arXiv:1304.5949v1 [math.AG] 22 Apr 2013

BIRATIONAL MAPS OF 3-FOLDS

JUNGKAI ALFRED CHEN

Abstract. We show that 3-fold terminal flips and divisorial contractions may be factored into a sequence of flops, blow-downs to a smooth curve in a smooth 3-fold or divisorial contractions to points with minimal discrepancies.

1. Introduction

In birational geometry, one of the main task is to find a good model inside a birational equivalence class and study the geometry of models. This goal can be achieved by minimal model program. The minimal model conjecture asserts that for any given nonsingular or mildly singular projective variety, there exists a minimal model or a Mori fiber space after a sequence of flips and divisorial contractions. Moreover, different minimal models are connected by a sequence of flops. Therefore divisorial contractions, flips and flops are the elementary birational maps of the minimal model program.

Together with some recent advances on geometry of 3-folds, for example, m-th canonical maps is birational for $m \geq 73$ and the canonical volume $\geq \frac{1}{2660}$ (cf. [2, 3]), one might hope to build up an explicit classification theory for 3-folds similar to the theory of surfaces by using the minimal model program explicitly. To this end, it is thus natural to ask how explicit do we know about birational maps in three-dimensional minimal model program. Even though the minimal model program for 3-folds was "proved" in more than twenty years ago by Mori and others, the more detailed and explicit description of birational maps in 3-dimensional minimal model program was available only quite recently and not completely satisfactory. To give a quick tour of known results: Mori and then Cutkosky classified birational maps from a nonsingular and Gorenstein 3-fold respectively [18, 6], and Tziolas has a series of works on divisorial contractions to curves passing through Gorenstein singularities (cf. [22, 23, 24]). Divisorial contractions to points are probably most well-understood mainly thanks to the work of Kawamata, Hayakawa, Markushevich and Kawakita (cf.

The author was partially supported by NCTS/TPE and National Science Council of Taiwan. We are indebted to Cascini, Hacon, Hayakawa, Kawakita, Kawamata, Kollár and Mori for many useful discussion. Some of this work was done during visits of the author to RIMS and Imperial College London. The author would like to thank both institutes for their hospitality.

[15, 7, 8, 9, 20, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]). Also, the structure of flops are studied in Kollár's article [16]. Flips are still quite mysterious except for some examples in [17, 1] and toric flips [21].

Instead of classifying birational maps completely, we work on the problem to factorize birational maps into a composition of simplest ones. Such factorization can be very useful for comparing various invariants between birational models. It is also useful in classifying birational maps. In the previous joint work with Christopher Hacon [4], we are able to factorize flips and divisorial contractions to curves. Our previous work [5] factorizes divisorial contractions to a point of index $r > 1$ with non-minimal discrepancy $\frac{a}{r} > \frac{1}{r}$. The purpose of this note is to show that one can factor threefold birational maps in minimal model program into some simple and explicit ones by combing previous work [4, 5] and considering divisorial contraction to a point of index $r = 1$.

Definition 1.1. A birational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is *factorizable* if it admits a factorization into a sequence of birational maps:

$$X = X_0 \dashrightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow \ldots \dashrightarrow X_n = Y,$$

such that each map $X_{i-1} \dashrightarrow X_i$ is one of the following

1. a divisorial contraction (or its inverse) to a point $P_i \in X_i$ of index $r_i \geq 1$ with minimal discrepancy;
2. a blowup along a smooth curve in a smooth neighborhood;
3. a flop.

Theorem 1.2 (=Main Theorem)**.** *A three dimensional divisorial contraction $f : X \to W$ (resp. flip $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X^+$) is factorizable.*

Remark 1.3. Given a divisorial contraction to a point $f : X \to W \ni P$ with exceptional divisor E. Then we can write $K_X = f^*K_W + aE$. We say that the contraction f has discrepancy a.

Given P a terminal singularity of index r, then the minimal discrepancy among all divisorial contractions to P is $\frac{1}{r}$ by [20] and [15]. If $P \in W$ is a nonsingular point, then the minimal discrepancy among all contractions to P is 2 by [10].

The key observation is that for any complicated divisorial contraction $X \to W$ (resp. flip $X \dashrightarrow X^+$), there exists singular points of index $r > 1$ on X. By choosing $Q \in X$ a point of higher index and choosing a divisorial contraction $Y \to X$ to the point $Q \in X$ with discrepancy $\frac{1}{r}$, we shall prove that there exists a diagram of birational maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[rr, dashed] \arrow[d, "g"'] & & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "g^\sharp"] \\
X \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & X^\sharp \arrow[dl, "f^\sharp"] \\
& W &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad \ddagger$$

where $Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp$ consists of a sequence of flips and flops, $g^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction, and $f^\sharp$ is also a divisorial contraction (resp. $f^\sharp$ is the flipped map). We thus call that $\ddagger$ is a *factoring diagram for* $X \to W$ *(resp.* $X \dashrightarrow X^+$*).*

If f is a weighted blowup, then the factoring diagram can be constructed by using toric geometry and a few computation. This was the approach in [5]. In the remaining divisorial contractions which are not known to be weighted blowups, usually there is a unique non-Gorenstein singularity $P \in X$ of pretty high index. By choosing a divisorial contraction $g : Y \to X$ with minimal discrepancy, one can verify that there is only a little change in the intersections. Computation shows that $-K_{Y/W}$ is nef and one can thus play the so-called 2-ray game to obtain the factoring diagram.

Moreover, by considering depth (cf. [4]) and discrepancy, one sees that $Y, Y^\sharp, X^\sharp$ has milder singularities in some sense. Our result then follows by induction using the factoring diagram.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY

We always work on complex threefolds with $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities (unless the image of flipping contraction). Recall that threefold terminal singularities of index 1 are isolated *cDV* points and terminal singularities of index $r > 1$ are classified by Mori (cf. [19]).

This work can be considered as a continuation of our previous work [4, 5]. We usually adapt the constructions and notations there.

Given a threefold terminal singularity $P \in X$ of index $r > 1$, by [7, 8], there exists a partial resolution

$$X_n \to \ldots \to X_1 \to X_0 = X \qquad \dagger$$

such that X_n has Gorenstein singularities and each $X_{i+1} \to X_i$ is a divisorial contraction to a point $P_i \in X_i$ of index $r_i > 1$ with discrepancy $\frac{1}{r_i}$. The definition of depth was introduced in [4].

$$\operatorname{dep}(P \in X) := \min\{n | X_n \to X \ni P \text{ is a partial resolution as above}\}.$$

The following properties for depth are useful.

Proposition 2.1. *The following properties for depth holds.*

(1) *Let* $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X^+$ *be a flip (resp. flop), then* $\mathrm{dep}(X) > \mathrm{dep}(X^+)$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{dep}(X) = \mathrm{dep}(X^+)$*).*
(2) *Let* $f : X \to W$ *be a divisorial contraction to a curve, then* $\mathrm{dep}(X) \geq \mathrm{dep}(W)$*. Equality holds if and only if* $\mathrm{dep}(X) = \mathrm{dep}(W) = 0$.
(3) *Let* $f : X \to W$ *be a divisorial contraction to a point, then* $\mathrm{dep}(X) + 1 \geq \mathrm{dep}(W)$.

Proof. All the statements were proved in [4, Proposition 2.15, 3.5, 3.6] except the strict inequality for divisorial contractions to curves when $\mathrm{dep}(X) > 0$. Recall that by [4], there is a factoring diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[rr, dashed] \arrow[d, "g"'] & & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "g^\sharp"] \\
X \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & X^\sharp \arrow[dl, "f^\sharp"] \\
& W &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $Y \to X$ is a divisorial contraction to a higher index point $Q \in X$ with $r(Q \in X) > 1$ and discrepancy $\frac{1}{r}$, and $\mathrm{dep}(Y) = \mathrm{dep}(X) - 1$. Moreover, $Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction to a curve and $X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction to a point.

If $\mathrm{dep}(X) = 1$ and suppose that $\mathrm{dep}(W) > 0$, then $\mathrm{dep}(W) = 1$ for $\mathrm{dep}(X) \geq \mathrm{dep}(W)$ by [4, Proposition 3.6]. Then by definition of depth, it is easy to see tat W has only one quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$. It follows that $X \to W$ is the weighted blowup with weights $v = \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ by [15], which is absurd. We thus conclude that $\mathrm{dep}(W) = 0 < \mathrm{dep}(X)$.

In general $\mathrm{dep}(X) = d > 1$, then $\mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) \leq \mathrm{dep}(Y) = d - 1$. By induction hypothesis, one has $\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) < \mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) \leq d - 1$. It follows that $\mathrm{dep}(W) \leq \mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) + 1 < d$ by [4, Proposition 2.15]. □

3. DIVISORIAL CONTRACTIONS TO CURVES

The purpose of this section is to factorize threefold divisorial contraction to curves. Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a curve $\Gamma \subset W$ such that X has at worst terminal Gorenstein singularities. By [18, 6], it is known that W is smooth near Γ and $\Gamma \subset W$ is a lci curve. Moreover, f is the blowup along Γ.

If Γ is a nonsingular curve, then $f : X \to W$ is nothing but the blowups along Γ. If the curve Γ is singular at o, then one can factorize the divisorial contraction $f : X \to W$ by the following diagram.

Proposition 3.1. *Keep the notation as above. Then there is a factoring diagram as ‡ of birational maps such that*

(1) *$Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp$ consists of a sequence of flops;*
(2) *$f^\sharp$ is the blowup along $o \in W$;*
(3) *$g^\sharp$ is the blowup of $X^\sharp$ along $\Gamma^\sharp$, where $\Gamma^\sharp$ is the proper transform of Γ in $X^\sharp$;*
(4) *the induced map $\Gamma^\sharp \to \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the blowup of Γ over o;*
(5) *g is a divisorial contraction to a singular point $Q \in X$ of type cA with discrepancy 1.*

Proof. Recall that a weighted blowup for a toric variety can be obtained by subdivision along a primitive vector v and the exceptional divisor is the divisor corresponding to the vector v. Also a weighted blowup for a complete intersection in a toric variety is considered to be the induced map from its proper transform. For detailed description, please see [5] for example.

By shrinking W, we may assume that X is an open subset in $\mathbb{C}^3$, $\Gamma = (x_3 = h(x_1, x_2) = 0) \subset W \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ and $o \in \Gamma$ is the only singular point of Γ. Let $\tau := \mathrm{mult}_o h(x_1, x_2) \geq 2$.

We consider towers of weighted blowups $\mathcal{X}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_g} \mathcal{X}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_f} \mathcal{X}_0$, where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathbb{C}^4$, π_g (resp π_f) are weighted blowup along the vector $v_2 = (1, 1, \tau - 1, \tau)$ (resp $v_1 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$). More explicitly, π_f is the blowup of $\mathcal{X}_0$ along $\Sigma := (x_3 = x_4 = 0)$ and $\mathcal{X}_1$ is covered by two affine pieces $U_3 \cup U_4$. One sees also that π_g is the weighted blowup over the origin of U_3 with weights $(1, 1, \tau - 1, 1)$.

We may consider an embedding $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ that $W = (x_4 - h(x_1, x_2) = 0)$. Now $\Gamma = W \cap \Sigma$ and the given divisorial contraction $f : X \to W$ coincides with the induced map $\pi_{f_{|X}}$. On X, there is a unique singularity Q_3 of cA type locally given by $x_3 x_4 - h(x_1 x_2) = 0$. Moreover, let Y be the proper transform of X in $\mathcal{X}_2$. The induced map $g : Y \to X$, which is the weighted blowup with weights $(1, 1, \tau - 1, 1)$ over Q_3, is clearly a divisorial contraction to Q_3 with discrepancy 1.

Let $l := f^{-1}(o) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, l_Y be the proper transform of l in Y. It is easy to see that $l \cdot K_X = -1$ and $l_Y \cdot K_Y = 0$. We remark that there is only one singularity on Y, which is a quotient singularity of index $\tau - 1$ and does not contained in l_Y. By the same argument in [4, Theorem 3.3], one has a factoring diagram as ‡ and a tower of divisorial contractions $Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp \to W$.

On the other hand, we may consider $Y' \to X' \to W$ by weighted blowup with vector $v_2 = (1, 1, \tau - 1, \tau)$ and then $v_1 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$. By the same argument as in [5, Theorem 2.7], the tower $Y' \to X' \to W$ is isomorphic to $Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp \to W$.

Let Γ' be the proper transform of Γ in X'. Computation shows that both $X' \to W$ and $\Gamma' \to \Gamma$ are isomorphic to the blowup over $o \in W$ and $o \in \Gamma$. Moreover, $Y' \to X'$ is the blowup along Γ'. Since the only singularity on X' is a quotient singularity Q'_3 of index $\tau - 1$ and Γ' does not contains Q'_3. Therefore $\mathrm{dep}(X') = \mathrm{dep}(Y') = \tau - 1 = \mathrm{dep}(Y)$. It follows that $Y \dashrightarrow Y'$ consists of a sequence of flops only by Proposition 2.1. This completes the proof. $\square$

By the above diagram successive over the singular points of Γ, one get the following consequence immediately.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a curve $\Gamma \subset W$ such that X has at worst terminal Gorenstein singularities. The $f : X \to W$ is factorizable.*

4. DIVISORIAL CONTRACTIONS TO POINTS

Divisorial contractions to points was intensively studied by Kawamata, Hayakawa, and Kawakita [15, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. We give a brief summary of the known classification.

- If $f : X \to W \ni P$ is a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index $r > 1$ with discrepancy $\frac{a}{r} \geq \frac{1}{r}$, then f is completely classified. Any of these can be realized as a weighted blowup explicitly (cf. [15, 7, 8, 13, 14]).
- If $f : X \to W \ni P$ is a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index $r = 1$ with discrepancy 1.
- If $f : X \to W \ni P$ is a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index $r = 1$ with discrepancy $a > 1$, then f is one of following cases in Table A.

Table A.

type	$P \in W$	discrepancy	w. blowup	reference
Ia	nonsingular	$a + b$	Yes	[10, Theorem 1.1]
Ib	cA	$a \geq 1$	Yes	[13, Theorem 1.2.i]
Ic	cD	$a > 1$, odd	Yes	[13, Theorem 1.2.ii.a]
Id	cD	$a > 1$	Yes	[13, Theorem 1.2.ii.b]
IIa	cA_1	4	Yes	[11, Theorem 2.5]
IIb	$cE_{7,8}$	2	?	[13, Table 3, e9]
IIc	cE_7	2	?	[13, Table 3, e5]
IId	cA_2, cD, cE_6	3	?	[13, Table 3, e3]
IIe	$cD, cE_{6,7}$	2	?	[13, Table 3, e2]
IIf	cD	2	?	[13, Table 3, e1]
IIg	cD	4	?	[13, Table 3, e1]

The purpose of this section is to construct a factoring diagram ‡ for divisorial contraction with non-minimal discrepancy $a > 1$ as listed in Table A. Given a divisorial contraction with non-minimal discrepancy $f : X \to W \ni P$. Let E be its exceptional divisor. By the classification of [18],[6], X can not be Gorenstein. We will pick a point $Q \in X$ of index $p > 1$.

For any divisor D on X passing through Q, we set $D_W = f_*D$, $D_Y = g_*^{-1}D$ to be the proper transform of D on W, Y respectively. Let E_Y denotes the proper transform of E on Y. We have

$$f^*D_W = D + \frac{c_0}{n}E, \quad g^*D = D_Y + \frac{q_0}{p}F, \quad g^*E = E_Y + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{p}F$$

for some $c_0, q_0, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proposition 4.1. [5, Proposition 2.4] *Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index n with discrepancy $\frac{a}{n}$ and E the exceptional divisor of f. Let $g : Y \to X$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $Q \in E$ of index p with discrepancy $\frac{b}{p}$. Suppose that there is a divisor D on X such that $D \cap E$ is irreducible. Then $-K_{Y/W}$ is nef if the following inequalities holds:*

$$\begin{cases} T(f,g,D) := \frac{-ac_0}{n^2}E^3 + \frac{q_0\mathfrak{q}b}{p^3}F^3 \leq 0; \\ bc_0 - aq_0 \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{$\dagger$}$$

In [14, Theorem 1.5], Kawakita give an affirmative answer to the General Elephant Conjecture. In particular, let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction, then a general element $S_X \in |-K_X|$ is normal and has only Du Val singularities.

Proposition 4.2. [5, Proposition 2.5] *Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point with exceptional divisors E and $g : Y \to X$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $Q \in E \subset X$ of index p with discrepancy $\frac{1}{p}$. Let F be the exceptional divisor of g. Suppose that $-K_{Y/W}$ is nef and there is an irreducible curve $l \subset S_X \cap E$ such that $l_Y \cdot K_Y < 0$, then we have the factoring diagram ‡ such that*

1. *$\phi : Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp$ is a sequence of flips and flops (or just the identity map);*
2. *$g^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction contracting $E_{Z^\sharp}$;*
3. *$f^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction contracting $F_{Y^\sharp}$ to the point $P \in W$.*

We will need the following variant. The proof is almost the same as [5, Corolary 2.6].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point with exceptional divisors E and $g : Y \to X$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $Q \in E \subset X$ of index p with discrepancy $\frac{1}{p}$. Let F be the exceptional divisor of g. Suppose that $l_Y \cdot K_Y \leq 0$ for any irreducible curve $l \subset S_X \cap E$ and $T(f,g) := \frac{-a^2}{n^2}E^3 + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{p^3}F^3 < 0$. Then we have a factoring diagram ‡ as in Proposition 4.2.*

An immediate but useful consequence is the following:

Corollary 4.4. *Keep the notation as in Corollary 4.3. Suppose that $Q \in E$ is the only non-Gorenstein point on E, which is of index $p > 1$.*

Suppose furthermore that $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{p^3}F^3 < \frac{1}{p}$. *Then there exists a factoring diagram* ‡ *as in Proposition 4.2.*

Proof. Suppose that $[S_X \cap E] = [\sum c_i l_i]$ as 1-cycle for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that $l_{i,Y} \cdot K_Y \geq l_i \cdot K_X$ for all i. Hence for all i,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{i,Y} \cdot K_Y &= l_i \cdot K_X + (l_{i,Y} \cdot K_Y - l_i \cdot K_X) \\ &\leq l_i \cdot K_X + \textstyle\sum_i (l_{i,Y} \cdot K_Y - l_i \cdot K_X) \ . \\ &\leq \tfrac{-1}{p} + \tfrac{\mathfrak{q}}{p^3}F^3 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.3, there exists a factoring diagram. □

We remark that once there is a factoring diagram, then the induced map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction to $P \in W$ with exceptional divisor $F_{X^\sharp}$ and discrepancy $\mathfrak{a} := \frac{a\mathfrak{q}+n}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

We now study the divisorial contraction to a Gorenstein point with non-minimal discrepancies case by case (cf. Table A).

Case Ia. Suppose that $P \in W$ is nonsingular.

By [10], f is the weighted blowup of weight $(1, m, n)$ with $(m, n) = 1$, $1 < m < n$, and the discrepancy is $a = m + n$.

On X, the highest index point, say Q, is a terminal quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{n}(1, m, -1)$. Let $g : Y \to X$ be the Kawamata blowup, which is the weighted blowup of weights $\frac{1}{n}(t, 1, n-t)$, where t is the minimal positive integer satisfying $mt = ns + 1$. Clearly $t < n, s < m$.

Pick $D = f_*^{-1}\mathrm{div}(x_2)$. Then $l = D \cap E$ is clearly irreducible. Since $c_0 = m, q_0 = 1$ and $\mathfrak{q} = n - t$, one has

$$T(f, g, D) = -\frac{m+n}{n} + \frac{1}{nt} < 0.$$

Hence we have the factoring diagram by Proposition 4.2. By Theorem 2.7 of [5], one sees that both $f^\sharp, g^\sharp$ are weighted blowups. The factoring diagram indeed fits into the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{n}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "s+t"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_3 \in X \arrow[d, "m+n"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_1^\sharp \arrow[d, "m+n-s-t"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
W \arrow[r, "="] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= (1, m, n), & w_1' &= (1, m-s, n-t), \\ w_2 &= \tfrac{1}{n}(t, 1, n-t), & w_2' &= (1, s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Case Ib. This contraction is described in [10, Theorem 1.2.i]. In fact, the factoring diagram is described in [5, Subsection 3.5] with $n = 1$. We give a brief review for reader's convenience. The equation of $P \in W$ is given by

$$\varphi : x_1x_2 + g(x_3, x_4) = 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^4.$$

The map f is given by weighted blowup with weight $v_1 = (r_1, r_2, a, 1)$. We may write $r_1 + r_2 = da$ for some $d > 0$ with the term $x_3^d \in \varphi$. Moreover, $(a, r_1) = (a, r_2) = 1$. Hence, there exist $0 < s_i^* < r_i$ and $0 < a_i < a$ so that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + a_1 r_1 = s_1^* a; \\ 1 + a_2 r_2 = s_2^* a. \end{cases}$$

Note that $as_2^* = 1 + a_2 r_2 = 1 + a_2(ad - r_1)$. Therefore, $a(s_2^* - a_2 d) = 1 - a_2 r_1$. By $(a, r_1) = 1$ and comparing it with $as_1^* = 1 + a_1 r_1$, we have $a_1 = -a_2 + ta$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 < a_1 + a_2 < 2a$, it follows that $a_1 + a_2 = a$.

Suppose that $r_1 > 1$. We have the following factoring diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{r_1}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "a_1"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_1 \in X \arrow[d, "a"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_4^\sharp \arrow[d, "a_2"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
W \arrow[r, "="] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_1 = (r_1, r_2, a, 1), & w_1' = (r_1 - s_1^*, r_2 - a_1 d + s_1^*, a_2, 1) \\ w_2 = \frac{1}{r_1}(r_1 - s_1^*, d, 1, s_1^*), & w_2' = (s_1^*, a_1 d - s_1^*, a_1, 1). \end{array}$$

Suppose that $r_2 > 1$. We have the following factoring diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{r_2}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "a_2"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_2 \in X \arrow[d, "a"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_4^\sharp \arrow[d, "a_1"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
W \arrow[r, "="] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_1 = (r_1, r_2, a, 1), & w_1' = (r_1 + s_2^* - a_2 d, r_2 - s_2^*, a_1, 1) \\ w_2 = \frac{1}{r_2}(d, r_2 - s_2^*, 1, s_2^*), & w_2' = (a_2 d - s_2^*, s_2^*, a_2, 1). \end{array}$$

Case Ic. This contraction is described in [10, Theorem 1.2.ii.a] and the discussion is parallel to the that in [5, Subsection 3.2]. The local equation of $P \in W$ is given by

$$(\varphi : x_1^2 + x_2^2 x_4 + x_1 q(x_3^2, x_4) + \lambda x_2 x_3^2 + \mu x_3^3 + p(x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4,$$

f is the weighted blowup with weights $v_1 = (r+1, r, a, 1)$, $2r + 1 = ad$ and both a, d are odd. Notice that $wt_{v_1}(\varphi) = 2r + 1$ and we have that $x_3^d \in p(x_2, x_3, x_4)$ otherwise $Q_3 \in X$ is singular of index a.

There are two quotient singularities Q_1, Q_2 of index $r+1, r$ respectively. We take $g : Y \to X$ the weighted blowup with weights $w_2 = \frac{1}{r}(d, r-d, 1, d)$ over Q_2. Then

$$E^3 = \frac{2r+1}{ar(r+1)}, \quad F^3 = \frac{r^2}{d(r-d)}, \quad \mathfrak{q} = r-d, \quad \mathfrak{a} = a-2.$$

In this case, we pick $S = f_*^{-1}\mathrm{div}(x_3) \in |-K_X|$, then $S \cap E$ is irreducible. Now

$$T(f,g) = \frac{1}{r}(-\frac{a(2r+1)}{r+1} + \frac{1}{d}) < 0.$$

Therefore there exists a factoring diagram by Proposition 4.2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{r}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "2"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_2 \in X \arrow[d, "a"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_4^\sharp \arrow[d, "a-2"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
W \arrow[r, "="] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_1 = v_1 = (r+1, r, a, 1), & w_1' = v_2 = (r+1-d, r-d, a-2, 1), \\ w_2 = \frac{1}{r}(d, r-d, 1, d), & w_2' = (d, d, 2, 1). \end{array}$$

Case Id. In the case (1.2.ii.b), the local equation of $P \in W$ is given by

$$(P \in W) \cong o \in \left(\begin{array}{l} \varphi_1 : x_1^2 + x_2x_5 + p(x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0 \\ \varphi_2 : x_2x_4 + x_3^d + q(x_3, x_4)x_4 + x_5 = 0 \end{array} \right) \subset \mathbb{C}^5,$$

f is a weighted blowup with weights $v_1 = (r+1, r, a, 1, r+2)$, and $r+1 = ad$.

There are quotient singularities Q_2, Q_5 of index $r, r+2$ respectively. We take $g : Y \to X$ the weighted blowup with weights $w_2 = \frac{1}{r+2}(d, 2d, 1, r-d+2, d)$ over Q_5. Then

$$E^3 = \frac{2r+2}{ar(r+2)}, \quad F^3 = \frac{(r+2)^2}{d(r-d+2)}, \quad \mathfrak{q} = d, \quad \mathfrak{a} = 1.$$

We pick $D = f_*^{-1}\mathrm{div}(x_2)$. It is easy to check that $E \cap D$ is irreducible but non-reduced. We have $c_0 = r, q_0 = 2d$, hence $c_0 - aq_0 < 0$ and moreover

$$T(f,g,D) = \frac{1}{r+2}(-(2r+2) + \frac{2d}{r-d+2}) < 0.$$

Therefore there exists a factoring diagram by Proposition 4.2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{r+2}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "a-1"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_5 \in X \arrow[d, "a"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_4^\sharp \arrow[d, "1"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
X \arrow[r, "="] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_1 = v_1 = (r+1, r, a, 1, r+2),\\
&w_2 = \tfrac{1}{r+2}(d, 2d, 1, r-d+2, d),\\
&w_1' = v_2 = (d, d, 1, 1, d),\\
&w_2' = (r-d+1, r-d, 2, a-1, 1, r-d+2).
\end{aligned}$$

Case IIa. This contraction is described in [11, Theorem 1.1.(2)]. The local equation of $P \in W$ is given by

$$(\varphi : x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + x_4^3 = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4,$$

and f is the weighted blowup with weights $v_1 = (1, 5, 3, 2)$.

There is a unique singularity Q_2 on E, which is a quotient singularities of index 5. We take $g : Y \to X$ the weighted blowup with weights $w_2 = \frac{1}{5}(4, 1, 2, 3)$ over Q_2. Thus $\mathfrak{q} = 1$, $\mathfrak{a} = 1$ and $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{5^3}F^3 = \frac{1}{30} < \frac{1}{5}$. Therefore there exists a factoring diagram by Corollary 4.4.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, "\dashrightarrow"] \arrow[d, "\frac{1}{5}"', "wt=w_2"] & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "3"', "wt=w_2'"] \\
Q_2 \in X \arrow[d, "4"', "wt=w_1"] & X^\sharp \ni Q_1^\sharp \arrow[d, "1"', "wt=w_1'"] \\
W \arrow[r, "="] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_1 = v_1 = (1, 5, 3, 2), && w_1' = v_2 = (1, 1, 1, 1),\\
&w_2 = \tfrac{1}{5}(4, 1, 2, 3), && w_2' = (1, 4, 2, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Case IIb. f is of type e9 with discrepancy 2. This case was studied in [12]. We summarize some results in [12]. There are two singularities Q_1, Q_2 of type $\frac{1}{5}(1, 1, -1)$ and $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, -1)$ respectively. Pick any general elephant $S \in |-K_X|$, then $[S \cap E] = 2[l]$, where $l \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and l passes through both Q_1, Q_2 [12, Lemma 5.1]. We may assume that, near Q_1, $S = \operatorname{div}(x)$, $E = \operatorname{div}(y^2)$ (after coordinate change) and $l = (x = y = 0)$. Now $E^3 = \frac{1}{15}$ and $l \cdot E = \frac{-1}{15}$.

Let $g : Y \to X$ be the Kawamata blowup over Q_1 with weights $\frac{1}{5}(1, 1, 4)$. One sees that $\mathfrak{q} = 2$, $\mathfrak{a} = 1$. Notice that

$$2l_Y \cdot K_Y = 2l \cdot K_X + \frac{2}{5^3}F^3 = \frac{-2}{15} + \frac{2}{20} < 0.$$

By Proposition 4.2, there exists a factoring diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[rr, dashed] \arrow[d, "g"', "\frac{1}{5}"] & & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "g^\sharp"] \\
X \arrow[dr, "f"', "a=2"] & & X^\sharp \arrow[dl, "f^\sharp", "1"'] \\
& W &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $f^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction with exceptional divisor $F_{X^\sharp}$ and discrepancy $\mathfrak{a} = 1$.

Case IIc. f is of type e5 with discrepancy 2.

There is only one singularity $Q \in X$, which is of type $\frac{1}{7}(1,1,6)$. Let $g : Y \to W$ be the weighted blowup of weights $\frac{1}{6}(1,1,6)$ over Q and let $\mu : Z \to Y \to X \ni Q$ be the economic resolution by further weighted blowups. Clearly,

$$\begin{cases} K_Z = \mu^* K_X + \sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{j}{7} F_j; \\ \mu^* E = E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{q_j}{7} F_j, \end{cases}$$

for some q_j, where $F_1 = F$ is the exceptional divisor of g. Hence

$$K_Z = \mu^* f^* K_W + 2E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_j F_{j,Z}$$

with $a_j = \frac{2q_j + j}{7} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose that E is given by $(\phi : \sum c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{7}(1,1,6)$ locally around Q. Then

$$q_j := \min\{\alpha j + \beta j + \gamma(7-j) | x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi\} \geq \min\{j, 7-j\}.$$

By [20], there must exists a exceptional divisor with discrepancy 1 centering at $P \in W$. Since $Z \to W$ is a Gorenstein partial resolution, the exceptional with discrepancy 1 must appear in Z, that is, among $\{F_{j,Z}\}_{j=1,\ldots,6}$. One can verify that F_1 is the only exceptional divisor with discrepancy 1 and $\mathfrak{q} = q_1 = 3$. Hence $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{p^3} F^3 = \frac{1}{14} < \frac{1}{7}$. By Corollary 4.4, we have a factoring diagram so that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction contracting $F_{X^\sharp}$ with discrepancy 1.

Case IId. f is of type e3 with discrepancy 3.

There is only one singularity $Q \in X$, which is of type $cAx/4$ with axial weight 2. More precisely, $Q \in X$ is given by

$$(\varphi : x^2 + y^2 + f(z,u) = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1,3,1,2),$$

such that $u^3 \in \varphi$ and $wt_{\frac{1}{4}(1,2)} f(z,u) = \frac{6}{4}$. By [7, Theorem 7.4], there is a unique divisorial contraction $g : Y \to X$ over Q with discrepancy $\frac{1}{4}$, which is the weighted blowup of weights $\frac{1}{4}(5,3,1,2)$. Take economic resolution $\nu : Z \to Y$ over the unique higher index point, which is a quotient singularity of index 5, and let $\mu := g \circ \nu : Z \to X$. Then we ends up with

$$\begin{cases} K_Z = \mu^* K_X + \frac{1}{4} F + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{b_j}{4} F_j; \\ \mu^* E = E_Z + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{4} F + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{q_j}{4} F_j, \end{cases}$$

where F_j are ν-exceptional divisors and $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = (2, 2, 3, 4)$. Hence

$$K_Z = (f \circ \mu)^* K_W + \mathfrak{a} F + \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_j F_j,$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{1+3\mathfrak{q}}{4}$ and $a_j = \frac{b_j+3q_j}{4}$. Since $a_j := \frac{b_j+3q_j}{4} > 1$ for all j, it follows that F is the only exceptional divisor with discrepancy 1 over W and hence $\mathfrak{q} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{a} = 1$. Thus $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{p^3} F^3 = \frac{1}{20} < \frac{1}{4}$. By Corollary 4.4, we have a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with exceptional divisor $F_{X^\sharp}$ and discrepancy 1.

Case IIe. f is of type e2 with discrepancy 2.

There is a unique higher index point $Q \in X$ of type cA/r or $cD/3$ with axial weight 2.

Subcase 1. Q is of type $cD/3$.

Let $\mu : Z \to X$ be a common resolutions of Q dominating all divisorial contractions with minimal discrepancies over Q. We have

$$K_Z = \mu^* K_X + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{3} F_j + \sum \frac{c_l}{3} G_l,$$

where $\{F_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ is the set all all exceptional divisors with discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$ over Q and $c_l \geq 2$. Suppose that $\mu^* E = E_Z + \sum \frac{q_j}{3} F_j + \sum \frac{t_l}{3} G_l$, then

$$K_X = \mu^* f^* K_W + 2E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j F_j + \sum b_l G_l,$$

where $a_j = \frac{2q_j+1}{3}$ and $b_l = \frac{2t_l+c_l}{3} > 1$. Since there exists an exceptional divisor with discrepancy 1 over $P \in W$, we may assume that $a_1 = 1$.

By [9, Section 9], a $cD/3$ point can be classified as $cD/3$-1, $cD/3$-2 and $cD/3$-3. Unless $Q \in X$ is of type $cD/3$-3 and Equation $*$ holds (cf. [9, p.549]), we know that any exceptional divisor with minimal discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$ over a $cD/3$ point is obtained by a divisorial contraction. Hence there is a divisorial contraction $g : Y \to X$ with exceptional divisor $F = F_1$ and discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$. We thus have $\mathfrak{q} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{a} = 1$.

It is also straightforward to check that $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{3^3} F^3 = \frac{1}{12}$ for any such divisorial contraction with discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$. By Corollary 4.4, we have a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with exceptional divisor $F_{X^\sharp}$ and discrepancy 1.

In the remaining situation that $Q \in X$ is of type $cD/3$-3 and Equation $*$ holds (cf. [9, p.549]), then there is only one divisorial contraction $g : Y \to X$, which is a weighted blowup with weights $v_2 = \frac{1}{3}(5,4,1,6)$. There is another valuation with discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$ given by the weighted blowup with weights $v_1 = \frac{1}{4}(2,4,1,3)$. We write $K_Z = \mu^* K_X + \frac{1}{3}F_1 + \frac{1}{3}F_2 + \sum \frac{c_l}{3} G_l$, and

$$K_Z = \mu^* f^* K_W + 2E_Z + a_1 F_1 + a_2 F_2 + \sum b_l G_l,$$

where F_i corresponds to the valuation with weights v_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $(\phi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{3}(2,1,1,0)$ be the local equation of E near Q. Since $a_1 = 1$, then $q_1 = 1$ and $\frac{q_1}{3} = wt_{v_1}(\phi) = \frac{1}{3}$. One sees that ϕ contains z. It follows that $\frac{q_2}{3} = wt_{v_2}(\phi) = \frac{1}{3}$ and hence $\mathfrak{q} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{a} = 1$ holds.

Now we have $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{3^3} F^3 = \frac{1}{10}$. By Corollary 4.4 again, we have a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with exceptional divisor $F_{X^\sharp}$ and discrepancy 1.

Subcase 2. Q is of type cA/r.

After coordinate changes, we may assume that local equation near Q is given by $(\varphi : xy + z^{tr} + u^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,2,r)$ for some $t \geq 2$. Set $r = 2k+1$. Let $Y \to X$ be the weighted blowup with weights $v_1 := \frac{1}{2k+1}(k+1, 3k+1, 1, 2k+1)$ with exceptional divisor F. There are quotient singularities R_1, R_2 of index $k+1, 3k+1$. Let $Z \to Y$ be the economic resolution of R_1, R_2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_Z = \; & \mu^* K_X + \tfrac{1}{2k+1} F + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^k \tfrac{2j}{2k+1} F_j + \\ & \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\tfrac{2i+1}{2k+1} G_{0i} + \tfrac{2i}{2k+1} G_{1i} + \tfrac{2i-1}{2k+1} G_{2i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

More explicitly, the resolution over R_1 is obtained by weighted blowups of weights $\frac{1}{k+1}(j, 2k+2-2j, j, k+1-j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Over Q these weights corresponds to vectors $\frac{1}{2k+1}(j, 4k+2-j, 2j, 2k+1)$. Similarly, the resolution over R_2 is obtained by weighted blowups of weights $\frac{1}{3k+1}(2i, 3k+1-i, 3i, i)$, $\frac{1}{3k+1}(2k+2i, 2k+1-i, 3i-1, k+i)$, and $\frac{1}{3k+1}(4k+2i, k+1-i, 3i-2, 2k+i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Over Q, these weights corresponds to vectors

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2k+1}(k+1+i, 3k+1-i, 2i+1, 2k+1), \\ \frac{1}{2k+1}(2k+1+i, 2k+1-i, 2i, 2k+1), \\ \frac{1}{2k+1}(3k+1+i, k+1-i, 2i-1, 2k+1). \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$ respectively.

Suppose that E is given by $(\phi : \sum c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma u^\delta = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,2,r)$ locally around Q. We write $\mu^* E = E_Z + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2k+1} F + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{q_j}{2k+1} F_j + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{t_{0i}}{2k+1} G_{0i} + \frac{t_{1i}}{2k+1} G_{1i} + \frac{t_{2i}}{2k+1} G_{2i} \right)$ and hence

$$K_Z = \mu^* f^* K_W + 2E_Z + \mathfrak{a} F + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j F_j + \sum_{i=1}^k (b_{0i} G_{0i} + b_{1i} G_{1i} + b_{2i} G_{2i}),$$

with $\mathfrak{a} := \frac{2\mathfrak{q}+1}{2k+1}$, $a_j := \frac{2q_j+2j}{2k+1}$, $b_{0i} := \frac{2t_{0i}+2i+1}{2k+1}$, $b_{1i} := \frac{2t_{1i}+2i}{2k+1}$, $b_{2i} := \frac{2t_{2i}+2i-1}{2k+1}$. There exists an exceptional divisor with discrepancy 1. Hence either $\mathfrak{a}$, b_{0i} or $b_{2i} = 1$ for some i because a_j and b_{1i} are even.

Claim. $\mathfrak{a} = 1$.

Suppose that $b_{0i} = 1$ for some i. Then $t_{0i} = k - i$. Since

$$t_{01} = \min\{\alpha(k+1+i)+\beta(3k+1-i)+\gamma(2i+1)+\delta(2k+1)|x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma u^\delta \in \phi\}.$$

It follows that ϕ contains z^γ with $\gamma(2i + 1) = k - i$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2k+1} = wt_{v_1}\phi \leq \frac{k-i}{2k+1} \leq \frac{k-1}{2k+1}$$

and $\mathfrak{a} < 1$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $b_{2i} = 1$ for some i. Then similarly, one sees that ϕ contains z^γ with $\gamma(2i - 1) = k - i + 1$. This leads to the same contradiction unless $b_{21} = 1$ and ϕ contains z^k. It follows that $\mathfrak{q} = k$ and $\mathfrak{a} = 1$ in this situation.

Now $\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{(2k+1)^3}F^3 = \frac{2k}{(k+1)(3k+1)(2k+1)} < \frac{1}{2k+1}$. By Corollary 4.4, there is a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy $\mathfrak{a} = 1$.

Case IIf. f is of type e1 with discrepancy 2.
In this case, there is a unique higher point Q of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, 4)$.

Subcase 1. $r = 4k + 3$.
Let $Y \to X$ be the Kawamata blowup along Q with weights $\frac{1}{4k+3}(k + 1, 3k + 2, 1)$. Suppose that the local equation of E near Q is given by $(\phi : \sum c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma = 0)$. Let $\mu : Z \to X$ be the economic resolution over Q, which factors through Y. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} K_Z = \mu^*K_X + \sum_{j=1}^{4k+2} \frac{j}{4k+3}F_j; \\ \mu^*E = E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{4k+2} \frac{q_j}{4k+3}F_j, \end{cases}$$

where $F_1 = F$ and

$$q_j := \min\{\alpha\overline{(k+1)j} + \beta\overline{(3k+2)j} + \gamma j|x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi\}.$$

We have $K_Z = g^*f^*K_W + 2E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{4k+2} a_jF_j$ with $a_j = \frac{2q_j+j}{4k+3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $a_j \equiv j \pmod 2$ and $a_j = 1$ for some j.

Claim. $a_1 \leq 3$.

Suppose on the contrary that $a_1 \geq 5$. For all monomial $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, we have

$$q_1 = \alpha(k + 1) + \beta(3k + 2) + \gamma \geq 10k + 7. \qquad \dagger$$

If $a_j = 1$ for some j, then

$$q_j = \begin{cases} 2k - 2s + 1 & = (k + s + 1)\alpha + (3k - s + 2)\beta + (4s + 1)\gamma, & \text{if } j = 4s + 1; \\ 2k - 2s & = (3k + s + 3)\alpha + (k - s)\beta + (4s + 3)\gamma, & \text{if } j = 4s + 3, \end{cases}$$

for some $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, which is a contradiction to †. This completes the proof of the Claim.

Notice that if $a_1 = 3$, i.e. $q_1 = 6k+4$, then $y^2 \in \phi$ and $a_j = 1$ if and only if $j = 4s+3$ with $s < k$. In this case, there are exactly $k-1$ exceptional divisors with discrepancy 1. Hence $k \geq 2$ in this situation. Also, if $a_1 = 1$, then $q_1 = 2k+1$. Thus in any event,

$$\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{(4k+3)^3}F^3 = \frac{2\mathfrak{q}}{(k+1)(3k+2)(4k+3)} \leq \frac{4}{3(4k+3)}.$$

For any $l \subset S \cap E$, one has $l \cdot E \geq \frac{1}{4k+3}$ and hence $l \cdot K_X \leq \frac{-2}{4k+3}$. Therefore, $l_Y \cdot K_Y < 0$ for all i. Hence there exists a factoring diagram by Corollary 4.3. The resulting divisorial contraction $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 1 or 3.

Subcase 2. $r = 4k+1$.

Similarly, let $Y \to X$ be the Kawamata blowup along Q with weights $\frac{1}{4k+1}(3k+1, k, 1)$ and $\mu : Z \to X$ be the economic resolution over Q, which factors through Y.

Thus we have $K_Z = g^*f^*K_W + 2E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{4k} a_j F_j$ with $a_j = \frac{2q_j+j}{4k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$q_j := \min\{\alpha\overline{(3k+1)j} + \beta\overline{kj} + \gamma j | x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi\}.$$

Note that $a_j \equiv j \pmod 2$ and $a_j = 1$ for some j.

Claim. $a_1 = 1$.

Suppose on the other hand that $a_1 \geq 3$. For all monomial $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, we have

$$q_1 = \alpha(3k+1) + \beta k + \gamma \geq 6k+1. \qquad \dagger$$

Suppose that $a_j = 1$, it is straightforward to see that

$$q_j = \begin{cases} 2k-2s+1 & = (k+s)\alpha + (3k-s+1)\beta + (4s-1)\gamma, \quad \text{if } j = 4s-1; \\ 2k-2s & = (3k+s+1)\alpha + (k-s)\beta + (4s+1)\gamma, \quad \text{if } j = 4s+1, \end{cases}$$

for some $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, which is a contradiction to †. The Claim now follows.

Now $\mathfrak{a} = a_1 = 1$, $\mathfrak{q} = 2k$ and thus

$$\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{(4k+1)^3}F^3 = \frac{4}{(3k+1)(4k+1)} \leq \frac{1}{4k+1}.$$

For any $l \subset S \cap E$, one has $l \cdot E \geq \frac{1}{4k+1}$ and hence $l \cdot K_X \leq \frac{-2}{4k+1}$. Therefore, $l_Y \cdot K_Y < 0$ for all i. Hence there exists a factoring diagram by Corollary 4.3. The resulting map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 1.

Case IIg. f is of type e1 with discrepancy 4.

In this case, there is a unique higher index point Q of type $\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, 8)$. One can work out this case similar to Case IIf.

Subcase 1. $r = 8k+7$.

Let $Y \to X$ be the Kawamata blowup along Q with weights $\frac{1}{8k+7}(k+1, 7k+6, 1)$ and $\mu : Z \to X$ be the economic resolution over Q, which factors through Y. Suppose that the local equation of E near Q is given by $(\phi : \sum c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma = 0)$. Thus we have $K_Z = \mu^* f^* K_W + 4E_Z + \sum_{j=1}^{8k+6} a_j F_j$ with $a_j = \frac{4q_j+j}{8k+7} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$q_j := \min\{\alpha\overline{(k+1)j} + \beta\overline{(7k+6)j} + \gamma j | x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi\}.$$

Note that $a_j \equiv -j \pmod 4$ and $a_j = 1$ for some j.
Claim. $a_1 = 3$ or 7. [1]
Suppose on the contrary that $a_1 \geq 11$. For all monomial $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, we have

$$q_1 \geq \alpha(k+1) + \beta(7k+6) + \gamma \geq 22k + 19. \qquad \dagger$$

Suppose that $a_j = 1$, it is straightforward to see that

$$q_j = \begin{cases} 2k - 2s + 1 & = (3k+s+3)\alpha + (5k-s+4)\beta + (8s+3)\gamma, \quad \text{if } j = 8s+3; \\ 2k - 2s & = (7k+s+1)\alpha + (k-s)\beta + (8s+7)\gamma, \quad \text{if } j = 8s+7, \end{cases}$$

for some $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in \phi$, which is a contradiction to †. The Claim now follows.

Now $\mathfrak{q} \leq 14k + 12$ and thus

$$\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{(8k+7)^3} F^3 = \frac{2\mathfrak{q}}{(k+1)(7k+6)(8k+7)} \leq \frac{4}{(k+1)(8k+7)}.$$

For any $l_i \subset S \cap E$, one has $l_i \cdot E \geq \frac{1}{8k+7}$ and hence $l_i \cdot K_X \leq \frac{-4}{8k+7}$. Therefore, $l_{i,Y} \cdot K_Y \leq 0$ for all i and strictly < 0 for some i. Hence there exists a factoring diagram by Proposition 4.3. The resulting map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 3 or 7.
Subcase 2. $r = 8k + 5$.[2]
Similar argument shows that $a_1 = 1$ or 5 (since $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$) and there exists a factoring diagram by Corollary 4.3. The resulting map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 1 or 5.
Subcase 3. $r = 8k + 3$.
Similar argument shows that $a_1 = 3$ (since $a_1 \equiv -1 \pmod 4$) and there exists a factoring diagram by Proposition 4.3. The resulting map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 3.
Subcase 4. $r = 8k + 1$.
Similar argument shows that $a_1 = 1$ (since $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$) and there exists a factoring diagram by Proposition 4.3. The resulting map $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy 3.

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof. We prove by induction on depth and discrepancies.

---

[1] if $a_1 = 7$, then $y^2 \in \phi$ and $a_j = 1$ if and only if $j = 8s + 3$ with $s < k$. In this case, there are exactly $k - 1$ exceptional divisors with discrepancy 1.

[2] if $a_1 = 5$, then $y^2 \in \phi$ and $a_j = 1$ if and only if $j = 8s + 5$ with $s < k$. In this case, there are exactly $k - 1$ exceptional divisors with discrepancy 1.

1. Suppose first that $\mathrm{dep}(X) = 0$, that is, X has at worst Gorenstein terminal singularities. By the classification of Mori and Cutkosky [18, 6], f can not be a flipping contraction.

If $f : X \to W$ is a divisorial contraction to a point then f is a divisorial contraction with minimal discrepancy (cf. [18, 6]).

If $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a curve, then f is a blowup along a lci curve in a smooth neighborhood by the classification of Mori and Cutkosky again. By Proposition 3.1, f is factorizable.

2. Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a curve Γ with $\mathrm{dep}(X) = d > 0$. By [4], there is a factoring diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[rr, dashed] \arrow[d, "g"'] & & Y^\sharp \arrow[d, "g^\sharp"] \\
X \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & X^\sharp \arrow[dl, "f^\sharp"] \\
& W &
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying:

(1) $Y \to X$ is a divisorial contraction to a highest index point of index $r > 1$ with discrepancy $\frac{1}{r}$;
(2) $Y \to Y^\sharp$ is a sequence of flips and flops;
(3) $g^\sharp : Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp$ is divisorial contraction to the proper transform of Γ;
(4) $f^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction to a point.

Note that $\mathrm{dep}(Y) = d-1$, and $\mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) \leq \mathrm{dep}(Y) = d-1$. Therefore by Proposition 2.1,

$$\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) \leq \min(0, \mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) - 1) < d.$$

It follows that $X \to W$ can be factored into

$$X \dashrightarrow Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp \to W$$

so that each map is factorizable by induction on depth.

3. Let $f : X \to W$ be a flipping contraction. By [4], there is a factoring diagram as above so that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp = X^+ \to W$ is the flipped contraction. Similarly, each map of

$$X \dashrightarrow Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp = X^+$$

is factorizable by induction on depth.

4. Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index r with $\mathrm{dep}(X) = d$ and discrepancy $\frac{1}{r}$. Nothing to do.

5. Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index $r > 1$ with $\mathrm{dep}(X) = d$ and discrepancy $\frac{a}{r} > \frac{1}{r}$. By [5], there is a factoring diagram satisfying:

(1) $Y \to X$ is a divisorial contraction to a highest index point of index $r > 1$ with discrepancy $\frac{1}{r}$;
(2) $Y \to Y^\sharp$ is a sequence of flips and flops;
(3) $f^\sharp$ is a divisorial contraction with discrepancy $\frac{a'}{r} < \frac{a}{r}$;
(4) $g^\sharp$ is divisorial contraction to a point Q of index r with discrepancy $\frac{a''}{r} < \frac{a}{r}$ and $a'' + a' = a$ if $P \in W$ is not of type $cE/2$;
(5) $g^\sharp$ is divisorial contraction to a point Q of index 3 with discrepancy $\frac{1}{3}$ if $P \in W$ is of type $cE/2$.

Notice that $\mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) \leq \mathrm{dep}(Y) = d - 1$ and $\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) \leq \mathrm{dep}(Y^\sharp) + 1 \leq d$. By induction on depth, both $Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp$ and $Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp$ are factorizable. If $\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) < \mathrm{dep}(X)$, then we are done by induction. If $\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) = \mathrm{dep}(X)$, then we may proceed by induction on a which measures the discrepancy.

6. Let $f : X \to W$ be a divisorial contraction to a point $P \in W$ of index 1 with $\mathrm{dep}(X) = d$ and discrepancy $a > 1$.

6.1 If $P \in W$ is a non-singular point, then by the study of Case Ia, f is factorizable by induction on a.

6.2 If $P \in W$ is of type cA. By the studies in Case Ib, IIa, and IId, there exists a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ has discrepancy $a_1 < a$ (Case Ib) or 1 (Case IIa, IId). Moreover $\mathrm{dep}(X^\sharp) \leq d$. Therefore, $f^\sharp$ is factorizable by induction on discrepancy a hence so is $f : X \to W$ because $Y \dashrightarrow Y^\sharp \to X^\sharp$ having dep $< d$.

6.3 If $P \in W$ is of type cD or cE and the discrepancy a is odd. This could be Case Ic, Id, IId. There exists a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ has discrepancy $a_2 < a$ (Case Ic) or 1 (Case Id, IId). Similarly f is factorizable by induction on a and on depth.

6.4 If $P \in W$ is of type cD or cE and the discrepancy a is even. This could be Case Id, IIb, IIc, IIe, IIf, and IIg. There exists a factoring diagram such that $f^\sharp : X^\sharp \to W$ has odd discrepancy a_1 (Case IIf, IIg) or 1 (other cases). Therefore, f is factorizable by 6.3 and induction on depth.

□

References

[1] G. Brown, *Flips arising as quotients of hypersurfaces.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 1, 13–31.

[2] J. A. Chen, M. Chen, *Explicit birational geometry of threefolds of general type, I*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér (**43**) 2010, 365–394.

[3] J. A. Chen, M. Chen, *Explicit birational geometry of threefolds of general type, II.* J. of Diff. Geom. **86** (2010), 237–271.

[4] J. A. Chen and C. D. Hacon, *Factoring 3-fold flips and divisorial contractions to curves*, J. reine angew. Math. **657** (2011), 173–197.

[5] J.A. Chen, *Factoring threefold divisorial contractions to points.* Ann Scoula Ecole Norm. Sup Pisa, to appear.

[6] S. D. Cutkosky, *Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), no. 3, 521–525.

[7] T. Hayakawa, *Blowing ups of* 3*-dimensional terminal singularities*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **35** (1999), no. 3, 515–570.

[8] T. Hayakawa, *Blowing ups of* 3*-dimensional terminal singularities. II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **36** (2000), no. 3, 423–456.

[9] T. Hayakawa, *Divisorial contractions to* 3*-dimensional terminal singularities with discrepancy one*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **57** (2005), no. 3, 651–668.

[10] M. Kawakita, *Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), no. 1, 105–119.

[11] M. Kawakita, *Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to compound* A_1 *points*, Compo. Math. **133** (2002), 95–116.

[12] M. Kawakita, *General elephants of three-fold divisorial contractions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2002), no. 2, 331–362.

[13] M. Kawakita, *Three-fold divisorial contractions to singularities of higher indices*, Duke Math. J. **130** (2005), no. 1, 57–126.

[14] M. Kawakita, *Supplement to classification of three-fold divisorial contractions*, Nagoya Math. J. **206** (2012), 67-73..

[15] Y. Kawamata, *Divisorial contractions to* 3*-dimensional terminal quotient singularities*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), 241–246, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[16] J. Kollár, *Flops.* Nagoya Math. J. **113** (1989), 15–36.

[17] J. Kolla'r and S. Mori, *Classification of three-dimensional flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 3, 533–703.

[18] S. Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. Math. **116** (1982), 133–176.

[19] S. Mori, *On* 3*-dimensional terminal singularities*, Nagoya Math. J. **98** (1985), 43–66.

[20] D. Markushevich, *Minimal discrepancy for a terminal cDV singularity is* 1, J. Math. Sci. Tokyo **3** (1996), 445–456.

[21] M. Reid, *Decomposition of toric morphisms.* Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, 395–418, Progr. Math., 36, Birkhaüser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.

[22] N. Tziolas, *Terminal 3-fold divisorial contractions of a surface to a curve. I.* Compositio Math. **139** (2003), no. 3, 239–261.

[23] N. Tziolas, *Three dimensional divisorial extremal neighborhoods.* Math. Ann. **333** (2005), no. 2, 315–354.

[24] N. Tziolas, $\mathbb{Q}$*-Gorenstein deformations of nonnormal surfaces.* Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 1, 171–193.

National Center for Theoretical Sciences (NCTS/TPE) and Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106, Taiwan

E-mail address: `jkchen@ntu.edu.tw`