Reactions of Ruthenium Acetylide and Vinylidene Complexes Containing a 2-Pyridyl Group

Hsien-Hsin Chou, Ying-Chih Lin,* Shou-Ling Huang, Yi-Hong Liu, and Yu Wang

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 106, Republic of China

Received March 18, 2008

Two ruthenium acetylide complexes [Ru]C \equiv C(C₅H₃RN) (1a, R = H; 1b, R = Me; [Ru] = Cp(PPh₃)₂Ru) containing 2-pyridyl groups are prepared and their chemical reactivities are explored. Protonation of the ruthenium acetylide complex 1a with HBF₄ takes place at both the nitrogen atom and C β , giving the dicationic pyridinium vinylidene complex $\{[Ru]=C=C(H)(C_5H_4NH)\}(BF_4)_2$ (3a). Addition of BF₃ to 1a yields the Lewis acid/base adduct $[Ru]C \equiv C(C_5H_4N \rightarrow BF_3)$ (4a). In the presence of moisture both complexes **3a** and **4a** in solution transform into the cationic heterocyclic carbene complex $\{[Ru]=C(O)CH_2-C(O)C(O)CH_2-C(O)C(O)CH_2-C(O)C(O)C(O)CH_2-C(O)C(O)C(O)C$ $(C_5H_4N \rightarrow BF_2)$ BF₄ (**6a**), for which the structure is confirmed by X-ray structure determination. The formation of **6a** involves the intermediate $\{[Ru]=C=C(H)(C_5H_4N\rightarrow BF_2OH)\}BF_4$ (**5a**), characterized by spectroscopic methods. DFT calculations show that the Gibbs free energy change of the exothermic transformation of 5a to 6a is -20.59 kcal/mol. N-Alkylation reactions of 1b with two alkyl bromides BrCH₂R' (R' = CH=CHCO₂Me and CO₂Me) yield two pyridiniumacetylide complexes {[Ru]C= $C(C_5H_3MeNCH_2R')$ Br (7b, R' = CH=CHCO_2Me; 7c, R' = CO_2Me, respectively). Complex 7c, characterized by X-ray structure determination, undergoes further protonation to give the pyridiniumvinylidene complex {[Ru]= $C=C(H)(C_3H_4NCH_2R')^{2+}$ (8c). Interestingly, the acetylide complex 7b undergoes a C-C coupling reaction of the acetylic C β with the C=C double bond to give the vinylidene complex 9b, characterized also by X-ray structure determination.

Introduction

During the past decade, chemistry of transition metal complexes containing vinylidene ligands has attracted a great deal of attention because of their occurrence as key intermediates in many stoichiometric and catalytic transformations of organic molecules.¹ Since the method for the preparation of cationic bisubstituted vinylidene complexes via electrophilic attack of metal acetylides was established, the diversity and applications of these metal vinylidene complexes have further expanded. Previously we have shown that ruthenium vinylidene complexes $[Ru]=C=C(Ph)CH_2R^+$ ($[Ru] = Cp(PPh_3)_2Ru$) bearing an electron-withdrawing group R attached at $C\gamma$ undergo intramolecular cyclization under mild basic conditions.² On the basis of this approach, various mono- and multinuclear neutral metal cyclopropenyl or furyl complexes have been prepared. Recently, serendipitous results showed that the ruthenium vinylidene complex with a pendant terminal vinyl group exhibits excellent

metathesis reactivity of C=C double bonds so that skeletal rearrangement and cyclization are observed.³



Rich chemistry has been demonstrated for molecules containing various pyridyl moieties. For example, *ortho*-substituted pyridyl groups usually act as building blocks in templating metal centers by means of coordination, which leads to supramolecules.⁴ Very recently the metal-mediated C–H activation was reported to cause formation of pyridine/quinolidene *ortho*carbene complexes.⁵ *ortho*-Substitution of an ethynyl group on pyridine has been under investigation in the development of nonlinear optics.⁶ The coordination ability of the N atom of platinum acetylide complexes with 2-pyridyl functional groups has also been investigated.^{4d,e} We therefore set a goal to study

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: yclin@ntu.edu.tw.

 ⁽a) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 197. (b) Werner, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1990, 29, 1077. (c) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D.; Pinkerton, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2067. (d) Valyaev, D. A.; Semeikin, O. V.; Ustynyuk, N. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1679. (e) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2176. (f) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 311. (g) Katayama, H.; Ozawa, F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1703. (h) Varela, J.; Saá, C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6450.

^{(2) (}a) Ting, P. C.; Lin, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Cheng, M. C.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6433. (b) Lo, Y. H.; Lin, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Wang, Y. Organometallics 1999, 18, 982. (c) Chang, C. W.; Lin, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Wang, W. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3211. (d) Chang, K. H.; Lin, Y. C.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 3154. (e) Lin, Y. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617–618, 141. (f) Lo, Y. H.; Hsu, S. C.; Huang, S. L.; Lin, Y. C.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, Y. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1250. (h) Liu, C. W.; Lin, Y. C.; Huang, S. L.; Cheng, C. W.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, Y. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3431.

^{(3) (}a) Yen, Y. S.; Lin, Y. C.; Huang, S. L.; Liu, Y. H.; Sung, H. L.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18037. (b) Cheng, C. W.; Kuo, Y. C.; Chang, S. H.; Lin, Y. C.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14974.

^{(4) (}a) Choi, M. Y.; Chan, M. C. W.; Peng, S. M.; Cheung, K. K.; Che, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1259. (b) Stang, S. L.; Lenz, D.; Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 572, 189. (c) Stang, S. L.; Lenz, D.; Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1999, 291, 403. (d) Berenguer, J. R.; Eguizábal, E.; Falvello, L. R.; Forniés, J.; Lalinde, E.; Martín, A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1653. (e) Ara, I.; Berenguer, J. R.; Eguizábal, E.; Gómez, J.; Lalinde, E.; Sáez-Rocher, J. M. Organometallics 2000, 19, 4385.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing (30% thermal ellipsoid) of **1b** with phenyl groups on phosphine ligands (except *ipso* carbon) and hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ru1–C1, 2.007(3); C1–C2, 1.216(4); C2–C3, 1.422(4); Ru1–C1–C2, 174.7(2); C1–C2–C3, 172.5(3).

the chemistry of ruthenium acetylide and vinylidene complexes containing *ortho*-pyridyl groups. Herein we report the synthesis and reactivities of these acetylide and vinylidene complexes toward protic acids, Lewis acids, and electrophilic alkyl groups.

Results and Discussion

Preparation and Reactions of Ruthenium Pyridylacetylides. A convenient method was utilized to prepare a ruthenium pyridylacetylide complex from ruthenium chloride. Thus the reaction of [Ru]-Cl ($[Ru] = Cp(PPh_3)_2Ru$) with 2-ethynylpyridine in a mixed solvent (CHCl₃/MeOH/NEt₃) at room temperature exclusively gave the pyridylacetylide complex 1a as a yellow powder in good yield. Complex 1a shows a ³¹P resonance at δ 51.0 and C α resonance at δ 124.30 with J_{CP} = 25.8 Hz in the ³¹P and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively. These are comparable with those of analogous phenylacetylide derivatives.^{7a,b} The mass spectrum of **1a** shows parent peaks at m/z = 794.0 for M⁺ + 1. Similarly the reaction of [Ru]-Cl with 6-methyl-2-ethynylpyridine yielded complex 1b, which has been characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The molecular structure of complex 1b with selected bond lengths and bond angles is shown in Figure 1. The coordination sphere surrounding the ruthenium center of 1b adopts a three-legged piano-stool structure with a typical acetylide skeleton. The methyl and N atom in the pyridyl group face the same direction as the bonding of Ru toward the Cp ring centroid. The Ru-C(1)distance of 2.007(3) Å is a typical Ru-C single bond and is comparable to the corresponding one in [Ru]C≡CPh (I, 2.017(5) Å)^{7a} and [Ru]C= $C(C_6H_4-p-NO_2)$ (II, 1.994(5) Å).^{7b} The C(1)-C(2) distance of 1.216(4) Å, which is a C=C triple bond, is also comparable to that in I (1.214(7) Å) and the nickel



analogue Cp(PPh₃)NiC=C(C₅H₃N-*p*-NO₂) (**III**, 1.215(7) Å),^{7b} but is slightly longer than that in **II** (1.202(8) Å).

On the other hand, when the reaction was carried out in a mixed solvent of CH2Cl2/MeOH at room temperature, the 2-picolylmethoxycarbene complex 2a was obtained (Scheme 1). This result is different from that in the ruthenium 4-pyridylacetylide complex reported by Lin et al.^{8a} The cationic complex 2a exhibits spectroscopic features different from those of 1a. The ¹³C NMR spectrum shows a characteristic carbenoic triplet resonance of C α at δ 306.0 with $J_{CP} = 12.8$ Hz. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **2a** shows a singlet at δ 46.6. Furthermore the ³¹P NMR results indicate that during the reaction a singlet resonance at δ 42.0 appeared and diminished as **2a** gradually formed. This intermediate is proposed to be the pyridylvinylidene complex $\{[Ru]=C=C(H)(C_5H_4N)\}^+$. Because of its instability in the presence of alcohol and in the absence of NEt₃, the intermediate complex will spontaneously undergo nucleophilic addition to yield the cationic methoxycarbene complex. The electronwithdrawing ortho-pyridine substituent seems to play a role in this reaction. Similar reactions involving various vinylidenes of the type Cp(PR₃)₂Ru=C=CRH can lead to alkoxycarbene in the presence of alcohol, albeit at elevated temperature.9 This phenomenon prompted us to study the reactivities of the pyridine moiety implanted in the ruthenium acetylide backbone. The reactions of complex 1 toward protic acids, Lewis acids, and a

^{(5) (}a) Alvarez, E.; Conejero, S.; Paneque, M.; Petronilho, A.; Poveda, M. L.; Serrano, O.; Carmona, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13060. (b) Esteruelas, M. A.; Fernández-Alvarez, F. J.; Oñate, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13044. (c) Wiedemann, S. H.; Lewis, J. C.; Ellman, J. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2452. (d) Chen, D; Li, Y.; Wang, B.; Xu, S.; Song, H. Organometallics 2006, 25, 307.

^{(6) (}a) Powell, C. E.; Humphrey, M. G. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 725. (b) Marder, T. B.; Lesley, G.; Yuan, Z.; Fyfe, H. B.; Chow, P.; Stringer, G.; Jobe, I. R.; Taylor, N. J.; Williams, I. D.; Kurtz, S. K. In *Materials for Nonnlinear Optics: Chemical Perspectives*; Marder, S. R., John, S., Stucky, G. D., Eds.; ACS Symp. Ser. A; 1991; Vol. 455, p 605. (c) Naulty, R. H.; Cifuentes, M. P.; Humphrey, M. G.; Houbrechts, S.; Boutton, C.; Persoons, A.; Heath, G. A.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Luther-Davies, B.; Samoc, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 4167.

^{(7) (}a) Bruce, M. I.; Humphrey, M. G.; Snow, M. R.; Tiekink, E. R. T. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *314*, 213. (b) Whittall, I. R.; Humphrey, M. G.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3970.

^{(8) (}a) Wu, I. Y.; Lin, J. T.; Luo, J.; Sun, S. S.; Li, C. S.; Lin, K. J.; Tsai, C.; Hsu, C.-C.; Lin, J.-L. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 2038. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Ke, M.; Kelly, B. D.; Low, P. J.; Smith, M. E.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *590*, 184. (c) Szesni, N.; Drexler, M.; Maurer, J.; Winter, R. F.; de Montigny, F.; Lapinte, C.; Steffens, S.; Heck, J.; Weibert, B.; Fischer, H. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 5774.

^{(9) (}a) Takagi, Y.; Matsuzaka, H.; Ishii, Y.; Hidai, M. Organometallics
1997, 16, 4445. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Duffy, D. N.; Humphrey, M. G.; Swincer,
A. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 282, 383. (c) Bruce, M. I.; Swincer,
A. G. Aust. J. Chem. 1980, 33, 1471.

few alkyl halides are thus investigated. Their further transformations into vinylidene and heterocyclic carbene complexes are also studied.

Although many reports deal with the coordination ability of the N atom of the transition metal 2-pyridylacetylides,^{4d,e} their interaction with protic acids and Lewis acids have not been thoroughly described. Furnished with acetylide and pyridyl groups both complexes 1a and 1b are expected to undergo electrophilic addition. Treatment of complex 1a with excess HBF₄ in diethyl ether produces the bright orange pyridiniumvinylidene complex $\{[Ru]=C=C(H)(C_5H_4NH)\}$ - $(BF_4)_2$ (**3a**) in high yield (Scheme 1). The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **3a** shows a singlet resonance at δ 37.3. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows the characteristic broad proton resonance of NH at δ 12.7 and the relatively downfield singlet resonance of the vinylidene proton at C β at δ 5.90. This reveals that both the pyridyl group and $C\beta$ are protonated; thus the basicity of the pyridine moiety is not diminished in forming a complex of this system.⁸ Addition of D₂O causes the exchange of the pyridinium and vinylidene protons of **3a** as shown in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Surprisingly, this two-proton adduct is sufficiently acidic and can even protonate D₂O to effectively restore 1a if excess D₂O was added (observed in nearly 100% NMR yield). In a similar vein, protonation of complex 1b also gives the dicationic complex {[Ru]=C= $C(H)(C_5H_3MeNH)$ (BF₄)₂ (**3b**).

On the other hand, a Lewis acid/base interaction was observed only at the pyridyl group, and the BF₃ adduct of the pyridiniumacetylide complex [Ru]C=C(C₅H₄N→BF₃) (**4a**) was obtained when complex **1a** was treated with excess BF₃-OEt₂ (Scheme 1). Binding of the BF₃ group is indicated by the singlet resonance at δ -152.2 in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, which is similar to that of the structurally similar BF₄⁻ group at δ -152.0. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **4a** shows a singlet resonance at δ 50.9, which is comparable to that of BF₃-free **1a** at δ 51.0. The ¹³C NMR triplet resonance of C α at δ 173.98 with $J_{CP} = 23.0$ Hz is closer to the carbene region (generally at ca. δ 200) than that of complex **1a** (δ 124.30 with $J_{CP} = 25.8$ Hz), which is attributed to the partial contribution of the allenylidene structure (**IV**) in the groundstate geometry of complex **4a** (Scheme 1).^{8c}

Complex 4a is air and moisture sensitive and in chloroform can be cleanly transformed to 5a in the presence of moisture. In addition, treatment of 3a or 1a with an excess amount of BF₃-OEt₂ in the presence of moisture also affords complex 5a (Scheme 1). The reaction of complex 4a with HBF₄ in the presence of H_2O at room temperature also gives **5a** as a brown solid in good yield. Complex 5a is believed to be the cationic pyridiniumvinylidene complex {[Ru]=C=C(H)- $(C_5H_4N \rightarrow BF_2OH)$ BF₄ with a BF₂OH group on the pyridine N atom. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **5a** shows a singlet resonance at δ 5.90 and a broad peak at δ 12.33 assigned to the vinylidene β -proton and the OH group, respectively. The vinylidene backbone of 5a is reflected by the ¹³C NMR resonances of α - and β -carbons at δ 344.56 and 112.46, respectively. A 2D NMR ¹³C-¹H HSQC experiment also confirms the vinylidene group by displaying a cross-peak between $\delta_{\rm H}$ of 5.90 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ of 112.46.

Interestingly, in CDCl₃ solution, complex **5a** further transforms into the new complex **6a** in 4 days at room temperature in ca. 60% yield (Scheme 1). The cationic complex **6a** contains a heterocyclic carbene ligand with a boron atom in the ring.¹⁰ The carbenoic triplet resonance of **6a** at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 292.65 with $J_{\rm CP}$ = 12.7 Hz shifts slightly upfield than the corresponding C α



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing (30% thermal ellipsoid) of **6a** with phenyl groups on the phosphine ligands (except the *ipso* carbon) and hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ru1–C1, 1.944(3); C1–C2, 1.520(4); C2–C3, 1.484(5); C1–O1, 1.308(4); O1–B1, 1.484(5); B1–F1, 1.369; B1–F2, 1.352; Ru1–C1–C2, 123.4(2); C1–C2–C3, 113.7(3);Ru1–C1–O1, 124.7(2); C2–C1–O1, 111.8(3);C1–O1–B1, 125.4(3); O1–B1–N1, 105.9(3); F1–B1–F2, 112.8(3).

resonance of the methoxy derivative 2a at δ 306.0. The IR spectrum of **6a** reveals an absorption band at $\nu = 1350.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of a \bar{C} -O single bond skeleton.^{10g} Complex 6a has been characterized by X-ray structural determination. Figure 2 displays an ORTEP drawing and selected bond lengths and angles of 6a. The Ru-C(1) bond distance of 1.944(3) Å is a typical Ru=C carbenoic double bond but is slightly longer than that of the methoxy derivative {[Ru]= $C(OMe)Me\}^+$ (V, 1.931(9) Å),^{10e} whereas the C(1)-O(1) bond length of 1.308(4) Å is shorter than that in complex V (1.321(9) Å). The partial delocalization of the cationic charge around Ru-C(1)-O(1) bonds can be rationalized by the much upfieldshifted C α resonance of **6a** in the ¹³C spectrum. The O(1)–B(1) bond distance of 1.484(5) Å is slightly shorter than those in iron complexes^{10d} and in rhodium complexes.^{10a} For comparison, in a trinuclear Ru cluster the bond distances between B-O, O-C, and average C-Ru^{10b} are 1.508(5), 1.262(4), and 2.004 Å, respectively.

In addition to complex **4a**, the pyridiniumvinylidene complex **3a** with two BF₄⁻ counteranions in solution also yielded **6a** in the presence of moisture. Upon NMR monitoring of a sealed tube containing a CDCl₃ solution of **3a** under nitrogen, complex **6a**, [Ru]-CO⁺, and triphenylphosphine oxide were observed in a 2:1:1 ratio based on ³¹P NMR integration after 5 days at room temperature. The ³¹P NMR resonances of [Ru]-CO⁺ and O=PPh₃ appear at δ 42.5 and 30.0, respectively.¹¹ The ¹H and ¹³C signals of the Cp group at δ 5.00 and 91.4, respectively, confirm the formation of [Ru]-CO⁺. Perhaps trace moisture in the solution caused decomposition of the BF₄⁻ anion of complex **3a** to form BF₃,¹² which then reacted with **3a** to result in the formation of **5a** and finally **6a**. The methyl derivative **1b** sequentially gave complexes **3b** and **5b**. However, complex **5b**

^{(10) (}a) Yeston, J. S.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 2000, 19, 2947.
(b) Chipperfield, A. K.; Housecroft, C. E.; Raithby, P. R. Organometallics 1990, 9, 479. (c) Lukehart, C. M.; Raja, M. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2100.
(d) Butts, S. B.; Strauss, S. H.; Holt, E. M.; Stimson, R. E.; Alcock, N. W.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5093. (e) Bellachioma, G.; Cardaci, G.; Foresti, E.; Macchioni, A.; Sabatino, P.; Zuccaccia, C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2003, 353, 245. (f) Nakazawa, H.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Miyoshi, K. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4661. (g) Butts, S. B.; Strauss, S. H.; Holt, E. M.; Stimson, R. E.; Alcock, N. W.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5093.

⁽¹¹⁾ Bruce, M. I.; Swincer, A. G.; Wallis, R. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 171, C5.



Figure 3. Optimized geometries and relative Gibbs free energies (kcal/mol) for the conversion of $\{Cp(PH_3)_2Ru=C=C(H)(C_5H_4N\rightarrow BF_2OH)\}^+$ (A) to heterocyclic carbene $\{Cp(PH_3)_2Ru=CCH_2(C_5H_4N\rightarrow BF_2O)\}^+$ (D). Values in parentheses are relative electronic energies.

is relatively more stable toward cyclization in solution. Attempts to promote cyclization of **5b** led to decomposition.

Given that the aforementioned transformations require the existence of moisture (either from the air or the solvent), a deuterium labeling experiment was carried out. To the complex 4a was added an equivalent amount of HBF4 in the presence of excess D₂O. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of the product shows the resonance at δ 46.9 of **6a**, while the disappearance of the methylene resonance at δ 5.15 in the ¹H NMR spectrum reveals the doubly deuterated complex ($6a-d_2$). As described below, because the protonation of a pyridiniumacetylide complex to give a pyridiniumvinylidene complex is easily achieved, we believe that the protonation of 4a here involves the formation of the pyridiniumvinylidene intermediate. Interestingly, no alkoxycarbene product from the addition at Ca was observed during the reaction. This probably indicates that the pyridyl-BF₃ group is more reactive than the vinylidene group so that the substitution of F by OH proceeds faster than the nucleophilic attack at C α . When the 2-pyridyl group is bound to a less electron-deficient BH3 group, no substitution was observed. Namely, by treating the pyridylacetylide complex 1a with excess BH₃-THF we prepared the pyridylacetylide complex $[Ru]C \equiv$ $C(C_5H_4N \rightarrow BH_3)$ (4c), which is sufficiently stable at ambient temperature even in solution for at least 1 week.¹³

The mechanism for the formation of **6a** is thus suggested as followed. Treatment of **4a** with HBF₄ immediately affords the vinylidene intermediate { $[Ru]=C=C(H)(C_5H_4N\rightarrow BF_3)$ }⁺ (**VI**). Substituting one fluoride of the pyridinium-BF₃ group by a hydroxyl group subsequently gives the OH-substituted pyridylvinylidene **5a** with the formation of a B–OH bond.¹⁴ Then two possible pathways were considered, namely, intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the OH group onto the vinylidene C α and 1,3-proton shift and direct nucleophilic addition of the O–H group onto the vinylidene C α =C β bond, affording the final product **6a**.¹⁵

According to this mechanism, it can be visualized that complex **6** can be prepared directly via a one-pot procedure from [Ru]-Cl. Actually, in chloroform solution, 10 molar equiv of BF_3 —OEt₂ and 2-ethynylpyridine were first mixed for 10 min followed by the addition of [Ru]-Cl. The resulting solution was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. Workup of the solution afforded complex **6a** in high yield. However, without an excess amount of BF_3 , only [Ru]-Cl was obtained. Excess BF_3 probably induces dissociation of the chloride ligand and initiates the

reaction. The reaction of [Ru]-Cl with 2-ethynylpyridine in the presence of CH₃I leads to [Ru]-I only.

Theoretical Calculations. DFT calculations at the B3LYP/ LanL2DZ¹⁶ level by Gaussian 03 were performed to study the reaction of **5** to **6** in an effort to distinguish two pathways for the addition of OH to the C=C bond.¹⁷ The phenyl groups of the PPh₃ were replaced by hydrogen in our study. Figure 3 displays the optimized geometries and corresponding Gibbs free energy changes of the conversion of **A** to **D**, modeling the transformation of complex **5** to **6**. The Ru–C bond of 1.95 Å, C–O bond of 1.35 Å, and O–B bond of 1.50 Å of the optimized structure reasonably match the experimental result obtained from

(14) The boron-water complex BF_3-H_2O has been studied for more than a decade. Decomposition of this complex into $B(OH)_3$ and BF_4 anion has also been reported; see for example: (a) Evans, D. G.; Yeo, G. A.; Ford, T. A *Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *86*, 55. (b) Jacox, M. E.; Irikura, K. K.; Thompson, W. E. J. Chem. Phys. **2000**, *113*, 5705. (c) Ghaemy, M.; Khandani, M. H. *Iran. Polym. J.* **1997**, *6*, 5.

(15) (a) Li, W. T.; Pan, M. H.; Wu, Y. R.; Wang, S. L.; Liao, F. L.; Liu, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3761. (b) Chen, M. J.; Chang, S. T.; Liu, R. S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5029. (c) Chen, M. J.; Lo, C. Y.; Chin, C. C.; Liu, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6362.

(16) (a) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. *Can. J. Phys* **1980**, *58*, 1200.
(b) Becke, A. D. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098. (c) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785. (d) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 299.

(17) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, Revision D.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

^{(12) (}a) Cole-Hamilton, D. J. *Science* 2003, *299*, 1702. (b) Ignat'ev,
N. V.; Welz-Biermann, U.; Kucheryna, A.; Bissky, G.; Willner, H. *J. Fluor. Chem.* 2005, *126*, 1150. (c) Sellin, M. F.; Webb, P. B.; Cole-Hamilton,
D. J. *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 781. (d) Limmert, M.; Lorenz, I.-P.; Neubauer,
J.; Nöth, H.; Habereder, T. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 1593.

⁽¹³⁾ Lesley, M. J. G.; Woodward, A.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B.; Cazenobe, I.; Ledoux, I.; Zyss, J.; Thornton, A.; Bruce, D. W.; Kakkar, A. K. *Chem. Mater.* **1998**, *10*, 1355.

the crystal structure of complex 6a (1.944(3), 1.308(4), and 1.484(5) Å, respectively). In addition, the Ru–C–O bond angle of 121.4° and C-O-B bond angle of 124.6° also resemble those of 6a (123.4(2)° and 125.4(3)°, respectively). NBO analysis¹⁸ of **D**, the modeling complex of **6a**, reveals that the Ru-C and C-O bond orders are 1.46 and 1.95, respectively, indicating partial delocalization of π -electrons and positive charge at the carbene moiety. For compound A, the modeling complex of 5a, the LUMO and LUMO+1 orbitals are largely localized at C α , showing that an electrophilic attack might take place there (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). Consequently, the approach of the hydroxyl group of BF₂OH to $C\alpha$ in **A** caused the formation of the intermediate **B**, which is lower in Gibbs free energy than A by -1.66 kcal/mol. B is formally an intramolecular cyclization product of A, where the oxygen atom is bound to boron, $C\alpha$, and a proton. The transition state (TSAB) is 5.87 kcal/mol higher in free energy than A. Following the reaction coordinate, the conversion of **B** to **D** first requires the rotation of the Ru-C bond to become the rotamer C, which is higher in free energy than B by 1.66 kcal/ mol. This can be rationalized by the metal-carbon π -bonding resulted from different preferred orbital overlap of metal d_{π} orbital with the carbene and vinylidene p-orbital.¹⁹ The conversion of C to D is exothermic by -20.59 kcal/mol. However, this conversion via the transition state TS_{CD} has an activation free energy of 42.07 kcal/mol. Since experimentally we have observed that complex 5 spontaneously undergoes isomerization to give 6 at room temperature in solution, the pathway $C \rightarrow$ $TS_{CD} \rightarrow D$ is thus unfavorable. That is, the direct O-H addition is less likely. Alternatively, another pathway via a stepwise deprotonation-protonation process through the intermediate E is suggested. Although we cannot accurately calculate the relative energy of E in this system, this $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ pathway is much preferred due to the fact that the spontaneous transformation of 5 to 6 often occurs in acidic conditions, i.e., in the presence of an excess amount of HBF4 during the synthesis of 6. The overall conversion of A to D is exothermic by a free energy of -20.59 kcal/mol, which reasonably matches our experimental observation.

Alkylation Reactions. Reactions of 1 with various alkyl halides are also studied. At room temperature, treatment of 1a with 4-bromomethylcrotonate produces the air-stable goldenyellow product 7a in high yield. The alkylation takes place at the nitrogen atom. Similarly, facile N-alkylation is observed in the case of 1b. Treatment of 1b with functionalized alkyl halides also generates 7b, 7c, and 7d in high yield (Scheme 2). Complexes 7a-7d were characterized by ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹³C NMR and mass spectra. 2D NMR HMBC and HSQC techniques were also applied to confirm the connectivity between the pyridiyl and the alkylated group. For example, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 7c shows a triplet resonance assigned to the Ru–C α carbon at δ 181.8 with $J_{CP} = 22.3$ Hz. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 7c displays a singlet downfield shift resonance of the methylene protons of the ester group at δ 5.96. Of the most important is the ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum, which shows long-range couplings between the methylene protons at δ 5.96 of the ester group to both C β at δ 114.9 and one of the pyridyl carbons at δ 153.7, but no cross-peak is observed with the C α resonance at δ 181.8. This demonstrates that the methylene protons of the ester group are not in the vicinity of $C\alpha$.



The molecular structure of complex **7c** established by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis is shown in Figure 4 with selected bond lengths and bond angles. Bonding of the pyridinium N–C bond faces the direction parallel to that of the Ru center to the Cp centroid. The Ru–C(1) single bond distance (1.977(4) Å) is slightly shorter than that in complex **1b** (2.007(3) Å), whereas the C(1)–C(2) triple bond distance (1.219(5) Å) is slightly longer than that in **1b** (1.216(4) Å) but is shorter that in the chromium allenylidene complex.^{8c} The Ru–C1–C2 bond angle is approximately the same as that in **1b** (174.4(7)°). This fact might indicate partial contribution of the allenylidene resonance structure **VII** shown in Scheme 2 in the solid state. The ¹³C resonance of C α of **7d** at δ 181.8 compared to that of **1b** at δ 117.6 provides further support.

The fact that complexes **1a** and **1b** underwent addition exclusively at the N atom rather than at $C\beta$ might stem from the intrinsic reactivity of the pyridyl group. A similar event was observed in the alkylation reaction of tungsten and chromium pyridylacetylide and indolylacetylide complexes to give alle-



Figure 4. ORTEP drawing (30% thermal ellipsoid) of **7c** with phenyl groups on the phosphine ligands (except the *ipso* carbon), hydrogen atoms and the anion eliminated for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ru1–C1 1.977(4), C1–C2 1.219(5), C2–C3 1.400(5), N1–C9 1.458(5), Ru1–C1–C2 174.7(2), C1–C2–C3 174.1(3).

⁽¹⁸⁾ Martin, F.; Zipse, H. J. Comput. Chem. 2005, 26, 97.

^{(19) (}a) Schilling, B. E. R.; Hoffmann, R.; Lichtenberger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 585. (b) Kostić, N. M.; Fenske, R. F. Organometallics **1982**, 1, 974.



Figure 5. ORTEP drawing (30% thermal ellipsoid) of **9b** with phenyl groups of PPh₃ ligands (except *ipso* carbon atoms), hydrogen atoms and the anion are eliminated for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ru1–C1, 1.820(6); C1–C2, 1.330(9); C2–C3, 1.447(9); C3–N1, 1.333(8); N1–C9, 1.473(9); Ru1–P1, 2.3715(16); Ru1–P2, 2.3560(16); Ru1–C1–C2, 166.0(5); C1–C2–C3, 126.3(6); C3–C2–C10, 108.3(5); P1–Ru1–P2, 99.93(5); C1–Ru1–P1, 97.94(17); C1–Ru1–P2, 94.01(19).

nylidene complexes.8c Also in ruthenium 4-pyridylacetylide complexes, the dangling pyridine was protonated, methylated, or ligated to tungsten carbonyl fragments to give various pyridiniumacetylide complexes.^{8a} Interestingly, when the alkylation reaction of 1b was carried out in methanol at 60 °C, no reaction was observed. On the other hand, protonation took place when strong acid-like HBF₄ was used. Treatment of 7a, 7b, 7c, and 7d with excess HBF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C produced various dicationic pyridiniumvinylidene complexes 8. However, among these spectroscopically observed pyridiniumvinylidene complexes only complex 8c, with the CH₂CO₂Me group, was isolated. The ³¹P resonance of phosphorus ligands and ¹H resonance of the Cp group of complex 8c resemble that of complex 3. Other protonated products could not be isolated, since the β -hydrogen of the product is relatively acidic, and these revert rapidly to pyridiniumacetylides in the presence of a weak base such as trace amounts of water in the solvent.²⁰

Surprisingly, addition of KPF₆ to an acidic solution of **7b** at room temperature resulted in the formation of the brown dicationic ruthenium vinylidene complex 9b (Scheme 2). A cyclization by the formation of a C-C bond takes place between $C\beta$ of the vinylidene ligand and one of the olefinic carbons, leading to a heterocyclic fused-ring ligand. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **9b** displays a set of doublets of doublets at δ 41.3 and 39.6 with $J_{\rm PP} = 24.6$ Hz due to the presence of a stereogenic center. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows this methine resonance at δ 4.72, while the ¹³C NMR spectrum displays a resonance of this carbon at δ 32.62. The two methylene groups both split into multiplets in the ¹H NMR spectrum (δ 2.14 and 2.42 for NCH₂; δ 2.38 and 2.42 for the other CH₂) because of the adjacent stereogenic center. Finally, the characteristic α -carbon resonance at $\delta_{\rm C}$ of 343.42 and the downfield-shifted Cp resonance at $\delta_{\rm H}$ of 5.77 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ of 97.55 indicate the presence of a vinylidene structure. 2D NMR COSY, HSQC, and HMBC techniques are also applied in the structure determination of 9b. The HSQC spectrum clearly displays C-H cross-peaks of the two methylene groups, and cross-peaks in the HMBC between the methine and two methylene groups clearly indicate their connectivity. The structure of 9b is confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. Figure 5 shows an ORTEP drawing and selected bond lengths and angles of 9b. The Ru(1)-C(1) bond length of 1.820(6) Å and the C(1)-C(2) bond length of 1.330(9)

Å reveal a typical vinylidene skeleton. The Ru(1)–C(1)–C(2) bond angle of $166.0(5)^{\circ}$ is bent from linear arrangement, which is possibly due to the steric effect between the CO₂Me group and two PPh₃ ligands.^{2h} Attempts to promote a similar coupling reaction for complex **7d** failed to yield the desired product, indicating the requirement of the activating ester group in this C–C bond forming reaction.

On the basis of the aforementioned protonation reactions of pyridylacetylides 1 and pyridiniumacetylides 7, it is reasonable to assume that formation of 9b from 7b proceeds via an addition of the activated olefin to $C\beta$, leading to C-C bond formation generating the Ru=C=C vinylidene moiety. Addition of a proton possibly from acid or moisture at the other olefinic carbon atom then gave the final product. This C-C coupling reaction occurs between the internal carbon of the allylic moiety and the acetylide C β without cleavage of the C-N bond. Reactions involving coupling of the allylic terminal carbon with transition metal acetylide complexes have been reported in the literature.²¹ Preparations of transition metal vinylidene complexes with monosubstituted $C\beta$ are well known in the literature. Yet preparation of vinylidenes with quarternary C β directly via highly substituted alkyl halides^{5a,b} were only scarcely demonstrated.²² The established alkylating protocol for metal acetylides provided by Bruce^{11,23} in reactions with alkyl halides is limited to primary ones. To our knowledge, these highly branched ruthenium pyridiniumvinylidenes are unprecedented. Our observation in the formation of 9b unequivocally serves as an alternative approach to prepare $C\beta$, $C\beta$ -disubstituted vinylidenes with a stereogenic center simultaneously generated at $C\gamma$.

Conclusion

Protonation of the two ruthenium pyridylacetylide complexes 1a and 1b gave pyridiniumvinylidene complexes 3a and 3b, respectively. Additions of BF3 to 1a take place at the N atom of the pyridyl group, yielding 4a. This reaction is rationalized by the Lewis acid/base interaction. Subsequent protonation of 4a in the presence of moisture caused substitution of one F in the BF₃ bonded to the 2-pyridyl group of **4a** by an OH group, giving the vinylidene complex 5a. This is followed by a spontaneous cyclization reaction, resulting in the formation of the cationic carbene complex 6a. This spontaneous transformation of 5a to 6a is further confirmed by DFT calculations using model complexes. Analogous vinylidene complex 5b could be obtained from 1b. However, the cyclization process is not observed in the case of complex 5b. Alkylation of 1a and 1b also took place preferentially at the N atom of the pyridyl group, yielding the pyridiniumacetylide complexes 7, which could be protonated to give pyridiniumvinylidene complexes 8 detected

⁽²⁰⁾ Bustelo, E.; Jiménez-Tenorio, M.; Mereiter, K.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1903.

^{(21) (}a) George, D. S. A.; Hilts, R. W.; McDonald, R.; Cowie, M. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 5330. (b) Winter, R. F.; Klinkhammer, K.-W; Záliš, S. Organometallics **2001**, *20*, 1317.

^{(22) (}a) Chang, C. W.; Lin, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Wang, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 4223. (b) Chang, C. W.; Lin, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Huang, S. L.; Wang, Y. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2534. (c) Ipaktschi, J.; Müller, B. G.; Glaum, R. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1044. (d) Birdwhistell, K. R.; Templeton, J. L. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2062. (e) Bruce, M. I.; Koutsantonis, G. A.; Liddell, M. J.; Tiekink, E. R. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 420, 253. (f) Bruce, M. I.; Cifuentes, M. P.; Snow, M. R.; Tiekink, E. R. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 359, 379. (g) Fischer, H.; Scheck, P. A. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1031. (h) Lugan, N.; Kelley, C.; Terry, M. R.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3220. (i) Werner, H.; Schneider, D.; Schulz, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 451, 175.

^{(23) (}a) Davison, A.; Selegue, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7763.
(b) Abbott, S.; Davies, S. G.; Warner, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 246, C65. (c) Bianchini, C.; Peruzzini, M.; Vacca, A.; Zanobini, F. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3697.

spectroscopically. For the pyridiniumacetylide complex 7d, containing an unsaturated functional group CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me on the pyridinium moiety, the C-C coupling of the acetylide $C\beta$ with the C=C double bond yielded 9b.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations were performed under nitrogen using vacuum-line, glovebox, and standard Schlenk techniques unless mentioned otherwise. Hexanes and CH2Cl2 were distilled from CaH₂, diethyl ether and THF from sodium benzophenone ketyl, and methanol from Mg/I2. All other solvents were of reagent grade and were used as received. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance-400 and DMX-500 FT-NMR spectrometers at room temperature (unless stated otherwise) and are reported in units of δ with residual protons in the solvents as a standard (CDCl₃, δ 7.24; d_6 -acetone, δ 2.04). FAB mass spectra were recorded using a JEOL SX-102A spectrometer using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) as the matrix. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet-MAGNA-550 spectrometer. X-ray diffraction studies were carried out at the Regional Center of Analytical Instrument at the National Taiwan University. All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers. RuCl₃ • xH₂O was purchased from Strem Chemicals. Cp(PPh₃)₂RuCl²⁴ was prepared following the method reported in the literature.

Synthesis of $[Ru]C \equiv C(C_5H_3RN)$ (R = H, 1a; R = CH₃, 1b). In a Schlenk flask containing a mixed solvent of CHCl₃/MeOH/ NEt₃ (15:15:1 mL) were added at ambient temperature [Ru]Cl (1.03 g, 1.42 mmol) and 2-ethynyl-6-methylpyridine (406 mg, 3.1 mmol), and the resulting solution was stirred for 18 h. After that the volatiles were removed to obtain an oily product, which was redissolved in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ followed by filtration via a small pack of Celite into 60 mL of methanol. The precipitate thus formed was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum to afford 1b as a yellow powder (824 mg, 72.0% yield). The rest of the methanol solution was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was recrystallized from CH2Cl2/cold pentane to bring about more desired product 1b as a brown microcrystal, 34.09 mg (yield ca. 18.3%). Anal. (%) Calcd for C49H41NP2Ru: C, 72.94; H, 5.12; N, 1.74. Found: C, 72.78; H, 5.10; N, 1.82. FAB mass (m/z): 807.3 (M^+) , 546.1 $(M^+ - PPh_3)$, 468.1, 429.1 ([Cp(PPh₃)Ru]⁺). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 2064.6 (C≡C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.46–7.04 (Ph and Py), 6.70 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Py), 6.43 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 1H, Py), 4.35 (s, 5H, Cp), 2.50 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 132.86, 131.98, 131.22, 128.98 (Py), 138.66-127.35 (Ph), 123.38 (Py), 117.57 (RuCC), 115.38 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 5.2$ Hz, RuC), 86.02 (Cp), 23.39 (CH₃). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ 51.2 (s).

Complex **1a** was prepared in 73% yield from 2-ethynylpyridine following the same procedure as that of **1b**. Spectroscopic data for **1a**: Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₈H₃₉NP₂Ru: C, 72.71; H, 4.96; N, 1.77. Found: C, 71.88; H, 4.91; N, 1.63. ESI mass (*m*/*z*): 794.0 (M⁺ + 1). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.39 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, Py), 7.30 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, Py), 7.43–7.05 (Ph), 6.83 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, Py), 6.64 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, Py), 4.36 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 149.03, 147.81 (Py), 139.11–127.01 (Ph), 125.51 (Py), 124.30 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 25.8 Hz, RuC), 117.73 (Py), 116.52 (RuCC), 85.65 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 51.0.

Synthesis of {[Ru]= $C(OMe)CH_2(C_5H_4N)$ }PF₆ (2a). To a Schlenk flask charged with [Ru]Cl (254.2 mg, 0.35 mmol) and NaPF₆ (56.0 mg, 0.47 mmol) were added 2-ethynylpyridine (0.5 mL, 0.5 mmol) and 35 mL of mixed solvent (CH₂Cl₂/methanol, 3:4, v/v) under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 13 h. After that, volatiles were removed and the solid residue was extracted with 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ followed by

reprecipitation with 60 mL of diethyl ether. The precipitate thus formed was collected in a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. The final product can be obtained as a brown powder and was identified as complex **2a** (255.7 mg, 75% yield). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₉H₄₄F₆NOP₃Ru: C, 60.62; H, 4.57; N, 1.44. Found: C, 60.71; H, 4.71; N, 1.32. FAB mass (*m*/*z*): 826.3 (M⁺), 719.2, 564.1 (M⁺ – PPh₃), 429.1 ([Cp(PPh₃)Ru]⁺). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 1588.9 (Ru=C), 1263.7 (br, C–O). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.26 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.90 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.65 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.96–6.38 (Ph and Py), 4.99 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.78 (s, 5H, Cp), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 306.0 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 12.8 Hz, RuC), 154.77, 148.91, 137.82 (Py) 136.16–127.62 (Ph), 124.49, 122.30 (Py), 91.84 (s, Cp), 64.05 (s, CH₂), 62.23 (s, OCH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46.6.

Protonation of 1a and 1b. Complex **1a** (182.2 mg, 0.23 mmol) was dissolved in 80 mL of diethyl ether. The resulting solution was cooled to 0 °C followed by addition of HBF₄ (54 wt % in diethyl ether, 0.5 mL) via a syringe, and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 day. After that, the precipitate was collected by a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum to afford **3a** as an orange powder (211.7 mg, 95% yield). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₈H₄₁B₂F₈NP₂Ru: C, 59.53; H, 4.27; N, 1.45. Found: C, 59.83; H, 4.10; N, 1.52. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 12.7 (br, 1H, NH), 8.29 (1H, Py), 8.14 (1H, Py), 7.93 (1H, Py), 7.59–6.89 (Ph), 5.90 (s, 1H, =C=CH), 5.51 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 346.41 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 14.6 Hz, RuC), 145.96, 139.47 (Py), 133.22–128.00 (Ph), 125.86, 122.35 (Py), 112.40 (RuCC), 96.58 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 37.3 (s).

Complex **3b** was similarly obtained from **1b** in 91% yield. Spectroscopic data for**3b**: Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₉H₄₃B₂F₈NP₂Ru: C 59.90, H 4.41, N 1.43. Found: C, 59.78; H, 4.50; N, 1.52. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 12.28 (br, 1H, NH), 8.13 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.59 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.60–7.03 (Ph and Py), 6.10 (s, 1H, RuCCH), 5.50 (s, 5H, Cp), 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 37.8 (s).

Synthesis of $[Ru]C \equiv C(C_5H_4N \rightarrow BY_3)$ (Y = F, 4a; Y = H, 4c). At room temperature complex 1a (90.3 mg, 0.11 mmol) was weighted into a Schlenk flask under nitrogen. Diethyl ether (80 mL) was added into the flask via a cannula followed by addition of BF₃-OEt₂ (ca. 48%, 0.02 mL) under nitrogen. The resulting orange cloudy solution was then stirred for 8 h. After that, the mixture was filtered through a glass frit under nitrogen, and the solid was washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to afford 4a as a golden powder (85.9 mg, 88% yield). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₈H₃₉BF₃NP₂Ru: C, 66.99; H, 4.57; N, 1.63. Found: C, 66.79; H, 4.60; N, 1.52. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.29 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.68 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, Py), 7.11–7.39 (Ph), 7.04 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, Py), 6.70 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, Py), 4.54 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 173.98 (t, ² J_{CP} = 23.0 Hz, RuC), 142.53, 139.58, 136.41 (Py), 137.78-127.45 (Ph), 117.15 (Py), 113.08 (RuCC), 87.44 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 50.9. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -152.2.

Complex **4c** was similarly synthesized from **1a** and BH₃–THF in 61% yield. Spectroscopic data for **4c**: Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₈H₄₂BNP₂Ru: C, 71.47; H, 5.25; N, 1.74. Found: C, 71.68; H, 5.10; N, 1.62. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.51 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.07–7.44 (Ph and Py), 6.82 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Py), 4.51 (s, 5H, Cp), 2.5–3.5 (br, 3H, BH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 153.47 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 23.5 Hz, RuC), 147.37, 143.20, 136.55, 128.23 (Py), 138.71–127.39 (Ph), 117.03 (Py), 115.39 (RuCC), 86.58 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 51.5.

Synthesis of {[Ru]=C=C(H)(C₅H₃RN→BF₂OH)}BF₄ (5a, R = H; 5b; R = Me). To a Schlenk flask containing a CH₂Cl₂ solution (25 mL) of complex 1a (139.8 mg, 0.18 mmol) was added BF₃-OEt₂ (1.25 mL) at room temperature, and the resulting solution was stirred for 12 h, while the color of the solution turned from yellow to orange-red. Then volatiles of the solution were removed

⁽²⁴⁾ Bruce, M. I.; Hameister, C.; Swincer, A. G.; Wallis, R. C. Inorg. Synth. 1990, 28, 270.

-	Table 1.	Crystal Data and	Refinement	Parameters for	Complexes 1	b. 6a.	7c. and 9b
---	----------	------------------	------------	----------------	-------------	--------	------------

	1b	6a	7c	9b
formula	C49H41NP2Ru	$C_{52}H_{51}B_2F_6NO_2P_2Ru$	C53H50BrCl2NO3P2Ru	$C_{58}H_{57}F_{12}NO_3P_4Ru$
mass (amu)	806.84	1020.57	1062.76	1269.00
space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
a (Å)	8.83500(10)	10.34010(10)	11.4830(3)	12.0479(2)
b (Å)	18.3860(2)	18.5289(2)	11.9140(3)	15.3359(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	24.0340(2)	25.4882(2)	20.4030(4)	16.6007(3)
α (deg)	90	90	95.1040(10)	72.3710(10)
β (deg)	94.5170(1)	92.3180(10)	103.5170(10)	81.1360(10)
γ (deg)	90	90	112.4140(10)	86.1200(10)
$V(Å^3); Z$	3891.96(7); 4	4879.31(8); 4	2459.21(10); 2	2887.56(8); 2
θ range (deg)	1.40 to 27.49	1.60 to 27.49	1.05 to 27.47	1.71 to 27.46
no. of data	27 035	33 479	15 898	23 576
no. of indep data	8922	11 035	10 814	13 211
R1 for $I > 2\sigma(I)$	0.0387	0.0513	0.0512	0.0877
wR2, all data	0.1140	0.1560	0.1575	0.2862
goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.124	1.090	1.094	1.110

under vacuum, and 60 mL of diethyl ether was added into the flask. The resulting mixtures were stirred vigorously under nitrogen for 1.5 h. Precipitates thus formed were collected by a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo to give complex **5a** as a pale orange powder (120.9 mg, 72%). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₈H₄₁B₂F₆NOP₂Ru: C, 60.91; H, 4.37; N, 1.48. Found: C, 60.74; H, 4.10; N, 1.48. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 12.33 (br, 1H, OH), 8.14 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, Py), 7.71 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, Py), 7.43–6.95 (Ph and Py), 5.90 (s, 1H, =C=CH), 5.49 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 344.56 (t, ²J_{CP} = 15.7 Hz, RuC), 146.02, 145.94, 139.46 (Py), 133.48–126.50 (Ph), 125.93, 122.42 (Py), 112.46 (RuCC), 96.62 (Cp). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 37.6 (s). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –149.6 (br, BF₂), -150.7 (BF₄).

Complex **5b** was prepared using the same procedure as that of **5a** in 79% yield. Spectroscopic data for **5b**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 12.14 (br, 1H, OH), 7.99–7.67 (m, Py), 7.54–6.99 (Ph and Py), 6.09 (s, 1H, =CH), 5.46 (s, 5H, Cp), 2.58 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 345.82 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 16.2 Hz, RuC), 151.96, 145.77, 145.46 (Py), 134.58–128.55 (Ph), 123.05, 122.59 (Py), 112.48 (RuCC), 96.44 (Cp), 19.47 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 37.7 (s). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -150.4 (BF₄).

Synthesis of $\{[Ru]=C(O)CH_2(C_5H_4NBF_2)\}BF_4$ (6a). To a 10 mL chloroform solution of 2-ethynylpyridine (0.4 mL, 3.96 mmol) at room temperature was added an aliquot of BF₃-OEt₂ (ca. 48%) in ether, 2 mL, 7.57 mmol). The solution was stirred for 10 min under nitrogen. Subsequently the solution was transferred to a 25 mL chloroform solution containing [Ru]Cl (514.3 mg, 0.71 mmol) under nitrogen. After stirring for 2 days the solvent of the resulting solution was removed and the crude product was extracted with CH2Cl2. The solution was concentrated and added into diethyl ether, and the precipitate thus formed was collected by a glass frit, washed with diethyl ether and hexane, and dried under vacuum to afford the yellow product 6a (485.2 mg; 85% yield). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₉H₄₃B₂F₆NOP₂Ru: C, 61.27; H, 4.51; N, 1.46. Found: C, 61.51; H, 4.60; N, 1.42. FAB mass (m/z): 860.4 (M⁺). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v 1350.5 (vCO), 1081.9 (m, BF), 1030.2 (m, BF). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.46 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Py), 8.30 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Py), 8.03 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.51 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.51 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Py), 7. Hz, 1H, Py), 7.31-6.95 (Ph), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.94 (s, 5H, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 292.65 (t, ² J_{CP} = 12.7 Hz, RuC), 148.54, 145.31, 140.21 (Py), 135.96-128.04 (Ph), 126.60, 124.16 (Py), 92.18 (Cp), 63.11 (CH₂). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46.9 (s). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -155.8 (br, 2F, BF₂), -152.4 (4F, BF₄).

Synthesis of Complexes {[Ru]C \equiv C(C₅H₃RNCH₂R')}X (R = H, R' = CH=CHCO₂CH₃, 7a; R = Me, R' = CH=CHCO₂CH₃, 7b; R = Me, R' = CO₂CH₃, 7c; R = Me, R' = CH=CH₂, 7d). Two synthetic methods are used. Method A for 7d: an aliquot of allyl iodide (1 mL) was added into a 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1b (507 mg, 0.63 mmol) under nitrogen, and the solution was stirred at 0 °C overnight. The volume of the resulting solution was reduced

to 5 mL and was added into 50 mL of diethyl ether. A bright yellow precipitate thus formed was filtered through a glass frit, washed three times with diethyl ether and hexane, and dried under vacuum to obtain complex 7d (432 mg, 81% yield). Method B: to a Schlenk flask containing 50 mL of a diethyl ether solution of 1b (47.5 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added 0.1 mL of allyl iodide and the resulting solution stirred overnight. The golden precipitate thus formed was collected, washed, and dried under vacuum to afford 7d. The yield is comparable. Anal. (%) Calcd for C₅₂H₄₆INP₂Ru: C, 64.07; H, 4.76; N, 1.44. Found: C, 64.18; H, 4.60; N, 1.52. FAB mass (m/z): 848 (M⁺). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.68 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.41–7.05 (Ph and Py), 6.57 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, Py), 5.89 (s, 2H, CH₂), 5.03 (s, 1H, =CH), 4.45 (s, 5H, Cp), 3.71 (s, 2H, =CH₂), 2.82 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 181.33 (t, ²J_{CP} = 22.5 Hz, RuC), 166.86 (=CH₂), 152.97, 141.26, 139.26 (Py), 137.40-127.75 (Ph), 127.38, 120.53 (Py), 114.32 (RuCC), 90.82 (=CH), 87.05 (Cp), 55.01 (CH₂), 53.18 (CH₂), 22.46 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 49.8.

Complexes **7a**, **7b**, and **7c** were prepared following the same procedure as that in **7d**. Spectroscopic data for **7a** (method B, in 85% yield): Anal. (%) Calcd for $C_{53}H_{46}BrNO_2P_2Ru$: C, 65.50; H, 4.77; N, 1.44. Found: C, 65.74; H, 4.81; N, 1.32. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 9.30 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.72 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.40–6.89 (Ph and Py), 6.75 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Py), 6.17 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 15.6 Hz, 1H, =CH), 5.89 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 4.45 (s, 5H, Cp), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 181.15 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 22.6 Hz, RuC), 165.56, 141.39, 140.05 (Py), 137.41–127.23 (Ph), 124.56, 118.82 (Py), 113.39 (RuCC), 86.85 (Cp), 57.01 (NCH₂), 51.60 (OCH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 49.5.

Spectroscopic data for **7b** (method B, in 98% yield): Anal. (%) Calcd for $C_{54}H_{48}BrNO_2P_2Ru$: C 65.79, H 4.91, N 1.42. Found: C, 65.78; H, 5.04; N, 1.41. FAB mass (*ml*₂): 906.3 (M⁺), 719.3, 645.3, 429.0. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.62–6.98 (Ph, =CH and Py), 6.66 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Py), 6.03 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2.7 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 5.77 (m, 1H, =CHCO₂Me), 4.47 (s, 5H, Cp), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.91 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 180.27 (t, ²J_{CP} = 22.5 Hz,RuC), 165.35 (C=O), 152.48, 140.86, 140.15 (Py), 137.40–127.24 (Ph), 122.81, 120.69 (Py), 114.18 (RuCC), 97.50 (=CH), 90.78 (=CH), 86.93 (Cp), 54.62 (CH₂), 51.69 (OCH₃), 22.08 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 49.6.

Spectroscopic data for **7c** (method A, in 86% yield): Anal. (%) calcd for $C_{52}H_{46}BrNO_2P_2Ru$: C, 65.07; H, 4.83; N, 1.46. Found: C, 65.17; H, 4.91; N, 1.48. FAB mass (*m*/*z*): 880.1 (M⁺ + 1). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.26–7.12 (Ph and Py), 6.56 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Py), 5.96 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.46 (s, 5H, Cp), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.87 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 181.76 (t, ²*J*_{CP} = 22.3 Hz, RuC), 167.54 (C=O), 153.74, 141.90, 139.95 (Py), 138.06–128.37 (Ph), 128.00, 121.18 (Py), 114.95 (RuCC), 87.68 (Cp), 55.76 (CH₂), 53.78 (OCH₃), 23.15 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 49.8.

Synthesis of {[Ru]=C=C(H)(C₅H₃MeNCH₂CO₂CH₃)}(BF₄)₂ (8c). To a Schlenk flask containing a solution of 7c (45.5 mg, 0.05 mmol) in 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C was added HBF₄ (54 wt % diethyl ether solution, 0.1 mL) via a syringe. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature in 10 min, and the color of the solution turned from yellow to brown. Volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the residue was washed with diethyl ether. A brown solid thus formed was collected and dried in vacuo to afford 8c (38.3 mg, 77% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.20 (t, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, Py), 7.72–6.98 (Ph, Py), 5.85 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Py), 5.58 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.01 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 4.27 (m, 1H, =C=CH), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 341.77 (t, ³J_{CP} = 14.6 Hz, RuC), 189.28 (C=O), 153.58–129.03 (Ph, Py), 101.38 (RuCC), 97.82 (Cp), 54.03 (OCH₃), 19.85 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 38.9.

Transformation of Complex 7b to 9b. A CHCl₃ solution (4 mL) containing complex 7b (80.4 mg, 0.08 mmol) and KPF₆ (33.9 mg, 0.18 mmol) was stirred at ambient atmosphere for 3 days. Volatiles were removed and the residue was extracted with CH2Cl2 (10 mL), which was added into diethyl ether to form a precipitate, which was collected, washed with diethyl ether and cold THF, and dried under vacuum to afford 9b as a brown powder (48.4 mg, 50% yield). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₅₄H₄₉F₁₂NO₂P₄Ru: C, 54.19; H, 4.13; N, 1.17. Found: C, 54.07; H, 4.10; N, 1.12. FAB mass (m/z): 906.4 (M⁺ + 1), 726.1, 644.2 (M²⁺ - PPh₃), 429 ([Cp(PPh₃)Ru]⁺). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.40–6.90 (Ph and Py), 5.77 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.59 (m, 1H, NCH), 4.72 (br, 1H, CH), 4.42 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 11.3$ Hz, 1H, NCH), 3.53 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.74 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.42 (m, 2H) and 2.38 (dd, $J_{\rm HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 1H, CH), 2.14 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 16.1$ Hz, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 343.42 (t, ³ J_{CP} = 13.4 Hz, RuC), 171.38 (CO), 152.21, 149.21, 143.44 (Py), 133.87-128.43 (Ph), 124.75, 120.70 (Py), 97.55 (Cp), 61.03 (NCH₂), 52.01 (OCH₃), 39.59 (CH₂), 32.62 (CH), 20.79 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.3, 39.6 (2 d, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 24.6$ Hz).

X-ray Structure Determinations. Details of the structure analyses carried out on complexes **1b**, **6a**, **7c**, and **9b** are given in Table 1. A single crystal of **1b** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study was glued to a glass fiber and mounted on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer. The diffraction data were collected using 3 kW sealed-tube molybdenum K α radiation (T = 295 K). Exposure time was 5 s per frame. Multiscan absorption correction was applied, and decay was negligible. Data were processed, and the structures were solved and refined by the SHELXTL program.²⁵ The structure

was solved using direct methods and confirmed by Patterson methods, and refining on intensities of all data gave R1 and wR2 for unique observed reflections ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). Hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically using the riding model with thermal parameters set to 1.2 times that for the atoms to which the hydrogen is attached and 1.5 times that for the methyl hydrogens. Structures of complexes **6a**, **7c**, and **9b** were similarly determined. Table 1 lists the crystal data and refinement parameters for complexes **1b**, **6a**, **7c**, and **9b**.

Computational Methods. Theoretical calculations have been carried out using the Gaussian 03 program¹⁷ at the DFT level by means of the Becke 3 and Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) composite exchange-correlation functional.¹⁶ The LanL2DZ basis sets was used throughout the calculation, where for the Ru atom the innermost electrons are replaced by a relativistic ECP and the 18 valence electrons are explicitly treated by a double- ξ basis set. Full geometry optimization without symmetry restrictions was performed for all structure. Before performing optimization of ground-state geometries at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory, the molecular structures were initially optimized at the semiempirical PM3²⁶ level of calculation. Harmonic frequencies were calculated at the optimization level using the same basis sets, and the nature of the stationary points was determined in each case according to the right number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix. For each of the stationary points, the presence of one imaginary frequency indicates a transition state, while no imaginary frequency indicates a local minimum. The intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) pathways²⁷ from the transition structures have been followed using a second-order integration method²⁸ to verify the expected connections of the first-order saddle points with the correct local minima found on the potential energy surface.

Acknowledgment. This research is supported by the National Science Council and National Center for High-Performance Computing of Taiwan, Republic of China.

Supporting Information Available: Complete crystallographic data for **1b**, **6a**, **7c**, and **9b** (CIF) and detailed computational results. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM800253E

⁽²⁵⁾ *SHELXTL*: Structure Analysis Program, version 5.04; Siemens Industrial Automation Inc.: Madison, WI, 1995.

^{(26) (}a) Stewart, J. J. P. J. Comput. Chem. 1989, 10, 207. (b) Stewart, J. J. P. J. Comput. Chem. 1989, 10, 221.

⁽²⁷⁾ Fukui, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 363.

⁽²⁸⁾ Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 5523.