# Optimization of the Viability of Probiotics in a New Fermented Milk Drink by the Genetic Algorithms for Response Surface Modeling

M.-J. CHEN, K.-N. CHEN, AND C.-W. LIN

ABSTRACT: Calcium gluconate (0.0 to 0.5%), sodium gluconate (0.0 to 1.0%), and N-acetylglucosamine (0.0 to 1.0%) were added to skim milk to retain the viability of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium longum*. To carry out response surface modeling, the regression method was performed on experimental results to build mathematical models. The models were then formulated as an objective function in an optimization problem that was consequently optimized using a genetic algorithm approach to obtain the maximum viability of the probiotics. The genetic algorithms (GAs) were examined to search for the optimal value. The results indicated that GAs were very effective for optimizing the activity of probiotic cultures.

Keywords: probiotics, optimization, genetic algorithms, response surface modeling

#### Introduction

L ACTOBACILLUS ACIDOPHILUS AND BIFIDOBACTERIA MUST RETAIN viability and activity in food carriers to meet the suggested "therapeutic minimum" at the time of consumption (Playne 1994). Several factors have been claimed to affect the viability of probiotic cultures in fermented milk products. The culture conditions, chemical composition of the fermentation medium (for example, carbohydrate source), final acidity, growth promoters and inhibitors, incubation temperature, and fermentation time and storage temperature have all been identified as having effects on the manufacturing and storage of yogurt (Young and Nelson 1978; Hamman and Marth 1983; Kneifel and others 1993; Lankaputhra and Shah 1995).

In food microbiology, during the past few years, much effort has been directed to developing models describing the combined effects of the factors for microbe growth. Response surface methodology (RSM) is a collection of statistical and mathematical techniques useful for developing, improving, and optimizing processes. The main advantage of RSM is the reduced number of experimental trials needed to evaluate multiple parameters and their interactions (Porretta and others 1995; Lee and others 2000). It was successfully used for application in finding the optimum producing conditions of the dairy product Kou Woan Lao (Weng and others 2001). The experimental data were used to build mathematical models using the regression method. Once an appropriate approximating model is obtained, this model may be analyzed to determine the optimum conditions for the process.

Genetic algorithms (GAs) are search procedures that imitate the natural evolution process and can be used for the computation of the global maximum or minimum of a function (Mitchell 1996). Genetic algorithms differ from other search techniques in that they search among a population of points and use probabilistic rather than deterministic transition rules. As a result, genetic algorithms search more globally (Wang 1997). More details regarding GAs will be included in the next section.

The purpose of this research was to study the addition of calcium gluconate (0.0 to 0.5%), sodium gluconate (0.0 to 1.0%) and N-

acetylglucosamine (0.0 to 1.0%) in milk to optimize the viability of the probiotics by RSM and genetic algorithms. The experimental data were used to build mathematical models using the regression method. The mathematical models were formulated as an objective function (as in an optimization problem) and were optimized using the genetic algorithm approach to obtain the maximum viability for the probiotics. The endpoint was to provide a new optimization method to improve the growth of probiotics in dairy products.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Preparation of fermented milk drink

The milk used in the experiments was reconstituted milk (12% total solids, Anchor, New Zealand), heat treated at 85 °C for 30 min. Samples were prepared using 100 mL of skim milk, mixed with 4% of isomaltooligosaccharides and the growth promoters (calcium gluconate, Cheng-Fung Co., Taiwan; sodium gluconate, Nacalai Tesque, Japan; and N-acetylglucosamine, Sigma, Germany), which was inoculated with 1% *L. acidophilus* and 2% *B. longum* suspensions and fermented for 10 h at 37 °C.

#### Cultures and medium performance

Pure lyophilized cultures of *B. longum* (CCRC 14605) and *L. acidophilus* (CCRC 14079) were purchased from the Culture Collection and Research Center, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan, R.O.C. Lactobacilli MRS (deMan, Rogosa, and Sharp, Merck, (Darmstadt, Germany) and Lithium propionate MRS agar (LP-MRS, Merck) were used as the selective media (Lapierre and others 1992).

#### Activity determination

For determination of the viabilities of the probiotics, the populations of *B. longum* and *L. acidophilus* were measured as colony-forming units (CFU) and by the amount of  $\beta$ -galatosidase produced by them.

The suitability of the media was tested by plating decimal dilutions of the probiotic cultures. Thus, a 1-g sample of each pure lyophilized culture was decimally diluted into sterile peptone water (0.1%) and then 0.1-mL aliquot dilutions were plated onto the different media, in triplicate. Plates of MRS agar were incubated aerobically for 72 h at 37 °C to inhibit bifidobacteria. Plates of LP-MRS agar were incubated anaerobically (72 h at 37 °C, GasPak System-Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). The population in colony-forming units (CFU) and the characteristics of the colonies were recorded for each medium.

 $\beta$ -galatosidase activity was measured by determining the rate of hydrolysis of *o*-nitrophenol- $\beta$ -galatopyranoside as described by Yu and others (1987). Hydrolysis of this substrate results in the release of *o*-nitrophenol, a highly chromogenic compound that can be detected spectrophotometrically. One unit of enzyme activity released 1 µmol/L of *o*-nitrophenol/mL.

#### **Experiment design**

To carry out response surface modeling, the regression method was performed on experimental results to build mathematical models. The models were then formulated as an objective function in an optimization problem that was consequently optimized using a genetic algorithm approach to obtain the maximum viability of the probiotics.

According to Mitsuoka and others (1987) and our screening test, the viabilities of *L. acidophilus* and *B. longum* were affected by 3 independent variables: calcium gluconate (0.0 to 0.5%), sodium gluconate (0.0 to 1.0%), and N-acetylglucosamine (0.0 to 1.0%). Among the 3 growth promoters, calcium gluconate is now a very popular ingredient in Taiwan because it promotes bifidobacteria and fortifies calcium. However, according to our pretest, milk started to coagulate and produced a bitter taste when the concentration of added calcium gluconate was higher than 0.5%. Therefore, the upper limit for calcium gluconate was set to 0.5% in this study.

**Response surface modeling.** A 3-variable and 3-level design method with 6 replicates at the center point was selected to build response surface models (Box and Behnken 1960). The coded and uncoded variables and their respective level are shown in Table 1. The RSM procedure of the Design-Expert® software package (Stat-Ease, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn., U.S.A., 2000) was used to fit the experimental data to polynomial equations of order 1 through 3 to obtain coefficients. The following linear relationship achieved this.

$$Y_i = f_i(X_1, X_2, X_3) + \epsilon_i$$
  $i = 1, 2, 3$  (1)

where  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ ,  $Y_3$  were the observed numbers of *L. acidophilus*, *B. longum* and  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity, respectively.  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  represented the modeled response surfaces.  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , defined as natural variables, were the concentrations of N-acetylglucosamine, Cagluconate, and Na-gluconate, respectively.  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_2$ ,  $\epsilon_3$  were the errors in each model. With RSM, it is convenient to transform the natural variables to coded variables  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ , where the coded variables are defined as dimensionless, with mean zero and the same spread or standard deviation:

$$Y_{i} = f_{i}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}) + \epsilon_{i} i = 1, 2, 3$$
(2)

Genetic algorithms (GAs). The GAs provide a very flexible framework and recently have been regarded as not only a global optimization method but also a multi-objective optimization method in various areas. Generally, the algorithmis can be described as follows (Goldberg 1989; Mitchell 1996):

**I. Encoding:** GA works with the coding of the parameters. The methods of parameter coding that have often been used are binary encodings and real-valued encodings. Binary encodings are most

commonly used. A l-bit binary variable is used to represent 1 parameter  $X_{i}$ , i = 1,...,q, where q is the number of parameters.

**II. Initial population:** The population consists of *N* chromosomes, that is, arrays of  $q \times l$  binary bits (binary encoding GAs). The initial population of chromosomes is randomly generated. A chromosome represents a set of experimental variables (growth promoters) in our study.

**III. Selection for reproduction:** Selection for reproduction is the operation that couples of chromosomes are selected from the current population. The chromosomes with higher fitness values are more likely to be selected to reproduce. In this research, the fitness of a chromosome is pertinent to the activities of probiotics, the higher the activities the higher the fitness.

**IV. Crossover:** Crossover allows us to generate new chromosomes starting from existing ones. This operator randomly chooses a locus and exchanges the subsequences after that locus between 2 chromosomes to create 2 offsprings. The percentage of the population chromosomes that mates is called crossover probability.

**V. Mutation:** Mutation operator alters some of the bits of randomly selected chromosomes with a probability equal to the mutation rate, which is usually very small.

**VI.** New generation: After the mutations take place, a new generation of population has formed. The fitness value associated with each chromosome in this generation is calculated.

**VII. Termination criteria:** The stopping criteria often used are the maximum number of generations, set at the beginning of the optimization process, and that there is no appreciable improvement in the highest fitness for a number of generations.

Steps III through VI are repeated until one of the termination criteria is satisfied. With each generation, the population gets closer to an optimal solution, which is an optimal set of percentages of added growth promoters to produce the highest activities in probiotics in our research. A flow chart of genetic algorithms described above can be seen in Figure 1.

In the present article, the simple genetic algorithm and the micro genetic algorithm were evaluated to determine which one was more effective. Both GAs were programmed in Matlab codes (Math Works 2000). The numbers of bits in the binary strings, population size, crossover rate, mutation rate, and maximum number of generations in GAs were all obtained by fine tuning the algorithms through multiple trial runs, which is a common practice for using GAs to solve an optimization problem, such as Vallapuzha and others (2002).

Although recently there have been researchers who proclaimed the advantages of real-value encodings of GAs (Barrios and others 2000; Bessaou and Siarry 2001), binary encodings are still more popular for several reasons, one of which is that much of the existing GA theory is based on the assumption of fixed-length binary encodings, including the so-called fundamental theorem of genetic algorithms or the Schema theorem (Holland 1975; Goldberg 1989). In this study, the binary encoding GAs were adopted.

To search a solution that maximized multiple responses using GAs, a composite function fitness was defined as the following:

Composite Function Fitness (CFF) = 
$$(f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3)^{1/2}$$
 (3)

The CFF combines 3 responses into 1 single function whose maximum can be sought by GAs. Each response contributes equally to the CFF.

**Simple Genetic Algorithm.** The simple genetic algorithm (SGA) searches for an optimal value by simulating the biological evolu-

Table '	1 – Nature and	coded variables.	levels, a	nd expe	rimental	data of	fermented mi	ilk drinks
labic	i — Natai e ana	coucu variabico,	icveis, a	па слрс		uata vi	ieimentea m	IIK UIIIKJ

		Variables			Responses			
Treatment nr*	Calcium gluconate (%)	Sodium gluconate (%)	N-acetyl- glucosamine (%)	<i>L. acidophilus</i> (log CFU/ml)	<i>B. longum</i> (log CFU/ml)	β-galactosidase activity (units/ml)		
1	0.00 (-1)	0.00 (-1)	0.50 ( 0 )**	7.47	7.44	257.5		
2	0.50 (+1)	0.00 (-1)	0.50 ( 0 )	7.56	7.79	282.5		
3	0.00 (-1)	1.00 (+1)	0.50 ( 0 )	7.34	7.56	252.5		
4	0.50 (+1)	1.00 (+1)	0.50 ( 0 )	7.35	7.56	250.0		
5	0.00 (-1)	0.50 ( 0 )	0.00 (-1)	7.56	7.83	270.0		
6	0.50 (+1)	0.50 ( 0 )	0.00 (–1)	7.55	7.80	280.0		
7	0.00 (-1)	0.50(0)	1.00 (+1)	7.34	7.71	246.7		
8	0.50 (+1)	0.50(0)	1.00 (+1)	7.45	7.76	251.7		
9	0.25(0)	0.00 (-1)	0.00 (-1)	7.48	7.91	285.0		
10	0.25(0)	1.00 (+1)	0.00 (-1)	7.35	7.81	236.7		
11	0.25(0)	0.00 (-1)	1.00 (+1)	7.41	7.77	241.7		
12	0.25(0)	1.00 (+1)	1.00 (+1)	7.32	7.70	232.5		
13	0.25(0)	0.50(0)	0.50(0)	7.38	7.71	275.0		
14	0.25(0)	0.50(0)	0.50(0)	7.41	7.77	275.0		
15	0.25(0)	0.50(0)	0.50(0)	7.40	7.73	275.0		
16	0.25(0)	0.50(0)	0.50(0)	7.42	7.79	277.5		
17	0.25 ( 0 )	0.50 ( 0 )	0.50 ( 0 )	7.42	7.80	275.0		

\*Treatments were run in a random order.

\*\*(-1), (0), and (1) are coded levels.

# Table 2—Parameters of the simple genetic algorithm (SGA) and micro genetic algorithm (MGA)

Parameter	SGA	MGA	
Population size	50	10	
Nr of bits	20	20	
Mutation rate	0.02	0	
Crossover rate	0.5	0.5	
Maximum generation	100	500	

tionary process, based on crossover and mutational genetics (Nikitas and others 2001). To use the GAs, a chromosome was formed by 3 different growth promoters: calcium gluconate, sodium gluconate, and N-acetylglucosamine, which were all coded as 20-bit binary strings. Table 2 shows the parameters of the SGA. The initial population, consisting of 50 chromosomes (population size), was generated at random. The crossover and mutation operators were applied to those chromosomes. The crossover rate and mutation rate were 0.5 and 0.02 individually. The selection technique was based on the roulette wheel selection and the elitist strategy (Mitchell 1996). The roulette wheel technique is the most simple selection method while the elitist strategy makes sure the 1 chromosome with the highest composite function fitness survives to the next generation. The maximum number of generations was set to 100 for SGA.

This iterative process continues until a prespecified maximum number (100) of generations is reached, or until there is no appreciable improvement in the CFF. With each new generation, the population gets closer to an optimal value. Once the search is complete, the best value from the final generation is taken as the optimal solution.

**Micro Genetic Algorithm.** The essence of the micro genetic algorithm (MGA) is the lack of mutations and presence of restarts. Due to this feature, the algorithm converges rapidly to a local or global maximum (Nikitas and others 2001). The lack of mutations also results rapidly in a decrease of the variance of the cost values of the population. When the variance value falls below a certain limit, a restarting process begins, in which the chromosome with the high-



Figure 1—Flow chart of genetic algorithms

### Table 3—Analysis of variance for the variables as linear, quadratic and cubic terms and their interactions in a responsevariable model

Source	Sum of squares			Prob > F			
	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> (log CFU/mL)	Bifidobacterium longum (log CFU/mL)	β-galactosidase activity (units/mL)	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> (log CFU/mL)	<i>Bifidobacterium Iongum</i> (log CFU/mL)	β-galactosidase activity (units/mL)	
Mean	937.000	1016.260	1.172 × 10 <sup>−6</sup>				
Linear	0.066	0.048	2531.51	0.0016**	0.3305	0.0170*	
Quadratic	0.022	0.014	2168.73	0.0068**	0.0074**	0.0001**	
Cubic	7.850 × 10 <sup>−3</sup>	0.015	59.13	0.0280*	0.1367	0.0111*	
Residual	1.120 × 10 <sup>−3</sup>	6.000 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.00				
Total	937.100	1016.480	1.177 × 10 <sup>−6</sup>				

\*Significant at 5% level ; \*\*significant at 1% level

#### (b) Lack of fit

(a) Model

Source	Sum of squares			Prob > F			
	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> (log CFU/mL)	Bifidobacterium Iongum (log CFU/mL)	β-galactosidase activity (units/mL)	Lactobacillus acidophilus (log CFU/mL)	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> (log CFU/mL)	β-galactosidase activity (units/mL)	
Linear	0.030	0.160	2227.86	0.0145*	0.0149*	0.0001	
Quadratic	7.850 × 10 <sup>−3</sup>	0.015	59.13	0.0280*	0.1367**	0.0111*	
Cubic	0.000	0.000	0.00				
Pure Error	1.120 × 10 <sup>−3</sup>	$6.000 \times 10^{-3}$	5.00				

\*Insignificant at 5% level; \*\*insignificant at 1% level

est composite function fitness is retained and the rest of the N-1 chromosomes (N is the total number of chromosomes in 1 generation) are replaced by the same number of randomly generated new ones.

Table 2 shows the parameters of the MGA. The initial population consisting of 10 chromosomes (population size) was generated at random. The crossover rate was 0.5. The chromosomes with higher CFF were selected and retained for the next generation. The maximum number of generations was set to 500 for the MGA.

#### Statistical analysis

Model verification experiments were repeated 3 times and the results were analyzed using ANOVA from the SAS software package (SAS Inst. 1990), with Duncan's multiple range test for significance used to detect differences between predicted values and observed values.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Response surface modeling**

Fitting the models. Three growth promoters (calcium gluconate, sodium gluconate, and N-acetylglucosamine) were mixed with milk to improve the activities of *L. acidophilus*, *B. longum*, and  $\beta$ -galactosidase. The nature and coded variables levels and experimental data of fermented milk drinks are shown in Table 1. The responses, as linear, quadratic and cubic functions of the factors, were tested for adequacy and fitness using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table 3a examines the probability (Prob > F) to see if it falls below 0.05. The highest order polynomial that is significant is selected. The "lack of fit tests" (Table 3b) compares the residual error with the pure error from replicated design points. If there is a significant lack of fit, as indicated by a low probability value (Prob > F), the response predictor should be discarded. The model with insignificant lack of-fit is selected. ANOVA showed that the quadratic models appeared to be the most accurate for all 3 responses, with no signifi-

# Table 4—Regression coefficients of the second-order polynomials

Regression coefficient <sup>1</sup>	Lactobacillus acidophilus	Bifidobacterium longum	β-galatosidase
β	7.56	7.68	269.32
βı	-0.38	1.24	58.25
β	0.01	0.39	26.45
β	-0.24	-0.61	8.28
β <sub>11</sub>	0.87	-0.56	-14.00
β22	0.12	-0.30	-5.60
β <sub>33</sub>	0.06	0.45	-50.10
β <sub>12</sub>	-0.16	-0.70	-55.00
β <sub>13</sub>	0.24	0.16	-10.00
β <sub>23</sub>	0.04	0.03	39.10

 ${}^1\beta_0$  represents intercept and  $\beta_1,\ \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  represent the 3 factors of calcium gluconate, sodium gluconate, and N-acetylglucosamine, respectively.  $\beta_{11},\ \beta_{22},\ and\ \beta_{33}$  represent the respective square terms.  $\beta_{12},\ \beta_{23},\ and\ \beta_{13}$  are the interaction terms.

cant lack of fit (Table 3). Second-order polynomial Eq. 4 was fitted to the experimental data using the Design Expert procedure:

$$f_{4} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} X_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} X_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} X_{i} X_{j} \qquad k = 1, 2, 3$$
(4)

where  $f_k$  were the 3 responses and  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\beta_{ii}$ , and  $\beta_{ij}$  were constant coefficients and  $X_i$  were the uncoded independent variables. The regression coefficients for the statistically significant models are given in Table 4.

Factors affecting viability of *L. acidophilus* and *B. longum*. Estimation of the overall effects of the 3 factors affecting viability of *L. acidophilus* and *B. longum* using ANOVA indicated that all factors were significant (P < 0.05). The relationships between the factors and the responses were also investigated by examining a series of 3-D plots generated by holding constant one of the variables of the

CFF, which was composed of 3 second-order polynomial equations (Eq. 4).

As Figure 2 shows, the composite function fitness increased with increasing calcium gluconate. Addition of sodium gluconate improved the activity of probiotics only at a level lower than 0.4%. The



Figure 2-Response surface plots of composite function fitness showing effects of calcium gluconate and sodium gluconate under the conditions of constant N-acetylglucosamine: (a) N-acetylglucosamine = 0.0%, (b) N-acetylglucosamine = 1.0%.

optimal values in the plots changed negatively with N-acetylglucosamine. According to the contour plots, most of the diagrams indicated that maximum activity of *L. acidophilus* and *B. longum* (AB culture) could be obtained with maximum calcium gluconate and minimum N-acetylglucosamine. Growth promoters are substances known to improve the growth of probiotic bacteria. Mitsuoka and others (1987) suggested the function of gluconic acid and its salts (gluconic acid, glucono-delta-lactone, and calcium gluconate) as a bifidobacteria growth promoter, and this was confirmed by an in vitro test. The current study gave similar results. Lourens-Hattingh and Viljoen (2001) indicated the N-acetylglucosamine could be the nitrogen source of bifidobacteria and probably improved viability of bifidobacteria. However, this study did not support that statement.

#### Search of an optimal value using genetic algorisms

The CFF was optimized using the GAs. Figure 3 shows the evolution curves in searching for an optimal value. The CFF increased in accordance to the number of function evaluations and reached the maximum value in the curves of the MGA and SGA. The searching procedure was stopped when the CFF continued to keep the same maximum value with increasing numbers of function evaluations. The chromosomes having the maximum CFF provided the optimal ratios of concentrations of the growth promoters. The number of function evaluations in Eq. 5 represents the efficiency of the algorithms. A smaller number indicates a higher efficiency.

nr of function evaluations = nr of generations  $\times$  Population size (5)

In Figure 3, both methods produced fast increasing CFF during the early stage of optimization process, which is typical for GAs. For 350 function evaluations, the CFF by SGA has been increased from 25.870 to 26.301, compared to 25.870 to 26.324 for MGA. At around 800 function evaluations, both SGA and MGA experienced an increase in the CFF. For SGA, the CFF was raised from 26.327 to 26.331 for 800 to 850 function evaluations. For MGA, the CFF was lifted from 26.328 to 26.333 for 810 to 820 evaluations. Finally, the same optimal value (CFF = 26.334) was obtained in 1900 and 1490 function evaluations for SGA and MGA, respectively. The MGA converged more rapidly to the optimal value than did the SGA.



Figure 3 – Evolution curves in searching for an optimal value under the different search procedures of the genetic algorithm

Table 5–Validation of the optimum producing models for a fe
---

Methods	Calcium gluconate (%)	Sodium gluconate (%)	N-acetyl- glucosamine (%)	Predicted value (log CFU/mL)	Experimental value (log CFU/mL)
Lactobacillus	acidophilus				
MGA	0.50	0.00	0.00	7.59 <sup>x</sup> 7.59 <sup>x</sup>	$7.60 \pm 0.04^{x,a}$ 7.60+0.04 <sup>x,a</sup>
RSM	0.49	0.12	0.00	7.58×	7.46±0.06 <sup>y,b</sup>
Bifidobacteri	um longum				
MGA	0.50	0.00	0.00	8.16 <sup>x</sup>	8.15±0.12 <sup>x,b</sup>
SGA	0.50	0.00	0.00	8.16 <sup>×</sup>	8.15±0.12 <sup>x,b</sup>
RSM	0.49	0.12	0.00	7.91 <sup>y</sup>	7.88±0.11 <sup>y,a</sup>
β-galactosida	se activity				
MGA	0.50	0.00	0.00	294.9 <sup>x</sup>	295.3±3 <sup>x,a</sup>
SGA	0.50	0.00	0.00	294.9×	295.3±3 <sup>x,a</sup>
RSM	0.49	0.12	0.00	290.2 <sup>x</sup>	288.4±2 <sup>x,b</sup>

CFU = colony forming unit; MGA = Micro Genetic Algorithm; RSM = Response Surface Method; SGA = Simple Genetic Algorithm.

x.yValues in the same row without a common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05). a,b,cValues in the same column of the same partition without a common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05).

essences of MGA are the lack of mutations and the mechanism of restarts. Due to these features, the algorithm converges rapidly to maximum (Nikitas and others 2001) and still maintains the ability to reach the global optimum.

Population size also affected the results (Figure 3). An optimal value was obtained at the 38th generation, that is, 1900/50 = 38 from Eq. 5, for the SGA curve. For the MGA curve, comparing to SGA curve, an optimal value was obtained at the 149th generation, that is, 1490/10 = 149. This means the highest fitness value could be obtained at earlier generations for increasing population size because of the variety of chromosomes. Moriyama and Shimizu (1996) also drew a similar conclusion and indicated that large population size could decrease the generation to reach the highest fitness value, but the computational burden could be a big problem.

The elitist strategy used in this study is known as an effective way for improving the fitness of chromosomes because a chromosome with maximum fitness is compulsorily remained for next generation. However, its searching performance can easily fall into a local optimum because only the superior individuals with higher fitness are picked in each generation (Morimoto and others 1997). In this article, the confirmation was carried out by using a round-robin algorithm that examines all possible solutions around the near optimal solution and by examining the response surface plots.

#### Model verification

The optimal producing conditions were suggested by the MGA, SGA, and RSM and were verified by additional independent experiments (Table 5). The optimal conditions were realized by using 100 mL of skim milk, mixed with 4% of isomaltooligosaccharides and the prescribed growth promoters, which was inoculated and fermented for 10 h at 37  $^{\circ}$ C.

Table 5 shows that the optimal ratio of growth promoters, suggested by the MGA and SGA, were the same. The final responses were very close to the calculated values with no significant difference (P > 0.05). Although, the optimal producing conditions, suggested by the GAs, were not significantly different in increasing *L. acidophilus* counts and in improving β-galactosidase activities than with the RSM, the conditions did significantly raise the *B. longum* counts (P < 0.05). The optimization technique used in the RSM was the steepest ascent method. The coordinates along the path of steepest ascent depended on the nature of the regression coefficients in the fitted model (Myers and Montgomery 1995). The search might be stuck in a local maximum other than the global one because of high dimensionality and irregularities contained in the objective function response (Wang 1997).

#### Conclusions

THE 2-STAGE EFFORT, OBTAINING A SURFACE MODEL USING THE RSM and optimizing this model using the GAs, resulted in a useful method of finding an optimal set of process parameters. In the current study, the RSM and GAs proved effective for optimization of the activity of AB cultures when developing a new fermented milk drink.

#### References

- Barrios D, Manrique K, Porras J, Rios J. 2000. Real-coded genetic algorithms based on mathematical morphology. LNCS 1876:706-15.
- Bessaou M, Siarry P. 2001. A genetic algorithm with real-value coding to optimize multimodel continuous functions. Struct Multidisc Optim 23:63-74.
- Box GEP, Behnkin EW. 1960. Some new 3 level designs for the study of quantitative variables. Technolnetrics 2:455-75.
- Goldberg DE. 1989. Genetic algorithms in search, optimization, and machine learning. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company. p 59-84.
- Hamann ET, Marth EH. 1983. Survival of Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus in commercial and experimental yogurts. J Food Prot 47:781-6.
- Holland JH. 1975. Adaptation in natural and artificial systems. Ann Arbor, Mich.:The Univ. of Michigan Press. p 66-74.
   Kneifel E, Jaros D, Erhard F. 1993. Microflora and acidification properties of vo-
- Kneifel E, Jaros D, Erhard F. 1993. Microflora and acidification properties of yogurt and yogurt-related products fermented with commercially available starter cultures. Int J Food Microbiol 18:179-89.
- Lankaputhra WEV, Shah NP. 1995. Survival of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and bifidobacterium spp. in the presence of acid and bile salts. Cult Dairy Prod J 30:2-7.
- Lapierre L, Undeland P, Cox LJ. 1992. Lithium chloride-sodium propionate agar for the enumeration of bifidobacteria in fermented dairy products. J Dairy Sci 75:1192-6.
- Lee J, Ye L, Landen WO, Eitenmiller RR. 2000. Optimization of an extraction procedure for the quantification of vitamin E in tomato and broccoli using response surface methodology. J Food Comp Anal 13:45-57.
- Lourens-Hattingh A, Viljoen BC. 2001. Yogurt as probiotic carrier food. Intl Dairy J 11:1-17.
- Math Works 2000. Using Matlab. Natick, Mass.: The Math Works Inc.
- Mitchell M. 1996. An introduction to genetic algorithms. London, England: The MIT Press. p 1-18.
- Mitsuoka T, Hidaka H, Eida T. 1987. Effect of oligosaccharides on intestinal microflora. Die Nahrung 31:427-36. Morimoto T, Purwanto W, Suzuki J, Hashimoto Y. 1997. Optimization of heat treat-
- Morimoto T, Purwanto W, Suzuki J, Hashimoto Y. 1997. Optimization of heat treatment for fruit during storage using neural networks and genetic algorithms. Comp Elec Agric 19:89-101.
- Moriyama J, Shimizu K. 1996. Online optimization of culture temperature for ethanol fermentation using a genetic algorithm. J Chem Tech Biotechnol 66:217-22.
- Myers RH, Montgomery DC. 1995. Response surface methodology: process and

product optimization using designed experiments. 1st ed. New York, N.Y.: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p 183-207.

Nikitas P, Pappa-Louisi A, Papageorgiou A, Zitrou A. 2001. On the use of genetic algorithms for response surface modeling in high-performance liquid chromatography and their combination with the Microsoft Solver. J Chromat A 942:93-105.

Playne M. 1994. Probiotic foods. Food Aust 46:362.

SAS Inst. 1990. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Cary, N.C.: SAS Inst. Inc.

Porretta A, Birzi A, Ghizzoni C, Vicini E. 1995. Effects of ultra-high hydrostatic pressure treatments on the quality of tomato juice. Food Chem 52:35-41.

Vallapuzha S, Meter ECD, Choudhuri S, Khetan RP. 2002. An investigation into the use of spatial coordinates for the genetic algorithm based solution of the fixture layout optimization problem. Intl J Mach Tools Manuf 42:265-75.

Wang Q. 1997. Using genetic algorithms to optimize model parameters. Environ Model Software 12:27-34.

Weng WL, Liu YC, Lin CW. 2001. Studies on the optimum models of the dairy product Kou Woan Lao using response surface methodology. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci 14:1470-6. Young CK, Nelson FE. 1978. Survival of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in "Sweet Acidophilus Milk" during refrigerated storage. J Food Prot 41:248-50. Yu P-L, Smart JB, Ennis BM. 1987. Differential induction of β-galatosidase and

Yu P-L, Smart JB, Ennis BM. 1987. Differential induction of  $\beta$ -galatosidase and phospho- $\beta$ -galatosidase activity in the fermentation of whey permeate by *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 26:254-7.

MS 20020335 Submitted 6/4/02, Accepted 9/23/02, Received 10/3/02

The authors are greatly indebted to the Council of Agriculture, R.O.C., for the support of the research through contract nr 89-Technology-1.3-Animal-64-9.

Author M.-J. Chen is with the Dept. of Food Science and Technology, Deh-Yu Inst. of Technology, Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C. Author K.-N. Chen is with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Tung-Nan Inst. of Technology, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C. Author Lin is with the Dept. of Animal Science, National Taiwan Univ, Taiwan, R.O.C. Direct inquiries to author M.-J. Chen (E-mail: ming@ems.dyc.edu.tw).