

行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫成果報告

質環上的可加性映射

計畫類別：個別型計畫      整合型計畫

計畫編號：NSC 87 — 2115 — M002 — 003

執行期間：87年8月1日至89年7月31日

個別型計畫：計畫主持人：李白飛  
共同主持人：

整合型計畫：總計畫主持人：  
子計畫主持人：

註：整合型計畫總報告與子計畫成果報告請分開編印各成一冊，彙整一起繳送國科會。

處理方式：可立即對外提供參考  
(請打√) 一年後可對外提供參考  
兩年後可對外提供參考  
(必要時，本會得展延發表時限)

執行單位：國立台灣大學數學系

中華民國 89 年 12 月 13 日

## ABSTRACT

Let  $A$  be a prime ring with nonzero right ideal  $R$  and  $f: R \rightarrow A$  an additive map. Next, let  $k, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  be natural numbers. Suppose that  $[ \dots [ [f(x), x^{n_1}] x^{n_2} ] \dots x^{n_r} ] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then it is proved that  $[f(x), x] = 0$  provided that either  $\text{char} A = 0$  or  $\text{char} A > n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$ . This result is a simultaneous generalization of a number of results proved earlier.

**Key words:** prime ring, additive map, Engel condition

## 摘 要

設  $A$  為一質環,  $R$  為  $A$  中一非零右理想,  $f: R \rightarrow A$  為一可加性映射. 又設長  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  皆為自然數. 假設對  $R$  中任一  $x$  恆有  $[ \dots [[ [ f(x), x^{n_1} ], x^{n_2} ], \dots, x^{n_k} ] = 0$ , 則當  $\text{char } A = 0$  或  $\text{char } A > n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$  時,  $[ f(x), x ] = 0$  對  $R$  中任一  $x$  恆成立. 這個定理同時推廣了先前已證過的許多相關結果.

關鍵詞: 質環, 可加性映射, Engel 條件

# On Additive Maps of Prime Rings Satisfying Engel Condition

K.I. BEIDAR, Y. FONG

*Department of Mathematics,  
National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan*

P.-H. LEE AND T.-L. WONG

*Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University,  
Taipei, Taiwan*

## Abstract

Let  $A$  be a prime ring with nonzero right ideal  $R$  and  $f : R \rightarrow A$  an additive map. Next, let  $k, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  be natural numbers. Suppose that  $[\dots[[f(x), x^{n_1}], x^{n_2}], \dots, x^{n_k}] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then it is proved in Theorem 1.1 that  $[f(x), x] = 0$  provided that either  $\text{char}(A) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(A) > n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$ . Theorem 1.1 is a simultaneous generalization of a number of results proved earlier.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification, Primary 16N60, Secondary 16R50

## 1 Introduction

We refer the reader to the book of Beidar, Martindale and Mikhalev [3] for the basic terminology and results of Rings with Generalized Polynomial Identities Theory (i.e., Rings with GPI).

Let  $A$  be a ring,  $x, y \in A$ ,  $k > 1$  a natural number and  $\bar{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$  a  $k$ -tuple of natural numbers. Setting  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ , we define  $[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k$  inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y^{\bar{n}}]_0 &= x, \\ [x, y^{\bar{n}}]_1 &= xy^{n_1} - y^{n_1}x, \\ [x, y^{\bar{n}}]_{t+1} &= [[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_t, y^{n_{t+1}}], \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k = 1$ , then we shall write  $[x, y]_k$  in place of  $[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k$ . Note that  $[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k = [x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k$  if  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k = n$ . Next, we set  $|\bar{n}| = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$ . Given  $1 \leq i < k$ , we set  $\bar{n}_{(i)} = (n_{i+1}, \dots, n_k)$ . For simplicity

we shall write  $[x, y^{\bar{n}\#}]_{k-i}$  in place of  $[x, y^{\bar{n}(i)}]_{k-i}$ . Let  $L$  be a subgroup of  $(A, +)$ . An additive map  $f : L \rightarrow A$  is called  $k$ -commuting ( $k$ -centralizing) on  $L$  if  $[f(x), x]_k = 0$  (respectively,  $[f(x), x]_k \in Z(A)$  the center of  $A$ ) for all  $x \in L$ .

From now on we assume that  $A$  is a prime ring with extended centroid  $C$  and Martindale right ring of quotients  $Q$ ,  $S = AC \subseteq Q$  is a  $C$ -subalgebra of  $Q$  generated by  $A$ ,  $k$  is a natural number, and  $\bar{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$  is a  $k$ -tuple of natural numbers. Recall that  $A$  is called *centrally closed* if  $A = S$ .

The study of commuting and centralizing maps goes back to 1957 when Posner [25] showed that the existence of a nonzero 1-centralizing derivation in a prime ring  $A$  implies that  $A$  is commutative. Mayne [23] proved the analogous result for centralizing automorphisms. A variety of results on commuting and centralizing maps have since been obtained by a number of authors (see [2], [4-20], [23-25]). Many of these isolated results were simultaneously generalized by Brešar [6] and [8] which proved that if  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is an additive 2-commuting mapping and  $\text{char}(A) \neq 2$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in C$  and an additive map  $\mu : A \rightarrow C$  such that  $f(x) = \lambda x + \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . Further, on the one hand Lanski [16] studied  $k$ -commuting derivations and Brešar [10] described  $k$ -commuting additive maps, on the other hand Brešar and Hvala [11] considered a condition  $[f(x), x^2] = 0$  for all  $x \in A$  where  $f$  is an additive mapping (see also [4]). Also a number of papers were devoted to the study of commuting and centralizing maps  $f : L \rightarrow A$  where  $L$  is either right ideal of  $R$  or some other subgroups [9], [12], [15], [18], [19], and [20] (for further references see [8] and [19]). Our goal is to prove the following results which are generalizations of many of the results mentioned above. Note that our approach is different from that in [10] and is based on the technique developed in [2].

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $A$  be a prime ring with right ideal  $R$  and additive map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  such that  $[f(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Suppose that either  $\text{char}(A) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(A) > |\bar{n}|$ . Then  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

**Corollary 1.2** *Let  $A$  be a prime ring with nonzero right ideal  $R$  and additive map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  such that  $[f(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Suppose that  $[R, R]R \neq 0$  and either  $\text{char}(A) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(A) > |\bar{n}|$ . Then there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and an additive map  $\mu : R \rightarrow C$  such that  $f(x) = \lambda x + \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

## 2 Preliminary Results

Let  $t$  be a natural number and  $y \in A$ , we define maps  $J_{t,y} : A \rightarrow A$  and  $\text{ad}(y) : A \rightarrow A$  by the rules  $J_{t,y}x = \sum_{i=0}^t y^i x y^{-i}$  and  $\text{ad}(y)x = [x, y]$  for all  $x \in A$ . Given a natural number  $s$  one can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{t,y}J_{s,y} &= J_{s,y}J_{t,y}, \\ \text{ad}(y^s)J_{t,y} &= J_{t,y}\text{ad}(y^s), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}(y^s) &= J_{s-1,y}\text{ad}(y), \\
\text{ad}(y^{st}) &= J_{s-1,y^t}\text{ad}(y^t), \\
[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k &= \text{ad}(y^{n_k})\text{ad}(y^{n_{k-1}})\dots\text{ad}(y^{n_1})x \quad \text{and} \\
[x, y^{\bar{n}}]_k &= J_{n_k-1,y}J_{n_{k-1}-1,y}\dots J_{n_1-1,y}\text{ad}(y)^k x \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

In what follows we shall assume that  $A$  is a prime ring such that either  $\text{char}(A) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(A) > |\bar{n}|$ ,  $R$  is an additive subgroup of  $A$  and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is an additive map. We consider the following conditions:

$$\text{If } q \in \bar{Q} \text{ and } [q, z^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0 \text{ for all } z \in R, \text{ then } q \in C \quad (2)$$

$$[f(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$[f(y), x^{\bar{n}}]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[f(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_t, J_{n_t-1,xy}, x^{\bar{n}}]_{k-t-1} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $x, y \in R$ . We shall assume that  $f$  satisfies (3) for all  $x \in R$ . If  $\text{char}(A) = 2$ , then  $|\bar{n}| = 1$ ,  $k = 1$  and so  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that  $\text{char}(A) \neq 2$ . Now our goal is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $A$  be a prime ring with a nonzero additive subgroup  $R$ , extended centroid  $C$ , central closure  $S$  and additive map  $f : R \rightarrow S$ . Suppose that the condition (2) holds in  $A$  and  $f$  satisfies (3) for all  $x \in R$ . Denote by  $W$  the  $C$ -subspace of  $S$  generated by  $R$ . Next, let  $m = \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$  be the least common multiple of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ ,  $F$  an infinite extension of  $C$ ,  $D = S \otimes_C F$ , and  $G = W \otimes_C F$ . Then  $D$  is a prime ring. Further, there exists a  $C$ -linear map  $h : G \rightarrow D$  such that  $f(x) - h(x) \in C$  for all  $x \in R$  and*

$$[h(x), x^m]_k = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$[h(y), x^m]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[h(x), x^m]_t, J_{m-1,xy}, x^m]_{k-t-1} = 0 \quad (6)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Finally, assume that there exists a natural number  $s$  such that  $x^s \in R$  for all  $x \in R$  and set  $J_0 = J_{s-1,x^m}$ ,  $J_1 = J_{m-1,x}$ ,  $J_2 = J_{m-1,x^s}$ . Then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \{ [[h(x^s), x^{sm}]_t, J_2y, x^{sm}]_{k-t-1} - J_0 [[h(x), x^m]_t, J_1y, x^m]_{k-t-1} \} = 0 \quad (7)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

The proof of the theorem rests on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2** *The map  $f$  satisfies (4) for all  $x, y \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the ring of integers. We set

$$t = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \text{char}(A) = 0, \\ \text{char}(A) & \text{if } \text{char}(A) > 0. \end{cases}$$

By assumption we can choose distinct elements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{|\bar{n}|} \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $0 < r_i < t$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, |\bar{n}|$ . Clearly  $f(nx) = nf(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Now we substitute  $x + r_i y$  for  $x$  in (3),  $i = 1, 2, \dots, |\bar{n}|$ . Using equalities  $[f(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0 = [f(y), y^{\bar{n}}]_k$  and a van der Monde determinant argument we complete the proof.

Let  $B$  be an algebra over a field  $F$ ,  $X$  an infinite set,  $F\langle X \rangle$  the free  $F$ -algebra on  $X$ , and  $B\langle X \rangle$  the free product of  $F$ -algebras  $B$  and  $F\langle X \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $K$  be a subring of  $F$ ,  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B\langle X \rangle$  and  $U$  a submodule of the  $K$ -module  $B$ . Denote by  $V$  the  $F$ -subspace of  $B$  generated by  $U$ . Next, let  $h_i : V \rightarrow B$  be an  $F$ -linear map,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $g(h_1(u_1), \dots, h_n(u_n)) = 0$  for all  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U$  and  $\deg_{x_i}(g) < |K|$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $g(h_1(v_1), \dots, h_n(v_n)) = 0$  for all  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$ . Next, let  $f : V \rightarrow B$  be an  $F$ -linear map satisfying (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $f$  satisfies (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Choose an  $F$ -basis  $\{v_s \mid s \in S\} \subseteq V$  and extend it to an  $F$ -basis  $\{v_t \mid t \in T\}$  of  $B$  (i.e.,  $S \subseteq T$ ). Let  $M$  be any nonempty finite subset of  $S$ . It is enough to show that  $g(h_1(y_1), \dots, h_n(y_n)) = 0$  for all  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \sum_{m \in M} Fv_m$ . Clearly there exist a finite subset  $L \subseteq T$  and polynomials

$$P_l(z_{im} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m \in M) \in F[z_{im} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m \in M], \quad l \in L,$$

such that

$$g(h_1(y_1), \dots, h_n(y_n)) = \sum_{l \in L} P_l(\lambda_{im} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m \in M) v_l$$

for all  $y_i = \sum_{m \in M} \lambda_{im} v_m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $\deg_{x_i}(g) < |K|$  for all  $i$ , we conclude that  $\deg_{z_{im}}(P_l) < |K|$  for all  $i, m$  as well. If all  $\lambda_{im}$ 's are in  $K$ , then  $y_i$ 's are in  $U$  and so  $g(h_1(y_1), \dots, h_n(y_n)) = 0$  which implies that  $P_l(\lambda_{im}) = 0$ . Recalling that  $\deg_{z_{im}}(P_l) < |K|$ , we infer that  $P_l(z_{im}) = 0$  for all  $l$  and hence  $g(h_1(y_1), \dots, h_n(y_n)) = 0$  for all  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in V$ . The last statement follows from the first one. The proof is complete.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* It follows directly from [3, Theorem 2.3.5] that  $D$  is a prime ring. By Lemma 2.2 the map  $f$  satisfies both conditions (3) and (4). Choose a  $C$ -subspace  $V$  of  $S$  such that  $S + C = V \oplus C$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection of  $S$  onto  $V$ . Setting  $g = \pi \circ f$ , we note that  $f(x) - g(x) \in C$  for all  $x \in R$ . Therefore  $g$  satisfies (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Every element  $z \in W$  is representable in the form  $z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are in  $C$  and  $z_i$ 's are in  $R$ . We set

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(z_i).$$

We show that  $h$  is well-defined. We have that

$$[\lambda_i g(z_i), x^{\bar{n}}]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[g(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_t, J_{n_{i+1}-1, x}(\lambda_i z_i), x^{\bar{n}\#}]_{k-t-1} = 0$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, x \in R$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [h(z), x^{\bar{n}}]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[g(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_t, J_{n_{i+1}-1, x} z, x^{\bar{n}\#}]_{k-t-1} = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( [\lambda_i g(z_i), x^{\bar{n}}]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[g(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_t, J_{n_{i+1}-1, x}(\lambda_i z_i), x^{\bar{n}\#}]_{k-t-1} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in W, x \in R$ . Suppose that  $z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i = 0$ . Then  $[h(z), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . According to (2),  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(z_i) = h(z) \in C$ . On the other hand  $g(z_i)$ 's are in  $V$  and so  $h(z) \in V$ . Therefore  $h(z) = 0$ . Thus  $h$  is a well-defined  $C$ -linear map  $W \rightarrow S$ . Clearly  $h(x) = g(x)$  and so  $f(x) - h(x) \in C$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Denote by  $K$  the subring of  $C$  generated by 1. Since either  $\text{char}(A) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(A) > |\bar{n}|$ , we have that  $|K| > |\bar{n}|$ . Clearly  $R$  is a  $K$ -submodule of  $A$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 (with  $U = R$ ) we conclude that  $h(x)$  satisfies (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in W$ . Again applying Lemma 2.3 (with  $U = W$ ) we infer that  $h(x)$  satisfies (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Write  $m = l_i n_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . It follows from (1) that

$$[h(x), x^m]_k = J_{l_1-1, x^{n_1}} J_{l_2-1, x^{n_2}} \dots J_{l_k-1, x^{n_k}} [h(x), x^{\bar{n}}]_k = 0$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $|F| = \infty$ , substitutions of  $x + \lambda y$  for  $x$  with  $\lambda \in F$  yield that (6) holds for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Finally, substituting  $x^s$  for  $x$  in (6) and using (1), we obtain that

$$J_0([h(y), x^m]_k) + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[h(x^s), x^{sm}]_t, J_2 y, x^{sm}]_{k-t-1} = 0 \quad (8)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Applying  $J_0$  to (6) and subtracting the result from (8), we see that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \{ [[h(x^s), x^{sm}]_t, J_2 y, x^{sm}]_{k-t-1} - J_0 [[h(x), x^m]_t, J_1 y, x^m]_{k-t-1} \} = 0$$



for all  $x, y \in G$ , which completes the proof.

As there is no convenient reference known to us, we include a proof of the following simple lemma for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $D$  be a ring,  $x, e \in D$  and  $r$  a natural number. Suppose  $e^2 = e$ . Then:*

- (i)  $[x, e]_3 = [x, e]$ ;
- (ii)  $[x, e]_{2r+1} = [x, e]$ ;
- (iii) if  $[x, e]_k = 0$ , then  $[x, e] = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have

$$[x, e]_3 = xe^3 - 3exe^2 + 3e^2xe - e^3x = xe - 3exe + 3exe - ex = [x, e].$$

(ii) The second statement follows from the first one by easy induction.

(iii) If  $k$  is odd, then there is nothing to prove. If  $k$  is even, then  $[x, e] = [x, e]_{k+1} = [[x, e]_k, e] = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.5** *Suppose that  $f$  satisfies (3) for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $[f(e), e] = 0$  for all  $e^2 = e \in R$ .*

**Corollary 2.6** *Let  $y, e \in R$ . Suppose that  $e^2 = e$ ,  $[y, e] = 0$  and  $f$  satisfies (3) for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $[f(y), e] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2 the map  $f$  satisfies (4) for all  $x, y \in R$ . Substituting  $e$  for  $x$  in (4), we get

$$[f(y), e]_k + \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} [[f(e), e]_t, J_{n_{t+1}-1} ey], e]_{k-t-1} = 0.$$

Since  $[y, e] = 0$ ,  $[J_{n_{t+1}-1, ey}, e] = 0$  and so

$$[[f(e), e]_t, J_{n_{t+1}-1, ey}, e]_{k-t-1} = [[f(e), e]_{k-1}, J_{n_{t+1}-1, ey}] = 0$$

for all  $t$  because  $k-1 \geq 1$  and  $[f(e), e] = 0$  by Corollary 2.5. Therefore  $[f(y), e]_k = 0$  and thus  $[f(y), e] = 0$  by Lemma 2.4.

Let  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. We set

$$g_n(x, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x^{\sigma(0)} y_0 x^{\sigma(1)} y_1 \dots y_{n-1} x^{\sigma(n)}.$$

**Lemma 2.7** ([3, Corollary 2.3.8]) *Let  $a \in A$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a$  is an algebraic element over  $C$  of degree  $\leq n$ ;
- (ii)  $g_n(a, r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) = 0$  for all  $r_i \in A$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**Lemma 2.8** ([3, Corollary 6.5.16]) *Let  $A$  be a primitive algebra with identity over an infinite field  $C$  having a nonzero idempotent  $w$  such that  $wAw$  is a finite dimensional division  $C$ -algebra with center  $wC$ . Further let  $f, h \in A_C\langle X \rangle$  and let  $x_k \in X$  be a variable which is not involved in  $f$  and  $h$ . Suppose that  $fx_kh$  is a GPI on  $A$ . Then either  $f$  or  $h$  is a GPI on  $A$ .*

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $A$  be a centrally closed prime ring with infinite extended centroid  $C$ . Suppose that  $A$  is not algebraic of bounded degree  $\leq n$  over  $C$ . Choose  $\lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then for every  $x \in A$  there exists  $y \in A$  such that neither  $y$ , nor  $x + y$ , nor  $x + \lambda y$  is algebraic of degree  $\leq n$  over  $C$ .*

*Proof.* If not, then

$$h = g_n(y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})ug_n(x + y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})vg_n(x + \lambda y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$$

is a generalized polynomial identity in  $y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}, u, v$  on  $A$  by Lemma 2.7. According to [3, Theorem 6.4.4] every GPI on  $A$  is a GPI on  $Q$ . Therefore  $h$  is a GPI on  $Q$ . By [22, Theorem 3],  $Q$  is a primitive ring with nonzero idempotent  $e$  such that  $eQe$  is a division algebra finite dimensional over its center  $eC$  (see also [3, Theorem 6.1.6]). Since  $|C| = \infty$ , we conclude that either  $g_n(y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$  or  $g_n(x + y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$  or  $g_n(x + \lambda y, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$  is a GPI on  $Q$  (see Lemma 2.8). In all cases  $A$  is algebraic of bounded degree  $\leq n$  over  $C$  by Lemma 2.7, a contradiction.

The following result is a corollary to the proof of Lemma 2.9 which will be used in the forthcoming paper.

**Corollary 2.10** *Let  $A$  be a prime ring with additive subgroup  $R$  and let  $n$  be a natural number. Suppose that  $R$  does not satisfy GPI. Choose  $\lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then for every  $x \in R$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that neither  $y$ , nor  $x + y$ , nor  $x + \lambda y$  is algebraic of degree  $\leq n$  over  $C$ .*

Given a subset  $S \subseteq A$  we set

$$l(A; S) = \{a \in A \mid aS = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.11** *Let  $A$  be a centrally closed prime ring. Next, let  $R$  be a nonzero right ideal of the  $C$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $R/l(R; R)$  is a centrally closed prime  $C$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $l(R; R)$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $\bar{R} = R/l(R; R)$  is a prime  $C$ -algebra. We shall write  $\bar{a}$  for  $a + l(R; R) \in \bar{R}$ ,  $a \in R$ . Denote by  $F$  the extended centroid of  $\bar{R}$ . Obviously  $F \supseteq C$ . Choose any  $\alpha \in F$ . Next, choose  $0 \neq \bar{a} \in \bar{R}$  such that  $\bar{b} = \alpha\bar{a} \in \bar{R}$ . Finally, choose  $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$  such that  $\bar{a}\bar{r} \neq 0$ . Clearly  $\bar{a}x\bar{b} = \bar{b}x\bar{a}$  for  $x \in \bar{R}$ . Therefore  $axb - bxa \in l(R; R)$  for all  $x \in R$  and so  $arybr - bryar = 0$  for all  $y \in A$ . It follows from [22, Theorem 1] that  $br = \lambda ar$  for some  $\lambda \in C$  (see also [3, Theorem 2.3.4]). Therefore  $\alpha\bar{a}\bar{r} = \lambda\bar{a}\bar{r}$  and so  $\alpha = \lambda$ . Thus  $F = C$  and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 2.12** Let  $A$  be a centrally closed prime ring with infinite extended centroid  $C$  and  $R$  a nonzero right ideal of the  $C$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose that  $R$  is not algebraic of bounded degree  $\leq n$  over  $C$ . Choose  $\lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then for every  $x \in R$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that neither  $y$ , nor  $x + y$ , nor  $x + \lambda y$  is algebraic of degree  $n - 1$  over  $C$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\bar{R} = R/I(R; R)$ . If  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i \bar{r}^i = 0$  for some  $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$  and  $\lambda_i$ 's in  $C$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i r^{i+1} = 0$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}$  is not algebraic of bounded degree  $\leq n - 1$  over  $C$ . Applying Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.9, we complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.13** Let  $A$  be a prime ring with nonzero right ideal  $R$  and  $q \in Q$ . Suppose that  $[q, x^n]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $q \in C$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an infinite set and  $Q\langle X \rangle$  the free product of  $C$ -algebras  $Q$  and  $C\langle X \rangle$ . Suppose that  $q \notin C$ . Fix any nonzero  $r \in R$  and choose  $y \in X$ . Clearly

$$[q, (ry)^n]_k = p(q, ry)ry + (-1)^k (ry)^{n-1} q$$

for some polynomial  $p(u, v)$  in noncommuting variables  $u, v$  with integral coefficients. Since  $q \notin C$ ,  $[q, (ry)^n]_k$  is a nonzero element of  $Q\langle X \rangle$ . Therefore  $[q, (ry)^n]_k$  is a nonzero generalized polynomial identity in  $y$  on  $A$ . According to [1, Theorem 2] it is also a generalized polynomial identity in  $y$  on  $Q$  (see also [3, Theorem 6.4.4]). By [3, Corollary 6.1.7],  $Q$  is a primitive ring with nonzero socle  $\text{Soc}(Q)$  and nonzero idempotent  $e$  such that  $eQe$  is a division algebra finite dimensional over its center  $eC$  (see also [3, Theorem 6.1.6]). If  $|C| < \infty$ , then  $eQe = eC$  by Wedderburn's theorem on finite division rings [3, Theorem 4.2.3]. Suppose now that  $|C| = \infty$ . Let  $\bar{C}$  be the algebraic closure of  $C$ . Then  $\bar{Q} = Q \otimes_C \bar{C}$  is a prime algebra by [3, Theorem 2.3.5]. Clearly  $\bar{Q}$  contains an idempotent  $\bar{e}$  such that  $\bar{e}\bar{Q}\bar{e} = \bar{e}\bar{C}$ . Since  $|C| = \infty$ ,  $[q, (ry)^n]_k$  is a generalized polynomial identity on  $\bar{Q}$  by Lemma 2.3. Thus, without loss of generality we can assume that  $eQe = eC$  and  $[q, x^n]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in rQ$ . As  $Q$  is a prime ring,  $rQ \cap \text{Soc}(Q) \neq 0$ . Choose any idempotent  $e' \in rQ \cap \text{Soc}(Q)$  such that  $e'Qe' = e'C$ . Since  $e'Q \subseteq rQ \cap \text{Soc}(Q)$ , we conclude that  $[q, x^n]_k = 0$  for all  $x \in e'Q$ . Let  $w \in e'Q$  be any idempotent. Then  $[q, w] = 0$  by Lemma 2.4. Since  $e'Qe' = e'C$ ,  $e'Q$  is a  $C$ -linear span of idempotents  $w \in e'Q$ . Therefore  $[q, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in e'Q$ . It follows that  $qe'y = e'yg$  for all  $y \in Q$ . Since the centralizer of a nonzero right ideal of a prime ring is just the center, we conclude that  $q \in C$ , a contradiction.

Let  $A$  be a prime ring with a nonzero right ideal  $R$  and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  an additive map satisfying (3). It follows from Lemma 2.13 that (2) holds in  $A$ . By Theorem 2.1 we may assume without loss of generality that  $A$  is a centrally closed prime ring with infinite centroid,  $R$  is a nonzero right ideal of  $C$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $f$  is a  $C$ -linear map satisfying (5), (6), and (7) for all  $x, y \in R$ .

$$* \quad \psi Q = \psi Q e' + \psi Q (1-e') = \psi Q e' + \sum_i \psi Q e'_i (1-e') = \psi Q e' + \sum_i \psi Q e'_i [e' + e'_i (1-e')]_k$$

### 3 The Case of Matrix Algebras

In this section  $F$  is a field,  $r$  a natural number,  $M_r(F)$  the  $F$ -algebra of  $r \times r$  matrices over  $F$ , and  $f : M_r(F) \rightarrow M_r(F)$  is an  $F$ -linear map satisfying (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in M_r(F)$ . We fix a set  $\{e_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}$  of matrix units of  $M_r(F)$  and identify  $F$  with  $Fe$  where  $e = e_{11} + e_{22} + \dots + e_{rr}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  there exist  $\lambda_{ii}, \mu_{ii} \in F$  such that  $f(e_{ii}) = \lambda_{ii}e_{ii} + \mu_{ii}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.6,  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{jj}] = 0$  for all  $j$ . Hence  $f(e_{ii})$  is a diagonal matrix. Write  $f(e_{ii}) = \sum_{t=1}^r \alpha_t e_{tt}$  where  $\alpha_t$ 's are in  $F$ . For  $j, s \neq i, j \neq s$ , we have  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{jj} + e_{js}] = 0$  since  $e_{jj} + e_{js}$  is an idempotent commuting with  $e_{ii}$  (see Corollary 2.6). Therefore  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{js}] = 0$  which yields  $\alpha_j = \alpha_s$ . Setting  $\mu_{ii} = \alpha_j$  and  $\lambda_{ii} = \alpha_i - \mu_{ii}$  we complete the proof.

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ , then  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{ij}] = 0$ ,  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ii}] = 0$  and  $[f(e_{jj}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{jj}] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $e_{ii} + e_{ij}$  is an idempotent, we have that  $[f(e_{ii} + e_{ij}), e_{ii} + e_{ij}] = 0$  by Corollary 2.5. Expanding and using  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{ii}] = 0$ , we get

$$[f(e_{ii}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ii}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ij}] = 0.$$

Similarly, it follows from  $[f(e_{ii} - e_{ij}), e_{ii} - e_{ij}] = 0$  that

$$[f(e_{ii}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ii}] - [f(e_{ij}), e_{ij}] = 0.$$

Therefore,  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{ij}] = 0$  and  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ii}] = 0$ . The last identity is proved analogously.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ . Then there exist  $\lambda_{ij}, \mu_{ij} \in F$  such that  $f(e_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij}e_{ij} + \mu_{ij}$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $f(e_{ij}) = \sum_{t,s} \alpha_{ts} e_{ts}$  where  $\alpha_{ts}$ 's are in  $F$ . By Corollary 2.6,  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{pp}] = 0$  for  $p \neq i, j$ . Hence  $\alpha_{sp} = 0 = \alpha_{ps}$  for  $s \neq p$ . That is,  $f(e_{ij}) = \sum_s \alpha_{ss} e_{ss} + \alpha_{ij} e_{ij} + \alpha_{ji} e_{ji}$ . According to Lemma 3.2,  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{ij}] = 0$  and so  $\alpha_{ii} = \alpha_{jj}$  and  $\alpha_{ji} = 0$ . As  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{is} + e_{ss}] = 0$  for  $s \neq i, j$  by Corollary 2.6,  $[f(e_{ij}), e_{is}] = 0$  and hence  $\alpha_{ss} = \alpha_{ii}$ . Thus  $f(e_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij}e_{ij} + \mu_{ij}$  where  $\lambda_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}$  and  $\mu_{ij}$  is the common value for  $\alpha_{ss}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *There exists  $\lambda \in F$  such that  $f(e_{ij}) - \lambda e_{ij} \in F$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we write  $f(e_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij}e_{ij} + \mu_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$  where  $\lambda_{ij}, \mu_{ij} \in F$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $[f(e_{ii}), e_{ij}] + [f(e_{ij}), e_{ii}] = 0$  which yields  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ii}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Similarly,  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{jj}$  follows from  $[f(e_{jj}), e_{ij}] +$

$[f(e_{ij}), e_{jj}] = 0$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the common value of the  $\lambda_{ij}$ 's. Then  $f(e_{ij}) - \lambda e_{ij}$  is a scalar for all  $i, j$ .

Since  $f$  is an  $F$ -linear map and  $\{e_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}$  forms a basis of  $M_r(F)$ , Lemma 3.4 implies the following main result of this section.

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $F$  be a field,  $r$  a natural number and  $f : M_r(F) \rightarrow M_r(F)$  an  $F$ -linear map satisfying (3) and (4) for all  $x, y \in M_r(F)$ . Then there exist  $\lambda \in F$  and an  $F$ -linear map  $\mu : M_r(F) \rightarrow F$  such that  $f(x) = \lambda x + \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in M_r(F)$ . In particular,  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M_r(F)$ .*

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose that  $r \in R$  is not algebraic of degree  $\leq 2km - 1$ . Then  $[f(r), r] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x^2 \in R$  for all  $x \in R$ , we infer from (7) that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \{[[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, J_2 y], x^{2m}]_{k-t-1} - J_0[[[f(x), x^m]_t, J_1 y], x^m]_{k-t-1}\} = 0 \quad (9)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Clearly  $J_i(xz) = xJ_i z$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $x \in R$ ,  $z \in A$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, J_2(xz)], x^{2m}]_{k-t-1} &= [[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, x]J_2 z, x^{2m}]_{k-t-1} \\ &\quad + x[[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, J_2 z], x^{2m}]_{k-t-1}, \\ [[[f(x), x^m]_t, J_1(xz)], x^m]_{k-t-1} &= [[[f(x), x^m]_t, x]J_1 z, x^m]_{k-t-1} \\ &\quad + x[[[f(x), x^m]_t, J_1 z], x^m]_{k-t-1} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $xz$  for  $y$  in (9), we obtain that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \{[[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, x]J_2 z, x^{2m}]_{k-t-1} - J_0[[[f(x), x^m]_t, x]J_1 z, x^m]_{k-t-1}\} = 0 \quad (10)$$

for all  $x \in R$  and  $z \in A$ . By Leibnitz formula we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &[[[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, x]J_2 z, x^{2m}]_{k-t-1} = \\ &\quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} \binom{k-t-1}{i} [[f(x^2), x^{2m}]_t, x], x^{2m}]_i [J_2 z, x^{2m}]_{k-t-i-1}, \\ &[[[f(x), x^m]_t, x]J_1 z, x^m]_{k-t-1} = \\ &\quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} \binom{k-t-1}{i} [[f(x), x^m]_t, x], x^m]_i [J_1 z, x^m]_{k-t-i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(x^{2m})^t = \text{ad}(x^{2m})^t\text{ad}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(x^m)^t = \text{ad}(x^m)^t\text{ad}(x)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , we can rewrite (10) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & [f(x^2), x][J_2z, x^{2m}]_{k-1} - J_0([f(x), x][J_1z, x^m]_{k-1}) \\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} N_t[[f(x^2), x], x^{2m}]_t[J_2z, x^{2m}]_{k-1-t} \\ & - J_0\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} N_t[[f(x), x], x^m]_t[J_1z, x^m]_{k-1-t}\right) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for some natural numbers  $N_t$ 's. Writing (11) as  $\sum_{i=0}^{2km-1} p_i(x)z^i = 0$ , we see that  $p_{2km-1}(x) = -[f(x), x]$ . Substituting  $r$  for  $x$ , we get  $\sum_{i=0}^{2km-1} p_i(r)z^i = 0$  for all  $z \in A$ . Since  $r$  is not algebraic of degree  $\leq 2km - 1$  over  $C$ , we conclude that  $1, r, \dots, r^{2km-1}$  are linearly independent over  $C$  and so  $[f(r), r] = 0$  by [22, Theorem 2].

**Lemma 4.2** Suppose that  $R$  is not algebraic algebra of degree  $\leq 2km$  over  $C$ . Then  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R$  and  $\lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . By Corollary 2.12 there exists  $y \in R$  such that neither  $y$  nor  $x + y$  nor  $x + \lambda y$  is an algebraic element of degree  $\leq 2km - 1$  over  $C$ . Then by Lemma 4.1

$$[f(y), y] = [f(x + y), x + y] = [f(x + \lambda y), x + \lambda y] = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [f(x + y), x + y] = [f(x), x] + [f(x), y] + [f(y), x], \\ 0 &= [f(x + \lambda y), x + \lambda y] = [f(x), x] + \lambda[f(x), y] + \lambda[f(y), x] \end{aligned}$$

and so  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* If  $R$  is not algebraic of degree  $\leq 2km$  over  $C$ , then  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  by Lemma 4.2. Suppose now that  $R$  is algebraic of degree  $\leq 2km$  over  $C$ . Then,  $R$  is a PI-ring. According to [3, Theorem 6.3.20],  $A$  is GPI. Since  $A$  is centrally closed,  $A$  contains a nonzero idempotent  $p$  such that  $pAp$  is a finite dimensional central division algebra over  $C$  (see [3, Theorem 6.1.6]). It follows from [21, Theorem 1] that  $R = e\text{Soc}(A)$  for some idempotent  $e \in \text{Soc}(A)$ . As  $e \in \text{Soc}(A)$ ,  $R = eA$ . We obtain from Litoff's Theorem [3, Theorem 4.3.11] that  $eAe$  is a finite dimensional central simple  $C$ -algebra. Tensoring by the algebraic closure  $F$  of  $C$ , we reduce the problem to the

not italic

case when  $eAe$  is a matrix ring over  $C$  and  $|C| := \infty$ . Let now  $0 \neq w^2 = w \in eA$ . Then  $wAw$  is a matrix ring over  $C$ . Given any  $x \in wAw$ , write

$$f(x) = wf(x)w + wf(x)(1-w) + (1-w)j(x)w + (1-w)f(x)(1-w).$$

As  $[f(x), w] = 0$  by Corollary 2.6, we conclude that

$$f(x) = wf(x)w + (1-w)f(x)(1-w) \text{ for all } x \in wAw \quad (12)$$

Applying Proposition 3.5 to  $g : wAw \rightarrow wAw$ ,  $g(x) = wf(x)w$ ,  $x \in wAw$ , we infer that  $[wf(x)w, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in wAw$ . It follows from (12) that

$$[f(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in wAw \quad (13)$$

Let now  $y \in eA$ . Clearly  $yA = vA$  for some  $v^2 = v \in eA$ . Note that  $vy = y$ . Since  $|C| = \infty$ , there exists an infinite subset  $T \subseteq C$  such that  $\tau v + yv$  is an invertible element of the matrix ring  $vAv$  for all  $\tau \in T$ . Fix any  $\tau \in T$  and set  $z = \tau v + y \in vA$ . Then there exists  $x \in vAv$  such that  $zvx = v$ . As  $zx = zvx$ , we conclude that  $zx = v$ . Consider  $w = v + xz(1-v) \in vA$ . As  $vx = x$ ,  $w^2 = w$ . Next,  $wz = z$  and

$$zw = zv + (zx)z(1-v) = zv + vz(1-v) = zv + z(1-v) = z$$

and so  $z \in wAw$ . According to (13),  $[f(z), z] = 0$ . That is to say  $[f(\tau v + y), \tau v + y] = 0$  for all  $\tau \in T$ . As  $|T| = \infty$ ,  $[f(y), y] = 0$  for all  $y \in eA$  and the proof is completed.

Finally we note that Corollary 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 and [9, Theorem 5.2] (see also [20, Theorem 1]).

## References

- [1] K. I. Beidar, *Rings with generalized identities, III*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., **33**(4) (1978), 53–58.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale 3rd, and A. V. Mikhalev, *Lie isomorphisms in prime rings with involution*. J. Algebra **169** (1994), 304–327.
- [3] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale 3rd and A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with Generalized Identities*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York–Basel–Hong Kong, 1996.
- [4] H. E. Bell and Q. Deng, *On derivations and commutativity in semiprime rings*, Comm. Algebra, **23** (1995), 3705–3713.
- [5] H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale 3rd, *Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings*. Canad. Math. Bull. **30** (1987), 92–101.

- [6] M. Brešar, *On a generalization of the notion of centralizing mappings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 641–649.
- [7] M. Brešar, *On skew-commuting mappings of rings*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **47** (1993), 291–296.
- [8] M. Brešar, *Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings*, J. Algebra, **156** (1993), 385–394.
- [9] M. Brešar, *On generalized biderivations and related maps*. J. Algebra **172** (1995), 764–786.
- [10] M. Brešar, *Applying the theorem on functional identities*, Nova J. Math., Game Theory, and Algebra, **4** (1995), N 1, 43–54.
- [11] M. Brešar and B. Hvala, *On additive maps of prime rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **51** (1995), 377–381.
- [12] M. Brešar, W. S. Martindale 3rd, and C. R. Miers, *Centralizing maps in prime rings with involution*. J. Algebra **161** (1993), 342–357.
- [13] C. L. Chuang, *Hypercentral derivations*, J. Algebra **166** (1994), 34–71.
- [14] C. Lanski, *Differential identities, Lie ideals, and Posner's theorems*. Pacific J. Math. **134** (1988), 275–297.
- [15] C. Lanski, *Lie ideals and central polynomials with derivations*. Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), 553–560.
- [16] C. Lanski, *An Engel condition with derivation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 731–734.
- [17] P. H. Lee and T. K. Lee, *On derivations of prime rings*. Chinese J. Math. **9** (1981), 107–110.
- [18] P. H. Lee and T. K. Lee, *Lie ideals of prime rings with derivations*. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **11** (1983), 75–80.
- [19] T. K. Lee, *Semiprime rings with hypercentral derivations*. Canad. Math. Bull. **38** (1995), 445–449.
- [20] T. K. Lee, *Commuting additive mappings in semiprime rings*. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, to appear.
- [21] T. K. Lee, *A characterization of left ideals satisfying polynomial identities*. Chinese J. Math., to appear.
- [22] W. S. Martindale 3rd, *Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity*, J. Algebra **12** (1969), 576–584.



- [23] J. H. Mayne, *Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings*. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **19** (1976), 113-115.
- [24] J. H. Mayne, *Centralizing automorphisms of Lie ideals in prime rings*. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **35** (1992), 510-514.
- [25] E. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 79-92.