

行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫 期中進度報告

行列叢、Virasoro 代數與 Hitchin 聯絡(2/3)

計畫類別：個別型計畫

計畫編號：NSC92-2115-M-002-019-

執行期間：92年08月01日至93年07月31日

執行單位：國立臺灣大學數學系暨研究所

計畫主持人：蔡宜洵

報告類型：精簡報告

報告附件：國外研究心得報告

出席國際會議研究心得報告及發表論文

處理方式：本計畫可公開查詢

中 華 民 國 93 年 5 月 26 日

PROGRESS REPORT

1. VIRASORO ALGEBRA, HITCHIN CONNECTION AND DETERMINANT BUNDLES 2/3: HEAT OPERATORS AND COHOMOLOGY OF SHEAVES

\mathcal{M} (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$) denotes the moduli stack of smooth (resp. stable) curves of genus $g \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$) denotes the universal curve respectively. By *moduli stack* in this article, we mean that we are working on the *fine moduli spaces*. In particular, we assume that both the moduli spaces \mathcal{M} , $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and the universal curves \mathcal{C} , $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are smooth. Fix a line bundle \mathcal{N} of relative degree d on $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, let $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the family of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank r with fixed determinant $\mathcal{N}_b := \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_b}$ ($b \in \mathcal{M}$) on \mathcal{C}_b . Let $\pi : X = \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{M}} S \rightarrow S$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ via $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 1.1. If $(d, r) = 1$, there exists a universal bundle E on X . In general S is a good quotient of a Hilbert quotient scheme, denoted by \mathcal{R}^s , such that there is a universal bundle E on $X_{\mathcal{R}^s} := \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R}^s$. E may not descend to X , but objects such as $\mathcal{E}nd^0(E)$, \mathcal{G}_E , ${}^{tr}\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ (and other relevant constructions that will be discussed later in this section) do descend. Recall that a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_{\mathcal{R}^s}$ descends to X if the action, of scalar automorphisms of E (relative to \mathcal{R}^s), on \mathcal{F} is trivial, e.g. [14].

Without the danger of confusion we will henceforth be working as if a universal bundle E exists on X .

Let Θ be the theta line bundle on S . For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X ,

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}} = \bigotimes_{q \geq 0} \det R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}^{(-1)^q}$$

denotes the Knudsen-Mumford determinant bundle on S . As usual T_S , K_S denote tangent bundle, canonical bundle; $T_{S/\mathcal{M}}$, $K_{S/\mathcal{M}}$ denote the relative counterparts. Assume that $K_{S/\mathcal{M}} = \Theta^{-\lambda}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{S}}^{\leq i}(\Theta^k)$ be the sheaf of differential operators on Θ^k of order $\leq i$, and by ϵ the symbol map of differential operators. Let $\mathcal{W}(\Theta^k) := \mathcal{D}_{\overline{S}}^{\leq 1}(\Theta^k) + \mathcal{D}_{\overline{S}/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 2}(\Theta^k)$, one has an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\overline{S}/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 2}(\Theta^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\Theta^k) \xrightarrow{\sigma} f^*T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 1.2. (cf. [11], 2.3.2.) A *heat operator* H on Θ^k is an \mathcal{O}_S -map $f^*T_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$ which, while composed with σ above, is the

identity. A *projective heat operator* is $H : T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{W}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that any local lifting is a heat operator. The *symbol map* of H is $\epsilon \circ H : f^*T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}}$. A heat operator H induces an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -map, denoted by the same H , $T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$. The heat operator and the preceding induced map will be used interchangeably throughout. A (projective) heat operator on Θ^k determines a (projective) connection ∇^H on $f_*\Theta^k$ in a natural way [11].

We recall firstly a general result (forget moduli) of G. Faltings (cf. [8]). Let $Z \rightarrow S$ be smooth and $\mathcal{K} = K_{Z/S}$. Consider

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2} S^2T_{Z/S} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow S^2\mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{S^2\epsilon_1} S^2T_{Z/S} \rightarrow 0.$$

For any $\rho \in H^0(Z, S^2T_{Z/S})$, let $a(\mathcal{L}, \rho)$, $b(\mathcal{L}, \rho)$ be the obstruction classes to lift ρ to $H^0(Z, \mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}))$ and to $H^0(Z, S^2\mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}))$ respectively. Then

Proposition 1.3. *Under $\mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}^k) \cong \mathcal{D}_{Z/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L})$, one has*

- i) $b(\mathcal{L}^k, \rho) = kb(\mathcal{L}, \rho)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- ii) $a(\mathcal{O}_Z, \rho) \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) \oplus H^1(T_{Z/S})$ has zero projection in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$.
- iii) There is a class $c(\mathcal{K}, \rho) \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, independent of \mathcal{L} , such that

$$2a(\mathcal{L}, \rho) = b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) + {}^t b(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}, \rho) + c(\mathcal{K}, \rho).$$

Now we come back to the construction of the projective connection. Recall

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X = \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{M}} S & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \\ \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

For simplicity, we assume that there exists a universal bundle E on X , let $\mathbb{E} = \mathcal{E}nd^0(E)$. We will arrive at a subsheaf $\mathcal{G}_E \subset {}^{tr}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1}$ (see [4] for details of ${}^{tr}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1}$) fitting into an exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_E \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces, by taking 2-th symmetric tensor, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_E \rightarrow S^2(\mathcal{G}_E) \otimes T_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id}} S^2(\mathbb{E}) \otimes T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $S(\mathcal{G}_E) := (\text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id})^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes T_{X/S})$, which fits into

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_E \xrightarrow{l} S(\mathcal{G}_E) \xrightarrow{q} T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $q = \text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id}$. Let

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\omega_{X/S}) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \xrightarrow{\iota} R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) \xrightarrow{q} R^1\pi_*(T_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0$$

be the exact sequences induced by (1.2), (1.3). Let $\Delta \subset X \times_S X$ be the diagonal, consider the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E(\Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E(\Delta)|_\Delta \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}(\Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}(\Delta)|_\Delta \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

on $X \times_S X$ ($\mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}$ denotes $p_1^*\mathbb{E} \otimes p_2^*\mathbb{E}$). All vertical maps are induced by $\mathcal{G}_E \xrightarrow{res} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow 0$, thus (1.3) is a sub-sequence of the rightmost vertical map above. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E(\Delta)$, $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}(\Delta)$ be subsheaves satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & S(\mathcal{G}_E) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & q \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \boxtimes \mathbb{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/S} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Taking direct images, considering the connecting maps, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \\ q \downarrow & & S^2(res) \downarrow \\ R^1\pi_*(T_{X/S}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{E}) \end{array}$$

which induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \\ \iota \downarrow & & \text{Sym}^2 \downarrow \\ R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \\ q \downarrow & & S^2(res) \downarrow \\ R^1\pi_*(T_{X/S}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{E}) \end{array}$$

where δ_1 denote the map induced by $\tilde{\delta}$, the first vertical exact sequence is (1.5), the second vertical exact sequence is induced by taking 2-th symmetric tensor of (1.4) (note that $\mathcal{O}_S \cong R^1\pi_*(\omega_{X/S})$). For Sym^2 see Remark 1.6.

Lemma 1.4. *The map $\delta_1 : R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ is the identity map.*

Proof. See Lemma ??.

□

Theorem 1.5. *There exist canonical identifications*

$$\phi : R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \cong \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}), \quad \phi : R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\bar{S}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}})$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{D}_{\bar{S}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}). \end{array}$$

Moreover, ϕ induces a map $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ by multiplying $2r$.

Proof. See Theorem ?? and Corollary ?? □

Once we have the above theorem, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} & R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{2r \cdot \phi} & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) \\ \downarrow & & \iota \downarrow & & \text{Sym}^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{S}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} & R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{S^2(\phi)} & S^2\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow & & q \downarrow & & S^2\epsilon_1 \downarrow \\ f^*T_{\mathcal{M}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R^1\pi_*(T_{X/S}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{E}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}}. \end{array}$$

Remark 1.6. i) For a precise definition of Sym^2 above we refer to proof of Lemma ??; ii) The insertion of $2r$ in $2r \cdot \phi$ in the 1st row is due to the last statement in Theorem 1.5 combined with the definition of Sym^2 .

The above diagram gives the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\bar{S}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & f^*T_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \tilde{\delta}_{\text{H}} \downarrow & & \delta_{\text{H}} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \longrightarrow & S^2\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}) & \xrightarrow{S^2\epsilon_1} & S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\delta_{\text{H}}, \tilde{\delta}_{\text{H}}$ are defined in a clear way such that $\tilde{\delta}_{\text{H}}$ induces an identity map on $\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}})$.

Proposition 1.7. *For any $\rho = \delta_{\text{H}}(v) \in H^0(S, S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}})$, where $v \in H^0(S, f^*T_{\mathcal{M}})$, one has*

i) $2a(\mathcal{L}, \rho) = b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) + {}^t b(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_{S/\mathcal{M}}, \rho)$.

ii) When $\mathcal{L} = K_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\mu}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mu \neq 1$, one has

$$a(K_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\mu}, \rho) = \frac{2\mu - 1}{2\mu} b(K_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\mu}, \rho).$$

Proof. To prove i), by Proposition 1.3 iii), it is enough to show that $c(\mathcal{K}, \rho) = 0$. The class is independent of \mathcal{L} . Thus, by taking $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S$ and using Proposition 1.3 ii), it is enough to show that

$${}^t b(\mathcal{K}, \rho) \in H^1(\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{O}_S)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^1(T_{S/\mathcal{M}})$$

has trivial projection in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$ where $\mathcal{K} = K_{S/\mathcal{M}}$. We have (noting $\mathcal{K} = \lambda_{\mathbb{E}}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_H} & S^2 \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}) \\ \sigma \downarrow & & S^2 \epsilon_1 \downarrow \\ f^* T_{\mathcal{M}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & S^2 T_{S/\mathcal{M}} \end{array}$$

Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine covering of S and $v_i \in T_S(\mathcal{U}_i)$ be local liftings of $v \in f^* T_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$. Let $\{d_i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K})(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be such that $\epsilon_1(d_i) = v_i$ ($i \in I$). Then, by above diagram, $b(\mathcal{K}, \rho) = \{d_i - d_j \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K})(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)\}$. Thus the class ${}^t b(\mathcal{K}, \rho) \in H^1(\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{O}_S)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^1(T_{S/\mathcal{M}})$ is defined by the cocycle $\{{}^t d_i - {}^t d_j\}$, where ${}^t d_i := A_i - v_i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{O}_S)(\mathcal{U}_i)$. Thus the projection of ${}^t b(\mathcal{K}, \rho)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is defined by $\{A_i - A_j\}$, which is a trivial class.

To show ii), we remark that for any nonzero $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, through canonical isomorphisms $\psi_\mu : \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)$, the above diagram induces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu) & \xrightarrow{\bullet^\mu} & \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_H^\mu} & S^2 \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu) \\ \sigma \downarrow & & S^2 \epsilon_1 \downarrow \\ f^* T_{\mathcal{M}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & S^2 T_{S/\mathcal{M}} \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_H^\mu = S^2 \psi_\mu \circ \tilde{\delta}_H \circ \psi_\mu^{-1}$. Using the above diagram, we can compute ${}^t b(\mathcal{K}^{1-\mu}, \rho)$. Let $\{d_i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^{1-\mu})(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be such that $\epsilon_1(d_i) = v_i$ ($i \in I$). Then $b(\mathcal{K}^{1-\mu}, \rho) = (1 - \mu)\{d_i - d_j\}$, which implies that

$${}^t b(\mathcal{K}^{1-\mu}, \rho) = (1 - \mu)\{{}^t d_i - {}^t d_j\}.$$

On the other hand, $\{-{}^t d_i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are local liftings of v , which means that $b(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \rho) = -\mu \{ {}^t d_i - {}^t d_j \} = \frac{\mu}{\mu-1} {}^t b(\mathcal{K}^{1-\mu}, \rho)$. Thus

$$a(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \rho) = \frac{2\mu - 1}{2\mu} b(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \rho).$$

□

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine open covering of S . Then, for any $v \in f^*T_{\mathcal{M}}(S)$, there are*

$d_S^i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)$, $d_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)$, $D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)$, where $\mathcal{K} := K_{S/\mathcal{M}}$, such that

$$\left\{ \mathbb{H}(v)_i := d_S^i - d_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i + \frac{2}{1-2\mu} D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i) \right\}_{i \in I}$$

form a global section $\mathbb{H}(v) \in H^0(S, \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu))$ with

$$\sigma(\mathbb{H}(v)) = v, \quad \epsilon_2(\mathbb{H}(v)) = \frac{2}{1-2\mu} \delta_{\mathbb{H}(v)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{d_S^i \in \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be such that $\sigma(d_S^i) = v|_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. Then

$$\{\mu(d_S^i - d_S^j) \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)\}$$

defines the class $b(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \delta_{\mathbb{H}(v)}) \in H^1(S, \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu))$, which is the obstruction for lifting $\delta_{\mathbb{H}(v)} \in H^0(S, S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}})$ to $H^0(S, S^2\mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu))$. Let

$$\{D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$$

be local liftings of $\delta_{\mathbb{H}(v)}$. Then, by Proposition 1.7,

$$\{d_S^i - d_S^j\} = \frac{2}{2\mu - 1} \{D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i - D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^j\}$$

as cohomology classes. Thus there are $\{d_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in \mathcal{D}_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the requirements in the theorem. □

Corollary 1.9. *There exists uniquely a projective heat operator,*

$$\mathbb{H} : T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{W}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

such that $(f_*\epsilon_2) \cdot \mathbb{H} : T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow f_*S^2T_{S/\mathcal{M}}$ coincides with $f_*\delta_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Proof. For any open set $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $v \in T_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$, by Theorem 1.8, we can construct a $\mathbb{H}(v) \in f_*\mathcal{W}(\Theta^k)(U)$. If $\mathbb{H}(v)'$ is another such operator, $\mathbb{H}(v) - \mathbb{H}(v)'$ must have symbol in $H^0(f^{-1}(U), T_{S/\mathcal{M}}) = 0$, so

$$\mathbb{H}(v) - \mathbb{H}(v)' \in H^0(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_S) = f_*\mathcal{O}_S(U) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U).$$

Hence a unique map $T_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{W}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Axelrod, S. Della Pietra and E. Witten: Geometric quantization of Chern-Simons gauge theory, *J. Diff. Geom.* **33** (1991), 787-902.
- [2] A. Beilinson, D. Kazhdan: Flat projective connections, unpublished manuscript.
- [3] J. Brylinski, D. McLaughlin: Holomorphic quantization and unitary representations of the Teichmüller group, in *Lie Theory and Geometry* in honor of B. Kostant, J. Brylinski et al., *Progress in Math.* **123**, Birkhäuser Boston 1994, 21-64.
- [4] A. Beilinson, V. Schechtman: Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988), 651-701.
- [5] P. Deligne: Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, *Lect. Notes Math.* **163** (1970).
- [6] H. Esnault, I-H. Tsai: Determinant bundle in a family of curves, after A. Beilinson and V. Schechtman, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **211** (2000), 359-363.
- [7] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg: Higher Kodaira-Spencer classes, *Math. Ann.* **299** (1994), 491-527.
- [8] G. Faltings: Stable G -bundles and projective connections, *J. of Alg. Geom.* **2** (1993), 507-568.
- [9] V. Ginzburg: Resolution of diagonals and moduli spaces, in *Moduli space of curves*, R. Dijkgraaf et al., *Progress in Mathematics* **129**, Birkhäuser Boston 1995.
- [10] P. Griffiths, J. Harris: Principles of algebraic geometry, 1978 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [11] B. van Geemen, J. de Jong: On Hitchin's connection, *J. of the AMS* **11**, 1 (1998), 189-228.
- [12] R. Hartshorne: Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Math. **52**, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1977.
- [13] N. Hitchin: Flat connections and geometric quantization, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **131** (1990), 347-380.
- [14] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn: The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves, *Aspects of Mathematics* **31**, A publication of the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Vieweg 1997.
- [15] N. Katz: Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p -curvature and the Hodge filtration), *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 1-118.
- [16] Y. Laszlo: Hitchin's and WZW connections are the same, *J. Diff. Geom.* **49** (1998), 547-576.
- [17] Y. Laszlo, C. Sorger: The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **30** (1997), 499-525.
- [18] B. Malgrange: Regular connections, after Deligne, in *Algebraic D-modules*, A. Borel et al., *Perspective in Math.* **2** Academic Press (1987), 151-172.
- [19] D. S. Nagaraj and C. S. Seshadri: Degenerations of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves **I**, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*. **107 (2)** (1997), 101-137.
- [20] Z. Ran: Jacobi cohomology, local geometry of moduli spaces, and Hitchin's connection, AG/0108101.
- [21] X.-T. Sun: Degeneration of $SL(n)$ -bundles on a reducible curve, *Proceedings Algebraic Geometry in East Asia, Japan* (2001)

- [22] C. S. Seshadri: Degenerations of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves, in ICTP Lecture Notes **1**, Moduli spaces in Algebraic Geometry (1999).
- [23] Y.-L. Tong, I-H. Tsai: Curvature of determinant bundles for degenerate families, Commun. Math. Phys. **171** (1995), 589-606.
- [24] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno and Y. Yamada: Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **19** (1989), 459-566.