

Mini-review

Recent advances on the synthetic applications of the dithioacetal functionality

Tien-Yau Luh^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan, ROC

^b Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 115, Taiwan, ROC

Received 9 September 2001; received in revised form 27 November 2001; accepted 29 November 2001

Dedicated to Professor Robert J.H. Corriu, Professor Jay K. Kochi, Makoto Kumada, and Akio Yamamoto for their pioneering contributions to the cross coupling reactions

Abstract



Recent advances on the synthetic applications of the dithioacetal functionality are briefly reviewed. Nickel-catalyzed silylolefination reaction has led to the synthesis of a range of silyl-substituted olefins for optoelectronic interests. The reactions of propargylic dithioacetals with organocopper or lithium reagent followed by treatment with electrophiles yield the corresponding sulfur-substituted allenes. Further cross coupling with the Grignard reagent in the presence of a nickel catalyst affords highly substituted allenes. Acid-catalyzed cyclization of the sulfur-substituted allenyl alcohols furnishes a useful route for the synthesis of oligoaryls having highly substituted furan or pyrrole moieties. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dithioacetal; Cross coupling; Annulation; Zwitterion synthon; Silylolefination

1. Introduction

The discovery of the cross coupling reactions have led to a great leap forward in organic synthesis [1]. Carbon–carbon bond, as well as carbon–heteroatom bond, involving a C_{sp²} center can easily be formed by these protocols. An extension of this reaction to convert a carbon–sulfur bond into a carbon–carbon bond was achieved in 1979 by Takei and Wenkert (Eq. (1) and (2)) [2]. Whereas the benzylic thioether substrate is quite unreactive under these conditions [3], the discovery of the nickel-catalyzed cross coupling reaction of benzylic or allylic dithioacetals with Grignard reagents has paved a new route for the olefination of a carbonyl equivalent [4]. Representative examples are shown in Scheme 1 [4–8]. In these reactions, one of the carbon–sulfur bonds is replaced by a carbon–carbon bond, and the second carbon–sulfur bond undergoes formal


elimination to give an alkene. The reaction is particularly useful for the synthesis of vinylsilanes [5]. When the cyclopropyl Grignard reagent is employed in these cross coupling reactions, the corresponding butadienes are obtained in good yield [6]. Accordingly, the dithioacetal functionality can be considered as a geminal dication synthon. Indeed, the reaction of a dimetallic species with benzylic dithioacetals furnishes an alternative procedure for the olefination of dithioacetals [7]. The key to the success of this reaction relies on the involvement of a chelation intermediate **1**, which activates the second carbon–sulfur bond [8].



This brief account summarizes our recent results based on this olefination reactions and related reactions on the synthetic applications of the dithioacetal functionality.


* Tel.: +886-2-2789-8500; fax: +886-2-2364-4971.

E-mail address: ty Luh@chem.sinica.edu.tw (T.-Y. Luh).




the silicon spacer. The photophysical properties and the optoelectronic applications of **13** have been briefly explored [15].

The silyl-substituted monomeric light emitting material **14** is synthesized in a similar manner. Bright blue emission is obtained from a device containing **14** as a dopant [16].



3. Dithioacetal as a zwitterion synthon


The electronegativities of carbon and sulfur atoms are similar. These intriguing properties lead to the rich chemistry of organosulfur compounds. The reactivity of the carbon–sulfur bond towards a nucleophile can also be altered depending on the reaction conditions. In other words, either a sulfide anion or a carbanion can serve as a leaving group in these reactions. Relatively speaking, nucleophilic substitution reactions involving the carbanionic leaving group is rare, unless this anionic species is somewhat stabilized [17,18]. The dithioacetal functionality turns out to be an ideal substrate for this purpose because the carbanion moiety generated by nucleophilic attack at one of the two sulfur atoms will be stabilized by the remaining sulfur group. Indeed, treatment of butyl lithium with a benzylic dithioacetal **15** followed by protonation yields the corresponding thioether **16** (Eq. (7)) [18]. An extension of this reaction to the propargylic system has led to the discovery of several interesting transformations.



3.1. Synthesis of substituted allenes


Treatment of propargylic dithioacetal **17** with an organocopper reagent followed by quenching with an electrophile affords either sulfur-substituted allene **20** or alkyne **21**, depending on the nature of the electrophile

[19]. In the presence of a proton source, allene is obtained exclusively in 73–95% yield. (**20**, E = H, Eq. (8)). In a similar manner, allenyl silanes and stannanes can be prepared (**20**, E = Me₃Si or R₃Sn, Eq. (8)). Substituents (R¹, R²) in the starting **17** can vary from hydrogen, alkyl to aryl groups.






When a soft alkyl halide electrophile is employed, selective carbon–carbon bond formation leading to an excellent yield of propargylic thioether **21** is observed.


Transmetalation of the organocopper intermediate **18** or **19** with ZnBr₂ followed by the palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction gives the corresponding allenes **22** in 65–78% yield (Eq. (9)).



Further reactions of the organosulfur products **20** or **21** with the Grignard reagent in the presence of a nickel catalyst yields the corresponding allenes **23** or **24**, respectively (Eqs. (10) and (11)) [19]. These results demonstrate the first examples of using propargylic dithioacetals as allene zwitterion synthons **25**.




It is known that the propargylic ether can react with an organocopper reagent to yield an S_N2'-like product. It is intriguing to note that treatment of **26**, with Bu₂CuLi, affords the corresponding cumulene **27** in 91% yield. Apparently, the reactivity of the sulfur moiety in **26** toward the nucleophile is faster than that of the alkynyl ether group [19].





3.2. Synthesis of furans and pyrroles

It is well documented that annulation of allenyl-methanols and related compounds are known to afford the corresponding five-membered oxygen heterocycles [20].^{6–11} Thus, treatment of organocopper intermediate **18** or **19** with an aldehyde **28** or an aldimine **29** can afford the intermediate alcohol **30**, or amine **31**, respectively. Since the thioether moiety in **30** or **31** will be a good leaving group, cyclization to eliminate the sulfur moiety will lead to the substituted furan **32** or pyrrole **33** (Eq. (13)) [21].




Thus, **17** is allowed to react with Bu_2CuLi at -78°C followed by treatment with an aldehyde **28**. Trifluoroacetic acid-promoted annulation furnishes the corresponding furans **32** in satisfactory yield. A range of 2,3,5-trisubstituted furans **32** can be conveniently synthesized by this procedure. Pyrroles **33** are also obtained in a similar manner when imines **29** are used as electrophiles. It is noteworthy that $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ appears to be a better Lewis acid catalyst for the pyrrole synthesis. These reactions are particularly promising to introduce a substituent at C_3 . It is a common strategy to have such alkyl group to increase the solubility of oligoaryls for the convenience of processing leading to devices for optoelectronic investigations.

Starting from the dialdehydes **34**, oligoaryls **36** having two furan moieties are obtained in one pot (Eq. (14)). In a similar manner, when a diimine **35** is employed, bis-pyrroles **37** are prepared (Eq. (14)). A wide range of substituents having different functionalities remains intact under these reaction conditions. Accordingly, further modification of these functionalities may lead to a variety of oligoaryls and the lengths of conjugation can thus be tuned. For example, Heck reaction of **38** with PhI afforded **39** in 83% yield (Eq. (15)) [21].




Organic lithium reagents behave similarly. There is no apparent discrepancy in regioselectivities whether organocopper or lithium reagent is employed. It is worthy to note that the reactivity of the dithioacetal moiety under these conditions is faster than that of an ester functionality. Thus, **41** is prepared from the corresponding dithioacetal **40** and dialdehyde **34**. Repetitive use of this strategy shown in Eq. (14) has paved the way to the synthesis of a variety of furan or pyrrole-containing oligoaryls (e.g. **42**) [22].





3.3. Reactions of allylic dithioacetals

Regioselective replacement of a carbon–heteroatom bond in an unsymmetrical allylic system by a carbon–carbon bond is important in organic synthesis. The selectivity depends on the nature of the substrates, reagents, stoichiometry and reaction conditions [23]. The reaction of allylic dithioacetals **43** with MeMgI in the presence of $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppe})$ leading to geminal dimethylation product **44** has been studied in details (Eq. (16)) [24].




No 1,3-dimethylation product has been observed at all. On the other hand, propargylic dithioacetal **17** can

serve as an allene 1,3-dication synthon leading to 1,3-dimethylation product **45** under similar conditions (Eq. (17)) [25]. As mentioned earlier, propargylic dithioacetal **17** can behave as an allene 1,3-zwitterion synthon **25** and the regioselectivity of the reaction depends on the nature of the electrophile (Eq. (8)–(11)). Thus, the reaction of **46** under similar conditions would generate a substituted allylmethyl intermediate **47** which might behave differently from that of the allenyl-propargylic counterpart **18** or **19**. Indeed, reaction of **46** with Bu_2CuLi or BuLi in THF at -78°C followed by treatment with an alkyl halide affords regioselectively an *E*–*Z* mixture of the corresponding vinyl sulfide **48** in good yield (Eq. (18)). It is interesting to note that the reaction of alkyl electrophile occurs regioselectively at the position away from the sulfur substituent of the corresponding unsymmetrical allyl organometallic species **47**. This selectivity is just opposite to that observed for the propargylic substrates [20]. The proton electrophile, however, gives a mixture of regioisomers.



A mixture of *E*–*Z* isomeric **48** is treated with the Grignard reagent in the presence a catalytic amount of $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppe})$ to afford the corresponding coupling product **49** in good yield (Eq. (19)) [2,26]. In general, the Grignard reagent having the same alkyl group as the R^1 group in **46** is employed so that the stereochemical problem in **48** can be lifted. The regioselectivity in this reaction just complements that of procedures shown in Eq. (16).



4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated the recent advances on the synthetic applications of the dithioacetal functionality. An extension of the olefination reaction has led to the discovery of a new route towards the synthesis of a wide range of conjugated systems for optoelectronic interests. The reaction of propargylic dithioacetals with

organolithium or copper reagents furnishes a new entry for the regioselective carbon–carbon bond formation in allene synthesis as well as in five-membered heteroaromatic annulation. In a similar manner, allylic dithioacetal can be considered as a propene 1,3-zwitterion synthon. Due to the rich chemistry of organosulfur compounds, the opportunity for further development involving the dithioacetals abounds.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Council and Ministry of Education of the Republic of China for support.

References

- [1] F. Diederich, P.J. Stang (Eds.), *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998.
- [2] (a) H. Okamura, M. Miura, H. Takei, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (1979) 43; (b) E. Wenkert, T.W. Ferreina, E.L. Michelotti, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* (1979) 637.
- [3] H. Okamura, H. Takei, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (1979) 3425.
- [4] For reviews, see: T.-Y. Luh, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 24 (1991) 257. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 68 (1996) 105.
- [5] (a) Z.-J. Ni, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 53 (1988) 2129; (b) Z.-J. Ni, P.-F. Yang, D.K.P. Ng, Y.-L. Tzeng, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 112 (1990) 9356.
- [6] (a) D.K.P. Ng, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 111 (1989) 9119; (b) C.-C. Yu, D.K.P. Ng, B.-L. Chen, T.-Y. Luh, *Organometallics* 13 (1994) 1487.
- [7] H.-R. Tseng, T.-Y. Luh, *Organometallics* 15 (1996) 3099.
- [8] Z.-J. Ni, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* (1987) 1515.
- [9] H.S. Nalwa (Ed.), *Handbook of Organic Conductive Molecules and Polymers*, vol. 1–4, Wiley, Chichester, 1997.
- [10] (a) S.S. Hu, W.P. Weber, *Polym. Bull.* 21 (1989) 133; (b) R.J.P. Corriu, C. Guerin, B. Henner, A. Jean, F. Garnier, A. Yassar, T. Kuhlmann, *Chem. Mater.* 2 (1990) 351; (c) J. Ohshita, D. Kanaya, M. Ishikawa, T. Koike, T. Yamanaka, *Macromolecules* 24 (1991) 2106; (d) H.J. Wu, L.V. Interrante, *Macromolecules* 25 (1992) 1840; (e) Y. Pang, S. Ijadi-Maghsoodi, T.J. Barton, *Macromolecules* 26 (1993) 5671; (f) C.-H. Yuan, R. West, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 8 (1994) 423; (g) A. Mori, E. Takahisa, H. Kajiro, Y. Nishihara, T. Hiyama, *Macromolecules* 33 (2000) 1115; (h) J. Ohshita, A. Takata, H. Kai, A. Kunai, K. Komaguchi, M. Shiotani, A. Adachi, K. Sakamaki, K. Okita, Y. Harima, Y. Kunugi, K. Yamashita, M. Ishikawa, *Organometallics* 19 (2000) 4492; (i) H.-K. Kim, M.-K. Ryu, S.-M. Lee, *Macromolecules* 30 (1997) 1236; (j) Y.-J. Miao, G.C. Bazan, *Macromolecules* 30 (1997) 7414.
- [11] C.C. Wu, C.W. Chen, Y.T. Lin, H.-L. Yu, J.-H. Hsu, T.-Y. Luh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 79 (2001) 3023.
- [12] (a) R.-M. Chen, K.-M. Chien, K.-T. Wong, B.-Y. Jin, T.-Y. Luh, J.-H. Hsu, W. Fann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119 (1997) 11321; (b) R.-M. Chen, T.-Y. Luh, *Tetrahedron* 54 (1998) 1197.
- [13] S. Sudhakar, G.-H. Lee, Y. Wang, J.-H. Hsu, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Organomet. Chem.* in press.

- [14] S. Sudhakar, unpublished results.
- [15] R.-M. Chen, Z. Deng, S.T. Lee, T.-Y. Luh, in: B.R. Hsieh, M. Galvin, Y. Wei (Eds.), *Semiconductive Polymers*, ACS Symposium Series, ACS, Washington DC, 1999 Chapter 23.
- [16] Z. Gao, C.S. Lee, I. Bello, S.T. Lee, R.-M. Chen, T.-Y. Luh, J. Shi, C.W. Tang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 74 (1999) 865.
- [17] P.G. Gassman, D.P. Gilbert, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 42 (1977) 1340.
- [18] (a) A. Krief, B. Kenda, P. Barbeaux, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 32 (1991) 2509;
(b) H. Ikehira, S. Tanimoto, T. Oida, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* (1984) 1223.
- [19] (a) H.-R. Tseng, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 62 (1997) 4568;
(b) H.-R. Tseng, C.-F. Lee, L.-M. Yang, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 64 (1999) 8582.
- [20] (a) J.A. Marshall, X.-J. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.* 56 (1991) 4913;
(b) J.A. Marshall, C.E. Bennett, *J. Org. Chem.* 59 (1994) 6110;
(c) S. Kim, Y.G. Kim, *Synlett* (1991) 869;
(d) D. Obrecht, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 72 (1989) 447;
(e) N.D. Ly, M. Schlosser, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 60 (1977) 2085;
(f) F.E. McDonald, C.C. Schultz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 116 (1994) 9363;
- (g) H.-G. Shu, L.-H. Shiu, S.-H. Wang, S.-L. Wang, G.-H. Lee, S.-M. Peng, R.-S. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118 (1996) 530;
(h) N. Iwasawa, K. Maeyama, M. Saitou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119 (1997) 1486.
- [21] C.-F. Lee, L.-M. Yang, T.-Y. Hwu, A.-S. Feng, J.-C. Tseng, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122 (2000) 4992.
- [22] Lee, C.-F. unpublished results.
- [23] (a) J. Tsuji, *Palladium Reagents and Catalysts: Innovations in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, Chichester, 1995, pp. 290–422;
(b) J. Tsuji, *Transition Metal Reagents and Catalysis: Innovations in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, Chichester, 2000 Chapters 4 & 6;
(c) Y. Yamamoto, N. Asao, *Chem. Rev.* 93 (1993) 2207.
- [24] (a) P.-F.- Yang, Z.-J. Ni, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 54 (1989) 2261;
(b) Y.-L. Tzeng, P.-F. Yang, N.-W. Mei, T.-M. Yuan, C.-C. Yu, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 56 (1991) 5289;
(c) T.-M. Yuan, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 57 (1992) 4550;
(d) T.-M. Yuan, T.-Y. Luh, *Org. Syn.* 74 (1996) 187.
- [25] H.-R. Tseng, T.-Y. Luh, *J. Org. Chem.* 61 (1996) 8685.
- [26] C.-C. Chiang, T.-Y. Luh, *Synlett* (2001) 977.