Effects of methylprednisolone on femoral bone marrow: age-dependent susceptibility
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Purpose: We aimed to test our primary hypothesis that the effects of methylprednisolone on bone marrow in chickens are age-sensitive and increase with prolonged treatment and our secondary hypothesis that the effects of methylprednisolone on bone marrow can have individual effects.

Methods: Sixteen control (group A) and 29 methylprednisolone-treated (group B) chickens were categorised by age: pubertal chicks (subgroups A1, B1), young hens (A2, B2), and adult hens (A3, B3). Histologic evaluation 12 to 50 weeks after the start of methylprednisolone treatment included fat cell proliferation, trabecular bone loss, necrosis of bone and marrow, and new bone formation in the femoral head, neck, and intertrochanteric area.

Results: There were significant differences between groups A1 and B1 in new bone formation in the femoral neck (P = 0.048) and fat cell proliferation in the femoral head (P = 0.008) and neck (P = 0.048). New bone formation in the femoral head was also significantly different (P = 0.023) between groups A2 and B2. No differences were noted between groups A3 and B3 (all P>0.05). Necrosis of bone and marrow was observed in four control and three methylprednisolone-treated chickens (P>0.05). Significant new bone formation and fat cell proliferation in pubertal and young chickens occurred 12 to 19 weeks after administration of high-dose methylprednisolone.

Conclusions: Younger animals may be more susceptible to methylprednisolone, and responses to methylprednisolone in femoral marrow may vary among individuals.
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functional loading to the area of insult in human anatomy, biped chickens have been administered weekly intra-
muscular injections of methylprednisolone (3 mg/kg) for
14 (20) or 24 weeks (3), but still no femoral head collapse
was observed.
Osteonecrosis can be induced after either high- or low-
dose short-term administration of corticosteroids (13, 17).
Osteonecrosis induced by corticosteroids has been rec-
ognised mainly in the metaphysis and diaphysis in animals
(3, 13, 21, 22). In rabbits, treatment with methylprednisolone,
but not prednisolone or triamcinolone, significantly
increases the incidence of osteonecrosis (23).

We tested our primary hypothesis that the effects of meth-
ylprednisolone on bone marrow are age-sensitive and
increase with prolonged treatment in chickens. Our sec-
ondary hypothesis was that the effects of methylpredniso-
loné on bone marrow vary among individuals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Na-
tional Taiwan University managed by Taiwan Advance
Bio-Pharmaceutical Inc. approved the breeding of the ani-
mals and the protocol of this study.
This was a case-control study to test the response of
methylprednisolone on femoral bone marrow in chickens
of different ages. Animals were also eligible for a separate
study, not reported here, in which their intraosseous cir-
culation was assessed by dynamic magnetic resonance
imaging (MRI). A total of 57 white female Leghorn chickens
(weight, 1.8 to 3.5 kg) were initially recruited. Animals were
weighed at the beginning of the experiment and on the first
day of each week. The induction dosage of methylpredniso-
loné was adjusted accordingly. All chickens were housed
in well-ventilated cages and fed with a standard diet
(100 g/day/chicken) and water. For infection prophylaxis,
all chickens received penicillin 20,000 U/kg in a single dose
every other day and streptomycin 50 mg/kg twice a week.
All animal husbandry and handling procedures followed
the standard operating procedures of our institution for
laboratory animal breeding and management.
The animals were divided into two main groups. In group A
(control), 16 animals were divided into three subgroups by
age at recruitment: four in subgroup A1 (pubertal chicks),
eight in A2 (young hens), and four in A3 (adult hens). This
group received no methylprednisolone intervention. In

TABLE I - AGE GROUPS AND TREATMENTS OF 45 CHICK-
ENS*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Age at recruitment (weeks)</th>
<th>Age at sacrifice (weeks, mean ± SD)*</th>
<th>Methylprednisolone administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16 ± 9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61 ± 16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
<td>9 mg/kg every other day × 19 weeks (66 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3 mg/kg/week × 12 weeks (12 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
<td>3 mg/kg/week × 19 weeks (19 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51 ± 1</td>
<td>3 mg/kg/week × 19 weeks (19 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>69 ± 1</td>
<td>3 mg/kg/week × 40 weeks (40 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2d</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68 ± 0</td>
<td>9 mg/kg every other day × 38 weeks (130 doses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>102 ± 3</td>
<td>9 mg/kg every other day × 50 weeks (172 doses)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(9 specimens were excluded from the analysis (6 chickens died, 3 inadequate specimens).
The proximal two-thirds of each femur was dissected and fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin for three days, then decalcified in 5% formic acid solution. After decalcification, the right femurs were cut in half longitudinally on a coronal plane, and the left femurs were cut at the femoral head, neck and intertrochanteric area on an axial plane. The specimens were then embedded in paraffin, cut into four to six 3 to 4 µm serial sections (frontal, one to three; head, neck, intertrochanter, one for each) with a microtome (Shandon Retraction AS 325), and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

A senior pathologist, who has 15 years' experience in skeletal pathology, interpreted all specimens without knowledge of the animals' age or group. The histology changes observed as consistent between serial sections were classified as fat cell proliferation (proliferation of bone marrow adipocytes) (10), trabecular bone loss (thinning of bone trabeculae or osteoporosis) (10), necrosis of bone and marrow (uniform loss of lacunar osteocytes or empty lacunae accompanied by marrow cell debris) (1, 10, 13), and new bone formation (new appositional bone repair) (1) in the femoral head, neck, and intertrochanteric area. The severity of these findings was graded as 0 (few or subtle), I (many or obvious), and II (numerous or diffuse) (25).

Statistical analysis used Fisher's exact test to determine any significant difference between control and methylprednisolone-induced animals. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The statistical power reached 0.803 (26).

RESULTS

Groups A1 and B1 (pubertal chicks) showed statistically significant differences: in the femoral neck, there was new bone formation in all five of the methylprednisolone-treated chickens while of the four control chickens, one was classified as severity I and one as severity II (P = 0.048); there was fat cell proliferation in all of the methylprednisolone-treated group, one was classified as severity I and one as severity II (P = 0.048) (Figs. 1, 2); in the femoral head there was fat cell proliferation in all chickens in the treated group and none in the control group (P = 0.008).

In groups A2 and B2 (young hens), only new bone formation in the femoral head was statistically significantly different between methylprednisolone-induced groups (19/19, 100%) and control chickens (6/8, 75%) (P = 0.02). This was not significantly related to the different durations of methylprednisolone intervention among subgroup B2 animals (B2a vs A2, B2b vs. A2, B2c vs A2, all P>0.05).
In the adult hens (groups A3 and B3), there were no statistically significant differences in different histologic parameters at any of the three anatomic sites (all P>0.05).

Necrosis of bone and marrow was noted in three treated young hens (B1, 1/5; B2a, 1/6; B2b, 1/6) and four control chickens (A2, 1/8; A3, 3/4) (Fig. 3), but differences did not reach statistical significance (P>0.05). In the femoral head there was necrosis of bone and marrow in one chicken (Tab. II). One chicken treated for 19 weeks had a cortical fracture with reparative response. One control chicken (age at sacrifice, 102 weeks) showed articular collapse of the right femoral head.

In group A, there were no statistically significant differences among the three age groups in the different histological parameters at three anatomic femoral sites (all P>0.05) (Tab. II).

![Fig. 3 - Photomicrographs of the femoral intertrochanteric area of: A) control adult chicken; and B) experimental young chicken after 19 weeks of steroid injection. (A) The section shows mild marrow necrosis. There is obvious proliferation of fat (H & E). (B) The section shows mild trabecular necrosis with focal collapse (H & E).](image)

**TABLE II - INCIDENCE OF HISTOLOGIC FINDINGS AT DIFFERENT FEMORAL SITES IN CONTROL VERSUS METHYLPREDNISOLONE-TREATED CHICKENS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control (n=16)</th>
<th>Treated (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>A-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis of bone and marrow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>4 0 0 8 0 0 3 1 0</td>
<td>5 0 0 19 0 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>4 0 0 7 1 0 3 1 0</td>
<td>4 1 0 19 0 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrochanter</td>
<td>4 0 0 7 1 0 2 2 0</td>
<td>4 1 0 17 2 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular bone loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>4 0 0 6 2 0 4 0 0</td>
<td>5 0 0 18 1 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>4 0 0 7 1 0 4 0 0</td>
<td>5 0 0 18 1 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrochanter</td>
<td>4 0 0 6 2 0 4 0 0</td>
<td>5 0 0 17 2 0 5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New bone formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>3 1 0 2 4 2 0 4 0</td>
<td>2 3 0 0 17 2 2 3 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>2 1 1 3 4 0 3 1 0</td>
<td>0 5 0 9 10 0 3 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrochanter</td>
<td>2 1 1 1 3 4 0 2 2</td>
<td>0 2 3 0 6 13 0 3 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat cell proliferation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>4 0 0 6 2 0 2 2 0</td>
<td>0 5 0 13 5 1 0 4 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>1 1 2 5 2 1 3 1 0</td>
<td>0 5 0 9 10 0 2 3 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrochanter</td>
<td>0 2 2 2 4 2 1 3 0</td>
<td>0 5 0 4 9 6 0 4 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Graded as 0 (few or subtle), I (many or obvious), and II (numerous or diffuse).
†: B-2 includes B-2a, B-2b, B-2c and B-2d.
Bold indicates a statistically significant difference of age-matched control versus treated group:
A represents A-1 versus B-1, P = 0.0476.
B represents A-1 versus B-1, P = 0.0079.
C represents A-1 versus B-1, P = 0.0476.
D represents A-2 versus B-2, P = 0.0226.
DISCUSSION

Our results showed significant differences in new bone formation and fat cell proliferation in the bone marrow of the femoral head and neck in pubertal and young treated chickens 12 weeks after induction by methylprednisolone. Necrosis of bone and marrow was also observed in this time window in the pubertal and young group in contrast to the young and adult control group. There was a wide range of individual susceptibility to methylprednisolone.

We did not examine the animals before 12 weeks after corticosteroid administration, so we were unable to assess differences between groups in initial bone changes such as trabecular bone loss (or osteoporosis). However, with longer follow-up (19 weeks), our study suggests that trabecular bone loss can be overcome by reparative new bone formation, which may prevent further development to articular collapse. We did not examine all sites of long bone, but rather the proximal two-thirds of the femur. However, even in biped chickens, used to mimic the human weight-bearing course of osteonecrosis of the femoral head, the result was less than expected.

This study was the first attempt to test age-matched control and experimental animals with a histological grading scoring system. The lack of further quantitative analysis, such as use of micro-computed tomography to assess the bone changes, is a potential limitation, and interobserver and intraobserver variation may have led to bias. There could have been more fractures that were missed without radiographic analysis. Lack of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay to observe cell viability and genetic analysis to determine whether the response of methylprednisolone on femoral bone marrow will develop in a particular subject were also limitations. The population size in each subgroup was relatively small, and a larger number of animals may lead to better statistical power. Differences in loading, ambulation and biomechanics between the chickens and the human represent a limitation of the animal models. The cause of osteonecrosis in our adult control chickens is obscure. The reason that no osteonecrosis occurred in adult chickens treated with corticosteroid over a long period is also not known. Our study monitored the effects of methylprednisolone injection for as long as 50 weeks, during which no necrosis of the bone and marrow resulted. One previous study reported that neither rabbits nor rats showed osteonecrosis in the femoral and humeral heads nine months after corticosteroid administration. This could be due to a reversible repair process before necrosis of bone and marrow occurred. Results of our study indicated no induction of osteonecrosis in adult chickens with a long duration of high-dose corticosteroid. We could also reasonably suspect that the effects of methylprednisolone on pubertal or young animals may be a transient effect.

Bouteiller et al (30) in 1983 first attempted to use biped geese for the induction of osteonecrosis of the femoral head, with administration of high-dose corticosteroid for 22 weeks, but no marrow necrosis resulted. Later Cui et al (3) and Erken et al (20) gave chickens weekly
intramuscular injections of methylprednisolone (3 mg/kg) for 24 weeks, but no femoral head collapse was reported. A single high-dose (20 mg/kg) methylprednisolone injection was found to be capable of inducing multifocal osteonecrosis over four weeks and appositional bone formation over 10 weeks in rabbits (13). A low-dose (0.8 mg) course of methylprednisolone via daily or alternate-day injections can induce osteocyte death, necrotic debris, osteoporosis, and intravascular fat emboli in the femur of rabbits over 18 weeks (17).

Most previous animal studies have conducted histopathologic examinations at six to 20 weeks after corticosteroid treatment (13, 19). Osteonecrosis can be observed as early as four weeks after corticosteroid induction in rabbits, and thereafter the lacunae are gradually replaced by reparative tissue (13). In studies of chickens, fat cell proliferation became clearly distinguishable after one week (3) and three weeks (20); empty lacunae and focal marrow necrosis were noted at six and 12 weeks (3, 20), whereas large areas of subchondral bone death with new bone formation were found in four of 12 chickens at 12 and 24 weeks in Cui’s study (3) and 24 out of 26 chickens at 14 weeks in Erken’s study (20). Similarly, in our study, at the first follow-up time point 12 weeks after corticosteroid administration, significantly different new bone formation and fat cell proliferation were revealed, as compared to the control animals. Therefore, the effects of methylprednisolone can be more reliably observed after relatively short-term, high-dose administration limited to pubertal and young chickens rather than long-term regimens in adult chickens.

Previous reports have indicated that osteonecrosis-prone sites may differ in animal species (13). Osteonecrosis has only been recognised in the metaphysis and diaphysis (3, 13, 21), as in our study, and rarely in the epiphysis of the femur and humerus in animals (5, 16, 31). In our study, one control adult chicken showed unilateral articular collapse. Predisposing factors could include immunosuppressive viruses and non-infective bone disorders (32).

In summary, in this study, we observed that methylprednisolone-induced necrosis of bone and marrow can occur in pubertal and young chickens, with a short course of induction, and that these findings can be an individual effect in chickens. We also observed sporadic events: a cortical fracture in a methylprednisolone-induced young chicken and articular collapse in a control adult chicken. Administration of high-dose methylprednisolone for 12 to 19 weeks can induce significant new bone formation and fat cell proliferation in the femoral head and neck in pubertal and young chickens. Younger animals may be more susceptible to methylprednisolone, and responses to methylprednisolone in femoral marrow may vary among individuals.
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