40$ |
| $\theta$ range, deg | 1.43 to 26.37 |
| no. of reflns collected | 26665 |
| no. of indpdt reflns | $9509\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0257\right)$ |
| transm factor (max, min) | 0.9280, 0.8433 |
| T, K | 220(1) |
| GOF | 1.081 |
| final R indices [I>2 $2 \sigma(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0696, \mathrm{wR2}=0.1878$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0887, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2026$ |
| extinction coeff | 0.0098(15) |
| largest diff peak and hole, e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.070 and -0.553 |

displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were cal culated. In compound $\mathbf{1 b}$, one methyl group of each HMPA ligand is disordered. The carbon atoms of $C(26), C(28), C(30)$ and $C\left(26^{\prime}\right), C\left(28^{\prime}\right), C\left(30^{\prime}\right)$ have a $63 \% /$ $37 \%$ occupancy ratio. The crystal data are summarized in Table 2.

Reaction of Carbon Dioxide with $\mathbf{M g}\left(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$. An excess of carbon dioxide was bubbled into a solution of Mg -
( $\left.\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(37.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 100 mL ) for 20 min , causing an exothermic reaction. After centrifugation, hexane ( 10 mL ) was added, and a microcrystalline solid la was obtained on cooling at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the solid was washed with hexane and dried in a vacuum. Then, a 2:1 mixture of HMPA and THF ( 15 mL ) was added to 1a. The resolution was kept room temperature as the solvent slowly evaporated. Large block crystals of $\mathbf{1 b}$ formed.
$\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C} \equiv \mathbf{C S i M e}\right)_{\mathbf{2 n}} \cdot(\mathrm{THF})_{\mathrm{m}}\right]$, 1a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (benzene$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.02-0.30,0.69\left[\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right], 1.53$ (br, 3, $4-$ thf-H), 3.96 (br, 2, 5 -thf-H); ${ }^{33} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (benzene-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.10,0.30,0.36$, 0.59, 0.64, 0.84, 1.86 ( $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{SSi}^{2}$ ), 26.00 (3, 4 -thf -C), 69.24 ( 2,5 -thf-C), $160.26\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1605 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{asm}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$.
$\left[\mathbf{M g}_{3}\left(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C} \equiv \mathbf{C S i M e}\right)_{6}(\mathbf{H M P A})_{4}\right]$, $\mathbf{1 b}$ : yield $78 \%, \mathrm{mp}_{\mathrm{dec}}>$ $168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (benzene- ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.16$ [s, $\left.54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right], 2.54$ [d, $\left.72 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{e}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-d $\left.{ }_{8}\right) 0.15$ [s, 54H, Me3Si], 2.65 [d, 72H , ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (benzene-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.15,0.17\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Si}), 37.24,37.28\left[\left(\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right], 83.90\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right), 104.31$ ( $\mathrm{Me}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 159.89\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-d $\left.{ }_{8}\right) \delta 0.04$ ( Me 3 Si ), 37.35, $37.39\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right], 82.91\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right), 104.20\left(\mathrm{Me} 3_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right)$, $159.69\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}\right) \delta 24.38$ [(Me2N) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ]; IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1599 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{asm}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathbf{1 b}\left(\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{126}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$ ): C, 44.04; H, 7.71; $\mathrm{N}, 10.28$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 44.23$; H, 7.67; N, 10.60.
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